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#### Abstract

Problem statement: Classifying irreducible complex representations of an abstract group has been always a problem of interest in the field of group representations. In our study, we considered a linear representation of the braid group on three strings, namely, Krammer's representation. The objective of our work was to study the irreducibility of a specialization of Krammer's representation. Approach: We specialized the indeterminates used in defining the representation to non zero complex numbers and worked on finding invariant subspaces under certain conditions on the indeterminates. Results: we found a necessary and sufficient condition that guarantees the irreducibility of Krammer's representation of the braid group on three strings. Conclusion: This was a logical extension to previous results concerning the irreducibility of complex specializations of the Burau representation. The next step is to generalize our result for any $n$, which might enable us to characterize all irreducible Krammer's representations of various degrees.
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## INTRODUCTION

Let $B_{n}$ be the braid group on $n$ strings. There are many kinds of representations of $B_{n}$. The earliest was the Artin representation, which is an embedding $B_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$, the automorphism group of a free group on n generators ${ }^{[1]}$. Applying the free differential calculus to elements of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{n}\right)$ sometimes gives rise to linear representations of $B_{n}$ or some of its subgroups. The Burau and Krammer's representations arise this way. It has been shown that the Burau representation of $B_{n}$ is not faithful for $n \geq 6^{[4]}$. For $n=3$, it was proved that the Burau representation is indeed faithful ${ }^{[1]}$.

The representation, introduced by $D$. Krammer, is the map $K(q, t): B_{n} \rightarrow G L\left(m, Z\left[q^{ \pm 1}, t^{ \pm 1}\right]\right)$, where $m=n$ $(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2$ and $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{t}$ are two variables. What distinquishes this representation from others is that Krammer's representation is a faithful representation for all $n \geq 3{ }^{[3]}$. In our study, we consider the braid group on three strings and we specialize the indeterminates q and t to non zero complex numbers. Our main theorem, Theorem 5, gives a necessary and sufficient condition
for the specialization of Krammer's representation of $B_{3}$ to be irreducible.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Definition $1^{[1]}$ : The braid group on $n$ strings, $B_{n}$, is the abstract group with presentation $B_{n}=$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} / \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-2,\right. \\
\left.\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i} \text { if }|i-j| \geq 2\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

The generators $\sigma_{1, \ldots}, \sigma_{n-1}$ are called the standard generators of $B_{n}$.

Let us recall the Lawrence-Krammer representation of braid groups ${ }^{[3]}$. This is a representation of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{m}\left(\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{t}^{ \pm 1}, \mathrm{q}^{ \pm 1}\right]\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathrm{V}_{0}\right)$, where $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2$ and $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ is the free module of rank m over $\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{t}^{ \pm 1}, \mathrm{q}^{ \pm 1}\right]$. The representation is denoted by $K(q, t)$. For simplicity, we write $K$ instead of $K(q, t)$.

Definition $2^{[3]}$ : With respect to $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}\right\}_{1 \leq i<j \leq \mathrm{n}}$, the free basis of $\mathrm{V}_{0}$, the image of each Artin generator under Krammer's representation is written as:
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& K\left(\sigma_{k}\right)\left(x_{i, j}\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}\operatorname{tq}^{2} x_{k, k+1,} & i=k, j=k+1 ; \\
(1-q) x_{i, k}+\mathrm{qx}_{i, k+1}, & j=k, i<k ; \\
x_{i, k}+\mathrm{tq}^{k-i+1}(q-1) x_{k, k+1}, & j=k+1, i<k ; \\
\operatorname{tq}(q-1) x_{k, k+1}+\mathrm{qx}_{k+1, j}, & i=k, k+1<j ; \\
x_{k, j}+(1-q) x_{k+1, j}, & i=k+1, k+1<j ; \\
x_{i, j}, & i<j<k \quad \text { or } k+1<i<j ; \\
x_{i, j}+q^{k-i}(q-1)^{2} x_{k, k+1}, & i<k<k+1<j\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Magnus representation of subgroups of the automorphism group of a free group with three generators, we determine Krammer's representation $K(q, t): B_{3} \rightarrow G L\left(3 ., Z\left[q^{ \pm 1}, t^{ \pm 1}\right]\right)$, where:

$$
\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{tq}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{tq}(\mathrm{q}-1) & 0 & \mathrm{q} \\
0 & 1 & 1-\mathrm{q}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
K\left(\sigma_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1-\mathrm{q} & \mathrm{q} & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \mathrm{tq}^{2}(\mathrm{q}-1) \\
0 & 0 & \mathrm{tq}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here $Z\left[q^{ \pm 1}, t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ is the ring of Laurent polynomials on two variables. Specializing $t$ and $q$ to non zero complex numbers, we consider the complex linear representation $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{t}): \mathrm{B}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathrm{C})$. We show that the only non-zero invariant subspace under the action of the specialization of Krammer's representation of $B_{3}$ coincides with the vector space $\mathrm{C}^{3}$. Here, we regard $\mathrm{M}_{3}(\mathrm{C})$ as acting from the left on column vectors so that eigenvectors and invariant subspaces lie in $\mathrm{C}^{3}$.

## RESULTS

In this section, we find a necessary and sufficient condition for the irreducibility of Krammer's representation of $B_{3}$.

Theorem 3: For $(q, t) \in\left(C^{*}\right)^{2}$, Krammer's representation $K(q, t): B_{3} \rightarrow G L(3, C)$ is irreducible if $t \neq-1, q^{3} t \neq 1$ and $\mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{t}^{2} \neq 1$.

Proof: For simplicity, we write $K(a)$ to denote $K(q, t)$ (a), where $a \in B_{3}$. We consider the matrix that corresponds to the image of the element $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}$ under Krammer's representation. Direct computations show that:

$$
K\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & q^{4} t \\
0 & q^{3} t & 0 \\
q^{2} t & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The eigenvalues of $K\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}\right)$ are $-q^{3} t, q^{3} t$ and $q^{3} t$. Let us diagonalize the matrix corresponding to this element by an invertible matrix, say T and conjugate the matrices $K\left(\sigma_{1}\right), K\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$ and $K\left(\sigma_{1}{ }^{2}\right)$ by the same matrix T . The invertible matrix T is given by:

$$
\mathrm{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\mathrm{q} & \mathrm{q} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

In fact, a computation shows that:

$$
\mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{t} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{t} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{t}
\end{array}\right)
$$

After conjugation by, we get that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1-\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t} & 1-\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t} & 1 \\
1-\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t} & 1-\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t} & 1 \\
-2 \mathrm{q}\left(-1-\mathrm{qt}+\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}\right) & 2 \mathrm{q}\left(1-\mathrm{qt}+\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}\right) & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \mathrm{T}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1-\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t} & -1+\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t} & -1 \\
-1+\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t} & 1-\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t} & 1 \\
2 \mathrm{q}\left(-1-\mathrm{qt}+\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}\right) & 2 \mathrm{q}\left(1-\mathrm{qt}+\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}\right) & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
T^{-1} K\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}\right) T=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1-q+q^{2}+q^{2} t-q^{3} t+q^{4} t^{2} & 1-q+q^{2}-q^{2} t+q^{3} t-q^{4} t^{2} & 1-q \\
1-q+q^{2}+q^{2} t-q^{3} t-q^{4} t^{2} & 1-q+q^{2}-q^{2} t+q^{3} t+q^{4} t^{2} & 1-q \\
-2 q(q-1)\left(1+q^{3} t^{2}\right) & 2 q(q-1)\left(q^{3} t^{2}-1\right) & 2 q
\end{array}\right] .
$$

For simplicity, we still call $\mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}$ by $\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}\right), \mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}$ by $\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \mathrm{T}$ by $\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{T}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}\left(\sigma_{1}{ }^{2}\right) \mathrm{T}$ by $\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{1}{ }^{2}\right)$.

Now, suppose that S is a non-zero invariant subspace of the matrices $\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$, $\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{1}{ }^{2}\right)$. We show, under the conditions of the hypothesis, that the subspace $S$ becomes the vector space $C^{3}$ spanned by the standard unit vectors $\mathrm{e}_{1}=(1,0,0), \mathrm{e}_{2}=(0,1,0)$ and $\mathrm{e}_{3}=(0,0,1)$.

From the diagonal form of $K\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}\right)$, we see that the subspace $S$ contains at least one of $e_{1}$ or $\mathrm{ue}_{2}+\mathrm{ve}_{3}$, where $(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}) \neq(0,0)$. We consider the following two cases:

Case 1: Assume that $e_{1} \in S$. Then we have that $\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}_{1}\right) \in \mathrm{S}$, which implies that:
$\left(1-q-q^{2} t\right) e_{2}-2 q\left(-1-q t+q^{2} t\right) e_{3} \in S$

Also, we have that $K\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}\right)\left(e_{1}\right) \in S$, which implies that:
$\left(1-q+q^{2}+q^{2} t-q^{3} t-q^{4} t^{2}\right) e_{2}-2 q(q-1)\left(q^{3} t^{2}+1\right) e_{3} \in S$
Notice that if $1-q-q^{2} t=0$ then $-1-q t+q^{2} t \neq 0$ using the hypothesis and so $\mathrm{e}_{3} \in \mathrm{~S}$. Likewise, if $1-$ $\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{q}^{2}+\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{q}^{4} \mathrm{t}^{2}=0$ then $(\mathrm{q}-1)\left(\mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{t}^{2}+1\right) \neq 0$ and so $\mathrm{e}_{3}$ $\in S$.

Thus, we may assume that $1-q-q^{2} t \neq 0$ and $1-q+q^{2}+q^{2} t-q^{3} t-q^{4} t^{2} \neq 0$. (1) and (2) imply that $-2 q^{2}$ $(1+t)\left(q^{3} t-1\right) e_{3} \in S$ and so, by our hypothesis, we get that:

$$
e_{3} \in S
$$

Having proved that $e_{3} \in S$, we have that $K\left(\sigma_{1}\right)\left(e_{3}\right)$ $\in S$. This implies that $e_{2} \in S$. Hence, we conclude that $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{C}^{3}$.

Case 2: Next we assume that $u_{2}+\mathrm{ve}_{3} \in \mathrm{~S}$ where $(u, v) \neq(0,0)$. Again, we have that $K\left(\sigma_{1}\right)\left(u_{2}+v e_{3}\right) \in S$, which implies that:
$\left[\left(1-q-q^{2} t\right) u+v\right] e_{1}+\left[\left(1-q+q^{2} t\right) u+v\right] e_{2}+2 q\left(1-q t+q^{2} t\right) u$ $\mathrm{e}_{3} \in \mathrm{~S}$

Likewise, we have that $\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{ue}_{2}+\mathrm{ve}_{3}\right) \in \mathrm{S}$, which implies that:
$\left[\left(-1+q+q^{2} t\right) \quad u-v\right] e_{1}+\left[\left(1-q+q^{2} t\right) u+v\right] e_{2}+2 q\left(1-q t+q^{2} t\right)$ $u_{3} \in S$

Subtracting (4) from (3), we get that [(1-$\left.\left.q-q^{2} t\right) u+v\right] e_{1} \in S$.

If $\left(1-q-q^{2} t\right) u+v \neq 0$ then $e_{1} \in S$ and so we apply case 1.

If $\left(1-q-q^{2} t\right) u+v=0$ then (3) implies that (qt) $e_{2}+\left(1-q t+q^{2} t\right) e_{3} \in S$.

Having that $e_{2}+\left(-1+q+q^{2} t\right) e_{3} \in S$, we get that $\left(1-q^{3} t^{2}\right) e_{3} \in S$. By our hypothesis, we get that $e_{3} \in S$. It follows that $e_{2}$ and $e_{1}$ are also in $S$. Therefore, as in case 1 , we get that $S=C^{3}$.

Next, our purpose is to find a necessary and sufficient condition that guarantees the irreducibility of the complex specialization of Krammer's representation of $\mathrm{B}_{3}$. We will show that the condition in Theorem 3 stands as a necessary condition for irreducibility as well. Therefore, we present our next theorem.

Theorem 4: The complex specialization of Krammer's representation $K(q, t): B_{3} \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(3, C)$ is reducible under any of the following conditions:

- $\mathrm{t}=-1$
- $\mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{t}=1$
- $\mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{t}^{2}=1$

Proof: Under each of the conditions of our hypothesis, we will find an invariant subspace under the action of the complex specialization of Krammer's representation of $B_{3}$. Recall that the matrices $K\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$ and $K\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$ that will be used in the proof are the ones given in Definition 2:

- Assume that $\mathrm{t}=-1$. Consider the two cases whether or not $q^{2}=-1$

Case 1: If $q^{2} \neq-1$ then we take the invariant subspace as the one generated by the eigenvectors of $K\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$, namely, m and n . Here $\mathrm{m}=(0, \mathrm{q}, 1)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{n}=\left(-\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}+1\right)\right.$, $\left.-q^{2}+q-1,1\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$, where, T is the transpose. More precisely, we have that $K\left(\sigma_{2}\right)(m)=-\frac{q^{4}}{q^{2}+1} m$ $-\frac{q^{2}}{q^{2}+1} n, K\left(\sigma_{2}\right)(n)=-\left(q^{2}+\frac{1}{q^{2}+1}\right) m+\frac{1}{q^{2}+1} n$.

Case 2: If $q^{2}=-1$ then we take the invariant subspace to be the one generated by $\mathrm{m}=(0, \mathrm{q}, 1)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{B}=(-1,-1$, $0)^{\mathrm{T}}$. To see this:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)(\mathrm{m})=\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)(\mathrm{B})=\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~K}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)(\mathrm{m})=\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{m}, \\
\mathrm{~K}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)(\mathrm{B})=\mathrm{B}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Assume that $\mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{t}=1$. If $\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}=1$ then $\mathrm{q}=1=\mathrm{t}$ and so the subspace generated by $(1,1,1)^{\mathrm{T}}$ is invariant. Without loss of generality, we assume that $q^{2} t \neq 1$. Here, we consider the two cases whether or not $q t=-1$.

Case 1: If qt $\neq-1$ then we take the invariant subspace to be the one generated by the eigenvectors of $\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$, namely $\mathrm{m}=(0,-1,1)^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{n}=\left(\frac{\left(\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}-1\right)(\mathrm{qt}+1)}{\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{q}-1)}, \mathrm{tq}^{2}+\mathrm{q}-1\right.$, $1)^{\mathrm{T}}$. To see this:

$$
\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)(\mathrm{m})=\left(\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}+\frac{\mathrm{qt}(\mathrm{q}-1)}{\left(\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}-1\right)(\mathrm{tq}+1)}\right) \mathrm{m}-\frac{\mathrm{qt}(\mathrm{q}-1)}{\left(\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}-1\right)(\mathrm{tq}+1)} \mathrm{n}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)(\mathrm{n})=\left(\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}+\frac{1-\mathrm{q}}{\left(\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}-1\right)(\mathrm{tq}+1)}\right) \mathrm{m}+\frac{\mathrm{q}-1}{\left(\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}-1\right)(\mathrm{tq}+1)} \mathrm{n}
$$

Case 2: If qt $=-1$ then we take the invariant subspace to be the one generated by $\mathrm{m}=(0,-1,1)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{n}=(1, \mathrm{q}$, $0)^{\mathrm{T}}$. To see this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)(\mathrm{m}) & =-\mathrm{qm}, \mathrm{~K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)(\mathrm{n})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)(\mathrm{m}) \\
& =\frac{1}{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)(\mathrm{n})=-\mathrm{qn}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Assume that $\mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{t}^{2}=1$. We take the 1 -dimensional invariant subspace to be the one generated by the vector $n=\left(q, q^{2} t+q-1,1\right)^{T}$. This is true because $\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)(\mathrm{n})=\left(\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}\right) \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{K}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)(\mathrm{n})=\left(\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{t}\right) \mathrm{n}$.

Combining Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, we obtain our main theorem.

Theorem 5: For $(q, t) \in\left(C^{*}\right)^{2}$, the specialization of Krammer's representation $K(q, t): B_{3} \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(3, C)$ is irreducible if and only if $\mathrm{t} \neq-1, \mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{t} \neq 1$ and $\mathrm{q}^{3} \mathrm{t}^{2} \neq 1$.

## DISCUSSION

So far in the literature, a criterion for the irreducibility of linear representations of the braid group, $B_{n}$, of degree $n-1$ was found. Our goal was to extend this work to Krammer's representation of higher degree, namely, $n(n-1) / 2$. Our main result is a partial result that gives a criterion for the irreducibility of Krammer's representation only in the case $n=3$.

## CONCLUSION

We have determined the irreducible complex specializations of the faithful Krammer's representations of the braid group, $\mathrm{B}_{3}$. A future work is to try to characterize all irreducible Krammer's representations of $B_{n}$ for any value of $n$.
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