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Abstract: The origin of geometry dates back 30000 (Thirty thousand Alexandria ( 2300 B.C.) complied the Elements which is the first scientific five postulates. There is no proof for the fifth postulate. Almost all the ce their best to deduce this from the first four postulates. But unforty $A$, v was success all. Saccheri and Lambert worked on this problem for more than 50 人ars. Tho rs start where Saccheri and Lambert failed to obtain the following result/theoram In a Lamber rilateral the fourth angel is the right angle or the lateral sides of a Lamber cral are equal. I roposition was proved by proof by contradiction.
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## INTRODUCTION

The word geometry was derived from two words geo meaning earth and metric mean measuring. Euclid of Alexandria wrote his firs scientific text book Elements. The Element assumes the following postulates ${ }^{[4]}$ :

- A straight line may be dray points
- A piece of straight cine indefinitely
- A circle may be dr an arbitrary cent
- All right angle
- If a straigb linu crossing traight lines makes the inter agles on the sa e less than two right $\quad \mathrm{s}$, the two straight $-s$, if extended ind ely, $m$ on that side on which are the less th wo rightangles
e abo fifth postulate. But nobody coul cceed.
Eucli stulate n om the first four axioms.


## LRIALS AND METHODS

study, we begin where Saccheri and Lambert mis 0 achieve the result. In further studies, the
 with any gis ius and

CD, cut off CE such that $\mathrm{CE}=\mathrm{AB}$. Join A and E. Now ABCE is a Saccherri quadrilateral ${ }^{[1,2,4]}$. The summit angles of Sacherri quadrilateral are equal. Since angle BAE is obtuse, we get that angle CEA is obtuse.

Erect EF perpendicular to ED. Angle DEF is $90^{\circ}$. From 1 and 2 we get a contradiction. This shows that case 1 is not possible.

Case 2: Let CD is greater that AB . On CD , cut off CH such that $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{AB}$. Join A and H . Now ABCH is a Saccheri quadrilateral. So, angles BAH and CHA are equal. Since angle BAH is acute, we get the angle CHA is also acute.

Construct HJ perpendicular to HC. Now the angle CHJ is $90^{\circ}$.

From 3 and 4 we get a contradiction. This proves that case 2 is also not acceptable.

From cases 1 and 2, we get that $\mathrm{AB}=\mathrm{CD}$. If $A B=C D$, then the angle at $D$ is $90^{\circ}$.
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