Classification of Finger Vein Image Using Convolutional Neural Network

¹Ahmed S. Hameed, ¹Shawkat K. Guirguis and ²Hend A. Elsayed

¹Department of Information Technology, Institute of Graduate Studies and Research, Alexandria University, Egypt ²Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Damanhour University, Damanhour, Egypt

Article history Received: 30-08-2024 Revised: 11-10-2024 Accepted: 18-10-2024

Corresponding Author: Hend A. Elsayed Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Damanhour University, Damanhour, Egypt Email: hend.alielsayed@yahoo.com

Abstract: Currently available technologies can perform rather well, but their effectiveness is mostly contingent on how well the venous images being analyzed are quality images. Finger vein features have garnered significant attention in the past few years as a potential means of automatic user identification. A significant amount of daily usage goes into the very vital personal identification procedure. The identification process is applicable in the workplace, private zones, and banks. Humans could be a rich topic having abundant features that may be used for identification purposes such as finger veins, iris, and face. This research proposes a Convolution Neural Network (CNN) based two-stage finger vein classification and identification method and discusses the model performance with four methods of extracting features such as Gabor, Speeded Up Robust Features (SURF), Local Binary Patterns (LBP) and Principle Component Analysis (PCA) and comparing the results of the proposed classification system with another classification method Feed Forward Neural Network (FFNN). The experiment is conducted on images acquired from a lot of subjects of the Sains Malaysia database to illustrate the performance of the proposed algorithm. The result shows a superior performance to the convolution neural network of biometrics in the proposed system and shows the LBP features extraction method outperforms the other methods such as (Surf, Gabor, and PCA).

Keywords: Finger Vein Image Classification, Speeded Up Robust Features, Gabor Filters, Local Binary Pattern, Principal Component Analysis, Convolution Neural Network

Introduction

The basis of many extremely reliable authentication systems is biometrics. The majority of the most recent state-of-the-art models have certain flaws, mostly with regard to the corresponding feature extraction methods. For instance, some of the current techniques are not very effective when dealing with low-quality photos, which can be caused by infrared light of poor quality, ambient illumination, light scattering in the tissues of the finger being imaged, fat fingers, cold weather, or inadequately made image capture equipment. Furthermore, a majority of algorithms rely on parameters that are not static and can vary while taking into account data from other sources. Various biometric technologies target different physical attributes; these diverse uses are referred to as "modalities" in the biometrics community.

Biometrics can provide a better solution to reduce security problems. The finger vein identification system is more effective and can address many of the issues that conventional biometric techniques, such as cards and passwords, have. However, compared to other biometrics (such as fingerprints, faces, and irises), finger vein recognition is not as well-established.

Biometric data classification is a challenging problem because of its high dimensional Inputs, and many class outputs.

The application of the neural network in the field of classification is facing problems. The source of these problems can be different (accuracy of results is noisy data. Insufficient number of hidden neurons. Choice of bad input). We suggested using the CNN classification technique to solve these problems.

Construct some powerful techniques to improve the biometric classification accuracy results. The aim of

the study is to develop a methodology for classifying biometrics signals using the conventional neural network.

Feature extraction techniques are used to retrieve entries. Feature extraction is an effective method for minimizing processing resource requirements without sacrificing pertinent or crucial data. For a particular investigation, feature extraction can also help cut down on the quantity of redundant data.

Selecting the optimum features to extract from the input data is a crucial step in the design of a verification system. In this study, select these features statistically. The new biometric modalities that are being developed include DNA, Ear Pattern, Fingerprint, Hand, Face, Gait, Signature, Voice, Iris, Retina, Vein, and Signature. Physical features and behavioral characteristics are the two general categories into which biometric modalities are typically divided by Kodituwakku (2015).

The Finger Vein biometric recognition method's vascular pattern classification has garnered significant attention from researchers and engineers. The most popular vascular designs are those seen in the hand and finger veins. Using finger vein biometry, vein patterns were recorded on an image-capable device. The vein is found in the human finger.

In addition to these wonderful benefits, finger-vein features also show the following: (1) Each person's fingervein pattern is distinct, even identical twins. It provides good variation between all the people. (2) The finger-vein patterns won't go away. It doesn't alter with time. (3) Despite being hidden beneath human skin, the finger vein patterns are discernible and simple to duplicate. Put otherwise, it is imperceptible to the human eye. (4) It is anticipated that the acquisition of finger vein patterns will be extremely user-friendly and non-invasive. The gadget ensures user ease and hygiene by utilizing the notion of contactless sensors (Fig. 2). (5) Most people have ten fingers available to them. As a result, other fingers can be utilized in place of the affected finger in case of an unplanned event. (6) Because finger veins can only be extracted from a living corpse, it is difficult to steal someone's identity after they have passed away (Syazana-Itqan *et al*., 2016; Malik and Sharma, 2013).

The suggested face annotation method is based on a search of nearest neighbors and SURF detection methodology.

The SURF detection approach is used for feature extraction and these nearest neighbors are used to add tags to the image. Applying the notion of label independence to the annotation of images.

This method addresses the challenge of labeling photos that are poorly, unlabeled, or labeled correctly. It can also be applied to images other than faces. This system can also identify photos taken in various lighting and blurry settings. The framework for search-based picture annotation was proposed by the authors in this research.

For feature extraction and analysis of several methods for finger-vein feature extraction made by Malik and Sharma (2013); Kumar and Zhou (2012) used morphological processing.

The convolutional neural network method for age estimation has been proposed. Features extraction and categorization are important tasks in an age estimation system.

CNN is used to extract the features from the face in the feature extraction part. A multilevel convolutional neural network model was built by Dhir *et al*. (2010) to derive convolution activation characteristics based on an abundance of training data.

Multi-label classification is done using the Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier. Enhanced accuracy and superior performance are offered by convolution activation features in contrast to the original Gabor feature.

Biometric Signal Classification Using Convolutional Neural Network, Speeded Robust and Local Binary Patterns Features are made by Hameed *et al*. (2020) and Fingerprint classification using a deep convolutional neural network is made by Pandya *et al*. (2018).

Fast finger vein identification or real-time person identification using a sparse matching method and a multicore platform by Hernández-García *et al*. (2019).

Materials and Methods

This section describes the intended convolution neural network algorithmic program to support the finger vein classification technique, which will increase the classification accuracy.

Issue Conceptualization

The first-stage image preprocessing ROI extraction method of finger vein detection approaches is the source of the first problem.

The second challenging problem is related to the image acquisition device, the quality of pixels affected by the aging of the sensor. The third challenging problem is related to spoofing attacks, similar to other methods of biometric recognition.

The size of the current finger vein database presents the fourth difficulty. The majority of traditional recognition techniques perform well on small datasets; nevertheless, big datasets are required to evaluate the effectiveness of the techniques.

The problems mentioned above have been partially solved and significant technical advancements are needed to improve finger vein recognition systems. Traditional finger vein recognition methods include complex preprocessing (ROI extraction, image quality evaluation,

image enhancement, and normalization), manual feature extraction, and distance-based matching methods.

The Proposed Model Finger Vein Identification

A finger vein extraction plan ought to in any event meet these prerequisites: Higher precision, and low reaction time, to accomplish this goal, this examination will remain focused on using the optimal component extraction computation for the extraction of finger veins.

The suggested framework centers finger for human confirmation and wires finger vein patterns from the list. Two stages make up the suggested finger-vein acknowledgment calculation: The preparatory stage of enrolling and the testing stage of checking.

Pre-handling of the finger-vein image is the first step in both phases, image segmentation, alignment, and improvement. The finger-vein model information is created for the enrollment stage after the pre-processing and feature extraction steps. After the model's optimal options guide is retrieved, the input finger-vein image is matched with the corresponding model for the verification stage.

The intended model's design is shown in Fig. (1). Finger-vein matching may be designed in a few different ways. However, the work proposed in this model suggests a fresh approach based on the convolution neural network algorithm, which will be explained in detail in the next subsection, taking into account the computational complexity, efficiency, and utility. Figure (1) shows the block diagram for the suggested model for the finger vein classification model using the CNN classifier.

Fig. 1: Block diagram for the suggested model for finger vein classification model using CNN classifier

Data Acquisition

The 123 volunteers-83 men and 40 women from University Sains Malaysia-who provided the images in the database.

Between the ages of 20 and 52, the theme was represented. From the four fingers that each participant supplied, a total of 492 finger classes were obtained: Left file, left center, right file, and right center fingers. Both the geometry and, consequently, the vein pattern, were provided by the finger photographs that were taken. Each person took part in two sessions, spaced apart by nearly two weeks and each finger was photographed six times in a single session. 2952 (123×4×6) pictures were taken in total during the first session. Thus, 5904 photos from 492 finger classes are gathered from two sessions. The finger images that were taken have a resolution of 256 grey levels for depth and 100×300 gray levels for spatial details. An example dataset for a single client with several directions is shown in Fig. (2).

Pre-Processing

Pre-processing is an addition to the image data that enhances certain visual characteristics or reduces undesirable distortions that are required for further processing. Techniques for preprocessing include feature extraction, image segmentation, and enhancement. Computers are used in image improvement to work with digital data to improve image qualities. The treatment does not help with improving sharpness, clarity, and detail in order to extract information and undertake further analysis.

Feature Extraction

Using Gabor by Khellat-kihel *et al*. (2014), LBP by Manisha Kasar and Patil (2022), SURF by Paul and Beegom (2015), and PCA, one may extract the binary codes from the enhanced images. Gabor computes at a pace approximately 2.5 times slower than PCA.

Fig. 2: A sample dataset

However, it does not perform as well. In addition, four times as long as the Gabor code length as the PCA. A biometric system's calculation time and template size are two important factors that need to be considered. Higherlevel applications, such as visual perception, require local features, while world options are usually employed for lower-level applications like object detection and classification. While there is a computational overhead, the combination of local and global features improves recognition accuracy.

Classification Using CNN Algorithm

A local receptive field is created by scanning the input image with a single unit that is weighted. The outputs of the first unit are then saved in the corresponding places in the feature map that is ordered. An additional level of translation and distortion invariance is offered by convolutional feature maps. As complexity increases, it entails globally detecting from units in the ensuing layers and features with decreasing order spatial resolution.

There are four main justifications for using selection procedures: (1) Reducing training durations, (2) Streamlining models to facilitate academic and user interpretation, (3) Avoiding the dimensionality curse and (4) Enhancing generalization by reducing overfitting (officially known as reduction of variance). CNN techniques have been developed to lessen the impact of the selection operator in the standard NN by Hijazi *et al*. (2015); Hu *et al*. (2019); Karpathy (2015); Xu *et al*. (2024) to enable the population's parallel investigation of several solutions.

Construction Finger Vein Feature

In order to identify and organize the clients, the features for each preparation test are extracted during the preparation phase and saved in a database along with an ID for the finger vein image and its element vector. This is done after selecting an optimal list of capabilities using CNN computation. Generally speaking, the type of suggested model is determined by the number of feature vectors stored for each client; this will be verified in an approximate way. Generally speaking, the necessary database stockpiling is created by increasing the amount of feature vectors per client. The finger vein features database is currently operated with preparation informational collection as its main focus.

Biometric Performance Measurements

False Reject Rate (FRR) and False Accept Rate (FAR) are two metrics included in the performance measurement of a biometric system by Kshirsagar *et al*. (2011). The metrics include recognition accuracy and are closely related to the primary phases and processes of the system. The risk that a valid user will be rejected by the system is called the False Non-Match Rate (FNMR), or Type I error

(FRR) according to Yan *et al*. (2014). However, the probability that a fraudster will be recognized by the system as a legitimate user is gauged by FAR. False Match Rate (FMR) or Type II error is another term that Yan *et al*. (2014) use to refer to FAR in the literature. Both measurements of False Accept Rate (FAR) and False Reject Rate (FRR) vary with the corresponding system recognition threshold at the comparison module in Eqs. (1-2).

They are also connected in that, generally speaking, when one figure gets better, the other one gets worse. A biometric system should ideally generate zero values for both FAR and FRR, meaning it should be able to accept users who are authentic while rejecting those who make fraudulent identity claims. The performance of existing biometrics technologies is still far from optimal, though, so depending on the needs of access control, a trade-off is frequently required. Kshirsagar *et al*. (2011) suggest that a comprehensive risk management analysis can be used to determine the type and necessity of a biometric solution.

According to Veluchamy and Karlmarx (2017), different applications have varying requirements for accuracy and tolerance for different kinds of errors.

Low FAR is necessary, for example, in an extremely strict authentication system, like a high-security application, which does not accept any intrusions. However, a biometric authentication system that is integrated into a customer's notebook might have a lower FRR, making it more user-friendly.

Low FRR and low FAR are required for national civilian applications by Kshirsagar *et al*. (2011) in order to foster public confidence in the implemented system. Thus, it is important to appropriately set the threshold between them based on the security requirements. The following is a definition of FAR and FRR metrics:

$$
FAR = \frac{\text{number of successful authentications by importsors}}{\text{number of attempts at authentication by unauthorized users}} \tag{1}
$$

Number of failed attempts at authentication by authorized users number of attempts at authentication by genuine users (2)

The threshold determines both FAR and FRR; raising the threshold will result in a decrease in one and an increase in the other and vice versa.

Results and Discussion

Selected findings from the experimental evaluation are presented in this section, including numerous experiments conducted to determine and evaluate the accuracy and resilience of the method that was recommended in the previous section.

Research Requirements

Dataset

The finger vein and finger geometry data needed to extract the ROI (Region of Interest) and recognize the finger vein are both included in the database. The Benchmarked Finger Vein USM (FV-USM) Database is used for experiments to test either bimodal (fusion of vein and geometry) or unimodal (finger vein and finger geometry) biometric systems Rosdi (2020).

123 volunteers, 40 females and 83 males, who supplied the images for our database and who were both University Sains Malaysia staff members and students. 20 to 52 years old was the age range of the topic. Participants each contributed four fingers, for a total of 492 digit classes: Left index, left middle, right index, and right middle fingers. From the finger images that were taken, two important characteristics were extracted: The vein pattern and its corresponding geometry. Each subject participated in two sessions, separated by almost two weeks and each finger was recorded six times during a single session. During the first session, 2952 (123 \times 4 \times 6) images were captured.

Thus, from two sessions, 5904 images from 492 finger classes are acquired. The finger images that were captured had a spatial resolution of 100×300 and a depth resolution of 256 grey levels. Figure (3) displays an example of finger vein images.

Software

The recommended framework has been executed. MATLAB is a product advancement condition that offers elite numerical calculation, information examination, perception abilities, and application improvement devices. Image Processing Toolbox™ supplies a general arrangement of reference-check calculations and work process applications for image handling, investigation, ideation, and algorithm expansion.

Fig. 3: Example of finger vein images

Image splitting, image enhancement, noise reduction, geometric adjustments, image enlistment, and three-dimensional image transformation are all features available. You can automate popular image preparation workflows with the help of Image Processing Toolbox apps. Intuitively, you can group process large data sets, piece together image information, and record character images.

Hardware Used

The framework has been actualized utilizing the Workstation with the accompanying determinations:

- Processor: I7-4500 U from Intel (R), Core (TM)
- CPU@1.80GHZ@2.40GHz
- Installed memory (RAM): 8 GB
- System kind: OS 64-bit, CPU based on \times 64
- Microsoft Windows 10 Enterprise is a functional operating system

Assessment Criteria

In the proposed work, four factual parameters are utilized to assess the ID execution; these appraisals are.

False Accept Rate (*FAR*): The probability of invalid inputs, which are incorrectly accepted:

$$
FAR = \frac{Number\ of\ Falsely\ accepted\ image}{s\ number\ of\ persons\ out\ of\ the\ database}
$$
 (3)

False Reject Rate (*FRR*): the probability of valid inputs, which are incorrectly rejected:

$$
FRR = \frac{Number\ of\ Falsely\ recognized\ images}{Total\ number\ of\ persons\ in\ the\ data} \tag{4}
$$

Accuracy: The precision of a measurement is how close a result comes to the true value:

 $Accuracy =$ Number of true positive + Number of True Negative
 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ Total number of samples $(TP+TN)$ $(TP+TN+FP+FN)$ ∗ 100 (5)

where:

Instances that are actually positive (genuine) and predicted as (positive) genuine.

Instances, which are actually negative and predicted as negative.

Instances that are actually positive (genuine) and predicted as (positive) genuine.

Instances, which are actually positive and predicted as negative.

Experimental Results

This section presents four experiment results that were chosen from the experimental evaluation, including multiple experiments that were conducted to determine the accuracy and resilience of the methodology that was recommended in the previous section. The results are as follows:

- In the first experiment, we will make a comparison of four methods for extracting finger vein features compared to the number of features extracted to get the best accuracy of the methods used in the proposed algorithm
- In the second experiment, we tested the proposed model using a number of images in two stages of data training and testing to obtain it (identification)
- In the third experiment, we also evaluated the suggested model's performance and compared it with another classification algorithm (FFNN)
- In the final experiment, we used the four methods (PCA, SURF, LBP, and Gabor) to identify the finger vein in order to use the testing set to evaluate the performance of the suggested model

Experiment 1: Compare for Feature Extraction Type

Since it is hard to find a comprehensive explanation of the methods used in finger vein recognition, algorithms are only used to uniquely extract the finger vein feature. In the first experiment, we will compare the various approaches to extract finger vein features used in the proposed model in order to find the best accuracy given the variable number of features extracted, as indicated in Table (1). The extraction of low-dimension features from intravenous images using Principal Component Analysis (PCA).

The vein properties are then exploited in various directions and metrics using a set of Gabor filters. The optical vein code (FVCode) is produced by extracting the internal and global vein features (LBP, SURF, and GABOR) from the filtered image. Lastly, a CNN classifier is used to apply intravenous recognition.

A set of Gabor filters is then used to take advantage of vein properties in different directions and metrics. Based on the filtered image, the internal and global vein features (LBP, SURF, and GABOR) are extracted to generate the optical vein code (FVCode).

Finally, intravenous recognition is applied using a CNN classifier.

Table (1) and Fig. (4) show the results of the proposed classification method using the CNN classifier and the four feature extraction methods which are LBP, SURF, GABOR, and PCA using the training set.

method accuracy (%) SURF GABOR PCA 100 95 90 85 Accuracy(%) 80 75 70	7.55 4.33 11.35 3.89	11.88 6.54 30.21 5.01	49.82 7.95 79.82 6.92 Features Accuracy	90.52 13.64 85.49 7.79	92.71 11.61 99.75 13.84	89.96 98.23 99.78 15.00	96.42 38.15 99.81 30.50	96.55 30.17 99.83 53.97	99.11 94.23 99.91 55.55 100 90 80	99.99 95.47 99.93 55.59	100 87.07 99.94 59.79	100 92.87 99.96 97.52 Features Accuracy	100 94.36 99.99 98.50	100 97.58 99.98 99.38	100 98.88 99.90 99.44	100 99.24 99.98 99.75
									70							
65 60 55 50 θ	20 10	40 30	50	60 70	80	100 90		Accuracy(%)	60 50 40 30 20 10 0 $\bf{0}$ 10	20	30 40	50	60 70	80	90	100
			Features No. (a)									Features No. (c)				
			Features Accuracy									Features Accuracy				
100 90 80 70 Accuracy(%) 60 50 40 30 20								Accuracy(%)	100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 ₂							
10 Ω	10 20	30	50 40 Features No.	60	70	80 90	100		10 \circ 10	20	30 40	50 Features No.	60 70	80	90	100

Ahmed S. Hameed *et al*. / Journal of Computer Science 2025, 21 (2): 279.289 **DOI: 10.3844/jcssp.2025.279.289**

Fig. 4: (a) Gabor Features Accuracy (b) LBP Features Accuracy (c) PCA Features Accuracy. (d) SURF Features Accuracy

It is evident from Table (1) and Fig. (4) that the suggested approach utilizing LBP outperforms other earlier approaches in terms of accuracy and precision. Though each method increases with the increased number of features extracted in all images used from within the training images, unfortunately, compared to the originals, the outcomes of these procedures are far inferior. Nevertheless, these techniques might work well in actual use. As mentioned, some techniques yield results with accuracy as high as 100%. This increase can be attributed to the proposed model's capacity to modify the ideal features obtained from the primary features based on Gabor and LBP, which can effectively distinguish consecutive samples and reduce variation.

Experiment 2-1: CNN Matching and Identification (Training Set)

The optimal accuracy of the data set was ascertained using the second series of trials, which employed the proposed CNN model. Two images were tested from within the training data. The result gets a 99% match rate. Noticed that the higher the number of extracted features,

The greater the accuracy when using CNN technology with the four extraction methods (SURF, PCA, LBP, Gabor) up to 100% high accuracy at the time used (the best achieves high accuracy at an acceptable time). Because of the increased intravenous vein variability, Table (1) illustrates as predicted that the identification rate rises with the number of samples. For every two percent increase in the number of samples in the data set, the accuracy rate rises by roughly three to five percent. The proposed model is based on the extraction of unique features from the vein pattern, which does not alter significantly depending on the finger type, since the type of finger has little effect on enhancing accuracy. The accuracy of the suggested model can reach 99% when all the samples are combined for training. This is because the time required to train the model is influenced by the selection of the best features that best describe the individual as a whole. In contrast to the testing process, this takes a small amount of time. Table (2) illustrates how the ideal feature selection unity takes a generality of time during the training step.

Experiment 2-2: Performance Accuracy with Testing (Testing Set)

The experiment was performed to show how to calculate the CNN rate. The dataset was arranged for 75% of the samples for training and 25% tested. We tested the finger vein sample from inside the test samples. To detect the exact match for the proposed model using CNN only. The results showed an identical rate of 65%, the determining rate of the suggested model depends on the number of finger vein samples for each individual, indicating that the likelihood of a correct injury rises with the number of recorded samples for the individual. Selecting which features to extract is crucial in general because noise affects the outcome more when FE is tiny. The accuracy of the output increases significantly with FE enhancement, increasing computational cost. The suggested model's accuracy and detail are very good because it primarily uses the Extractor Selection Module to link each image sample during a low vector that encodes the most important characteristics that will help distinguish between samples and identify finger veins during a sample table during a person's testing using the proposed program method CNN by up to 65.06 percent. As accordingly within the due below. Table (3) shows the results of a testing set of data.

Table 2: Outcomes of finger vein image detection (identification) using the suggested model

				Training set (CNN)					
Number of features				100					
Matching accuracy		99.0%							
Time (s)	2.150 sec								
Table 3: Outcomes of		finger		vein image	detection				

Experiment 3: Performance Accuracy CNN with Different Algorithm FFNN

In the context of finger vein identification, the accuracy rate plays a crucial role in determining the efficacy of the method. This experiment is therefore being conducted to confirm how the features selection module enhances accuracy. In this study, the adaptive features selection algorithm is used to identify the most crucial aspects that contribute to a reduction in overall assessment time without sacrificing accuracy. Here, the suggested model is implemented to choose performance accuracy for both CNN and conventional FFNN (Feed Forward Neural Network), as shown in Table (4).

In this experiment for (123 people-tracking data), noticed that CNN was not considerably impacted by how many features were extracted during the event that training data was not used for one of the feature extraction methods, and for all approaches, we were able to achieve a lower performance test accuracy by 58-58.5%, compared to the same quantity of FFNN training data, we were able to achieve a high accuracy of 100% at the expense of the final test duration.

A CNN-based model with feature extraction methods achieves higher accuracy in some ways 100%, increasing the number of extracted features and decreasing the wrong acceptance rate when comparing the same methods, but with another technique such as traditional FFNN, you do not get good verification results. When taking a sample fingerprint image of a person from outside the training. This outcome could be explained, among other things, by the clearingbased screening procedure's adaptation, which forces the General Assembly to keep a heterogeneous array throughout the evolutionary process, preventing convergence to an optimal solution. When displaying individual differences, the best feature space employed is discriminatory. Since there can be more than one perfect solution in the search space, feature selection problems generally have a multimedia nature. Thus, in this particular problem.

Experiment 4

The suggested model's performance with the four methods (Gabor, LBP, SURF, and PCA) using a testing set to determine the finger vein is shown in Fig. (5).

Figure (5) shows the results of the proposed classification method using the CNN classifier and the four feature extraction methods which are LBP, SURF, GABOR, and PCA using the testing set.

Summary

Experimentation shows that its normal precision in personality distinguishing proof arrives at 99%, indicating its incentive in designing applications. The proposed model has a higher ID normal customary feed-forward neural system calculation. This clarifies the Gabor channel and LBP with CNN are prepared to speak to finger-vein design successfully. The tunable parameters of the proposed finger-vein biometric model are effectively advanced. The Comparison with Previous Studies based on the CNN, Database (FV-USM) is shown in Table (5).

Table (5) shows the Comparison with Previous Studies based on the CNN, Database (FV-USM).

In the K. S. Itqan, A. R. Syafeeza method, a four-layer CNN with fused convolutional neural networks that have reduced complexity- A subsampling architecture is suggested for the detection of finger veins. For network training, we have modified and applied the stochastic diagonal Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm, which results in a faster convergence time. The proposed CNN is tested on a finger-vein database developed in-house that contains 50 subjects with 10 samples from each finger in the Bhavesh Pandya method, the proposed architecture comprises a pre-processing stage for extracting texture features from fingerprints and this stage is performed by using histogram equalization, Gabor enhancement, and fingerprint thinning. The pre-processed fingerprints are input into a Deep Convolutional Neural Network classifier. The proposed approach has achieved 98.21% classification accuracy.

Fig. 5: Features accuracy

Conclusion

This study's primary goal is to propose a deep learning technique for finger vein classification and identification that can operate extremely consistently and accurately even when working with photos of varying quality. This suggestion is to build up an improved biometric Signal order approach utilizing a Convolutional Neural Network. The information sources are acquired by highlight extraction strategies.

These strategies are utilized as a highlight decrease for Biometric Signal grouping. Utilizing the ideal element choice system yields the accompanying advantages:

- 1. A restricted search space that, as opposed to doing a thorough search throughout the full database, can be used to quickly find a certain subject within a limited number of subjects
- 2. Faster correlations, as there will be less number of tasks at the framework level
- 3. Scalability, simple to fuse new subjects

The suggested model presents a CNN-based classification system for finger veins that can effectively identify them in any kind of setting. The suggested model presents a CNN-based classification system for finger veins that can effectively identify them in any kind of setting. A significant amount of daily uses involves the identification process, which is a crucial step. The procedure of identification is applicable in banks, private spaces, and workplaces. It is possible to distinguish such a finger vein in wealthy persons with an abundance of features. The current study examines a biometric identification system based on finger veins using publically accessible databases in order to evaluate the performance of the suggested model in various picture quality scenarios while requiring the least amount of human involvement. The resulting results are important because they show that people can be identified by textural qualities in finger vein images. The textural features acquired by means of the Gabor filter and Local Binary pattern are also demonstrated to be significant discriminant features in finger-vein biometric systems by this study. Convolutional neural networks, in general, have some advantages when it comes to selecting the best elements for finger vein identification. The suggested CNN approach, utilizing four feature extraction

techniques (Gabor, LBP, SURF, and PCA) can achieve almost 98.44% accurate classification rate and nearly 99% accurate identification rate. The accuracy of the suggested model was tested using training data from 2,952 photos of 123 participants from the Sains Malaysia database. Convolutional neural networks produced accurate and high-quality results when used with more training data. The results show that the LBP features extraction method outperforms the other methods such as (Surf, Gabor, and PCA).

Future Work

In light of the work displayed right now, there are several potential examinations on the future work that can be started. The exploration region of human distinguishing proof on the biometric finger vein stage is a rich territory of research. Be that as it may, the creator proposes the accompanying focuses for future work. It is advised that future research consider the construction of an alternative sensor type, such as a side illumination model. For this reason, the side lighting approach, which angles the light sources, seems viable.

The potential applications of biometric technology in airports, their potential benefits, areas of development, and target users are all discussed here. Airport managers weighed the potential for improved security, better information about traveler flow, and higher sales from shopping against potential identity-constitution issues and related issues with security lapses and delays, which could jeopardize the airport's reputation.

This study has just examined traits at the highest level. Additionally, it would be intriguing to look at lower-level feature extraction techniques like Speed Up Robust Feature (SURF) and Principal Component Analysis (PCA).

The vascular pattern biometric score can be enhanced by combining it with additional information, including the finger shape that is already present in the collected image. It is feasible to simultaneously take pictures of the crease pattern and the vascular pattern by utilizing a "hot mirror." It is possible to combine the scores of these two kinds of photos to obtain a better result.

This kind of sensor may possibly be used in the future to identify illnesses. Patients with rheumatism, for instance, may be identified based on how light passes through their interphalangeal joints. DNA data banks were first established to aid in the investigation of crimes involving DNA evidence, not to stop or discourage more crimes. To ensure that the object being presented is, in fact, a living human finger, some sort of liveliness detection is another prerequisite needed for actual applications. Further examination should be done on the near-infrared filter's and mirror's optical characteristics.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the Electrical Engineering Department in the Faculty of Engineering at Damanhour University, we would like also to thank the Institute of Graduate Studies and Researches at Alexandria University for supporting our studies and work, especially the Department of Information Technology and we would like also to thanks the reviewers for their comments which have improved the presentation.

Funding Information

No funding or financial assistance has been given to the authors for this study.

Author's Contributions

Ahmed S. Hameed: Coordinated the data analysis, took part in every experiment, and helped write the manuscript.

Shawkat K. Guirguis: Supervision.

Hend A. Elsayed: Took part in every experiment, planned the research strategy, organized the study, and helped write the report and manage the data analysis.

Ethics

There is unpublished content in this original article. It doesn't raise any ethical concerns.

References

- Dhir, V., Singh, A., Kumar, R., & Singh, G. (2010). Biometric Recognition: A Modern Era for Security. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, *2*(8), 3364–3380.
- Hameed, A. S., Elsayed, H. A., & Guirguis, S. K. (2020). Biometric Signal Classification Using Convolutional Neural Network. *Multi-Knowledge Electronic Comprehensive Journal for Education and Science*, *29*, 1–15.

[https://doi.org/https://www.mecsj.com/uplode/image](https://doi.org/https:/www.mecsj.com/uplode/images/photo/Biometric_Signal_Classification_Using_Convolutional_Neural_Network.pdf) [s/photo/Biometric_Signal_Classification_Using_Co](https://doi.org/https:/www.mecsj.com/uplode/images/photo/Biometric_Signal_Classification_Using_Convolutional_Neural_Network.pdf) [nvolutional_Neural_Network.pdf](https://doi.org/https:/www.mecsj.com/uplode/images/photo/Biometric_Signal_Classification_Using_Convolutional_Neural_Network.pdf)

Hernández-García, R., Barrientos, R. J., Rojas, C., Soto-Silva, W. E., Mora, M., Gonzalez, P., & Frati, F. E. (2019). Fast Finger Vein Recognition Based on Sparse Matching Algorithm under a Multicore Platform for Real-Time Individuals Identification. *Symmetry*, *11*(9), 1167.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/sym11091167>

Hu, X., Li, J., Yang, Y., & Wang, F. (2019). Reliability Verification‐Based Convolutional Neural Networks for Object Tracking. *IET Image Processing*, *13*(1), 175–185.<https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-ipr.2018.5785>

- Hijazi, S., Kumar, R., & Rowen, C. (2015). *Using Convolutional Neural Networks for Image Recognition*. [https://doi.org/https://site.eetchina.com/webinar/pdf/](https://doi.org/https:/site.eetchina.com/webinar/pdf/Cadence_0425_webinar_WP.pdf) [Cadence_0425_webinar_WP.pdf](https://doi.org/https:/site.eetchina.com/webinar/pdf/Cadence_0425_webinar_WP.pdf)
- Karpathy, A. (2015). Neural Networks Part 1: Setting up the Architecture. *Notes for CS231n Convolutional Neural Networks for Visual Recognition, Stanford University.* [http://cs231n.](http://cs231n/) github. io/neural-networks-1, 2015.
- Kasar, M., & Patil, S. (2022). Study and Analysis of Facial Landmark Detection Techniques". *Solid State Technology*, *63*(6), 13465–13481. [https://doi.org/https://www.researchgate.net/publicat](https://doi.org/https:/www.researchgate.net/publication/362540279_Study_and_Analysis_of_Facial_Landmark_Detection_Techniques) [ion/362540279_Study_and_Analysis_of_Facial_Lan](https://doi.org/https:/www.researchgate.net/publication/362540279_Study_and_Analysis_of_Facial_Landmark_Detection_Techniques) [dmark_Detection_Techniques](https://doi.org/https:/www.researchgate.net/publication/362540279_Study_and_Analysis_of_Facial_Landmark_Detection_Techniques)
- Khellat-kihel, S., abrishambaf, R., Cardoso, N., Monteiro, J., & Benyettou, M. (2014). Finger Vein Recognition Using Gabor Filter and Support Vector Machine. *International Image Processing, Applications and Systems Conference*, 1–6.

<https://doi.org/10.1109/ipas.2014.7043263>

- Kodituwakku, S. R. (2015). Biometric authentication: A review. *International Journal of Trend in Research and Development*, *2*(4), 2394–9333. [https://doi.org/https://www.researchgate.net/publicat](https://doi.org/https:/www.researchgate.net/publication/281257836_BIOMETRIC_AUTHENTICATION_A_REVIEW) [ion/281257836_BIOMETRIC_AUTHENTICATIO](https://doi.org/https:/www.researchgate.net/publication/281257836_BIOMETRIC_AUTHENTICATION_A_REVIEW) [N_A_REVIEW](https://doi.org/https:/www.researchgate.net/publication/281257836_BIOMETRIC_AUTHENTICATION_A_REVIEW)
- Kshirsagar, V. P., Baviskar, M. R., & Gaikwad, M. E. (2011). Face Recognition Using Eigenfaces. *2011 3rd International Conference on Computer Research and Development*, 302–306. <https://doi.org/10.1109/iccrd.2011.5764137>
- Kumar, A., & Zhou, Y. (2012). Human Identification Using Finger Images. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, *21*(4), 2228–2244. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tip.2011.2171697>
- Malik, I., & Sharma, R. (2013). Analysis of Different Techniques for Finger-Vein Feature Extraction. *Int. J. Comput. Trends Technology (IJCTT*, *4*(5), 1–5. [https://doi.org/http://ijcttjournal.org/Volume4/issue-](https://doi.org/http:/ijcttjournal.org/Volume4/issue-5/IJCTT-V4I5P67.pdf)[5/IJCTT-V4I5P67.pdf](https://doi.org/http:/ijcttjournal.org/Volume4/issue-5/IJCTT-V4I5P67.pdf)
- Pandya, B., Cosma, G., Alani, A. A., Taherkhani, A., Bharadi, V., & McGinnity, T. M. (2018). Fingerprint Classification Using a Deep Convolutional Neural Network. *2018 4th International Conference on Information Management (ICIM)*, 86–91. <https://doi.org/10.1109/infoman.2018.8392815>
- Paul, E., & Beegom A S, A. (2015). Mining Images for Image Annotation Using SURF Detection Technique. *2015 International Conference on Control Communication & Computing India (ICCC)*, 724–728.<https://doi.org/10.1109/iccc.2015.7432989>
- Rosdi, B. A. (2020). *Finger Vein USM (FV-USM) Database*.

[https://doi.org/http://drfendi.com/fv_usm_database/](https://doi.org/http:/drfendi.com/fv_usm_database/)

Syazana-Itqan, K., Syafeeza, A. R., Saad, N. M., Hamid, N. A., & Bin Mohd Saad, W. H. (2016). A Review of Finger-Vein Biometrics Identification Approaches. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, *9*(32), 1–8.

<https://doi.org/10.17485/ijst/2016/v9i32/99276>

Veluchamy, S., & Karlmarx, L. R. (2017). System for Multimodal Biometric Recognition Based on Finger Knuckle and Finger Vein Using Feature‐Level Fusion and k‐Support Vector Machine Classifier. *IET Biometrics*, *6*(3), 232–242.

<https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-bmt.2016.0112>

- Xu, R., Xu, Y., Yang, X., Huang, H., Lei, Z., & Quan, Y. (2024). Wavelet Analysis Model Inspired Convolutional Neural Networks for Image Denoising. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, *125*, 798–811.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2023.10.023>
- Yan, C., Lang, C., Wang, T., Du, X., & Zhang, C. (2014). *Age Estimation Based on Convolutional Neural Network*. *8879*, 211–220. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-13168-9_22