Applications of Artificial Intelligence Based Technologies in Weed and Pest Detection
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Abstract: Unprecedented population growth and climate change has burdened food security and scarcity worldwide, where the agriculture sector can significantly contribute to accomplishing the demands and contribute to the economic growth of a country. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has revolutionized the agricultural domain. Pest and weed detection is significant to yielding good quality crops. The AI-based tools and technologies such as drones and robots bring advancement in crop production by performing the early detection of weeds and pests. The tools utilize image processing and machine learning algorithms to capture, analyze and detect the presence of weeds and pests in plants. The research work carried out provides a comprehensive survey for the application of artificial intelligence for both weed and pest detection. It presents widely used techniques, their evaluation parameters, and publicly available datasets which provide the current status of work for the researchers working in the domain of weed and pest detection.

Introduction

Agriculture makes a considerable contribution to a country’s economic sector. The world’s population is rapidly increasing, resulting in the increased need for food and employment. By 2050, the world’s population is predicted to exceed 9 billion people, necessitating a 70% increase in agricultural and food output to meet demand, posing a severe challenge for the agri-food business as detailed by Rockström et al. (2017), Ben Ayed and Hanana (2021). The farmer's traditional practices are not sufficient to meet the increasing demand of the growing population. As a result, new automated procedures are developed. Agriculture has transformed as a result of artificial intelligence. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is the ability to simulate human intelligence using computer systems, robotics, and digital equipment. This technology has protected crop yields against a variety of factors such as climate change, population increase, presence of pests and weeds, etc.

Agriculture has been raised to a new level due to AI-based equipment and tools. The technology brings advancement in crop production which enabled real-time monitoring, harvesting, processing, and marketing. The development of agricultural drones and robots has contributed tremendously to maintaining crop quality and enhanced productivity through automated irrigation, detection of damaged crops, and yield detection. Weeds and Pests in the plants are among the major factors which hinder plant growth.

Farmers faced problems in controlling the weed due to high resistance to herbicides. The sprayers used for pest and weed management apply uniformly over the entire field. However, the weeds are not uniformly distributed, they are generally patchy. The weed control and management ensure the high crop yield with limited use of herbicides. Therefore, weed detection is significant to produce good quality crops and meet the needs of a growing population. The pests prevent the normal growth of the plants. It damages a significant portion of the plant and affects the process of its development from seed to seedling growth.

Machine learning classification is used to automate the process of rapid detection and recognition of pests and weeds from images and videos of the crops. Weed detection is a challenge as crops and weeds are similar in color and size.

Boullent et al. (2019) stated that digital image processing is used to process and manipulate the crop's
images to detect the presence of pests and weeds. The identification of pests is an object detection problem and is based on what, where, and how. The ‘what’ corresponds to the pest’s category label, which provides information on the location of the pests and how corresponds to the image segmentation to detect the pests.

Earlier surveys in the literature are performed either on weed or pest detection. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first review that provides the significant work performed in both weed and pest detection domains.

**Different Stages of Classification**

The three stages for weed and pests identification and categorization are shown in Fig. 1.

The three stages for weed and pests identification and categorization are shown in Fig 1. The different stages are as follows:

1. Input stage
2. Processing stage
3. Output stage

**Input Stage**

The input stage consists of a dataset made up of images of weeds or pests taken on a farm. The dataset may contain images of different types of plants having weeds or pests. The different categories of weeds and pests are used for the training dataset. To provide effective training, the input image datasets may be of different sizes, soil environments, diverse visual characteristics, and different growth stages.

The image data is generally collected through Sensors and camera-mounted UAVs. These images vary i.e., RGB, thermal, multi-spectral, hyper-spectral, 3D, and chlorophyll fluorescence, and are captured on type of analysis. Islam et al. (2021) have selected RGB images which were captured from cameras mounted in a Phantom 3 Advanced drone and equipped with the 1/2.3” CMOS sensor for early weed detection prediction in chili farm.

Wu et al. (2021) stated that there are several image-based features such as texture, shape, spectral, color, etc., which play important role in the classification and help in the early detection of weed. However, their feature values vary due to the natural field conditions i.e., high weed density, overlapping, or obscured weeds and crops.

Texture features are related to spatial distribution among pixels and are directly influenced by the shape and texture of leaves. Many researchers have used this feature information for weed recognition and classification. Bakhshipour et al. (2017) have demonstrated the usage of around 52 wavelet texture features in a sugar beet crop and discussed the significance of effective classification in weed recognition.

Similarly, Ishak et al. (2019) identified the new vector feature on directional texture based on the combination of Gabor Wavelet (GW) and Gradient Field Distribution (GFD) methods.

Torres-Sánchez et al. (2015) demonstrated the use of the Object-Based Image Analysis (OBIA) technique with optimal parameters identification through an automatic thresholding algorithm for better classification and detection of weeds from herbaceous plant/crop on the Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) drone images for large-scale use.

Nursuriati et al. (2015) identified that the multi-feature (shape, color, spectral, texture, statistical and structural features, etc.) decision-making fusion recognition method provides better stability and higher accuracy as compared to single-feature recognition approach limiting to feature selection issue. Similarly, Lin et al. (2017) described the combination of various features such as texture, spectral, shape, etc., for discrimination of weed from corn crop.

**Processing Stage**

The processing stage comprises of two different steps:

a) Image processing
b) Classification model

**Fig. 1: Different Stages of weed or pests detection**
Image processing: In this, the input image dataset is pre-processed to extract the portion of an image segment to identify the regions of interest. The Region of Interest (RoI) is the image segment that represents the weeds or pests for its detection. The weeds or the pests in the images are required to be isolated from the background (soil). Thereafter, each class of weed in the image is labeled. The classification network uses the labeled images as input. The labeling of images is viewed as its features which are used to perform the classification. The various features used for classification depend on the different classes of weed or pests the image contains. However, the general features of images that are used to perform weed detection are the color of the weed or pests to its background, shape, texture, wavelet transform, etc. In many cases, the fusion of multiple features is also used to achieve acceptable accuracies.

Feature Optimization

Feature Selection is a crucial and necessary technique that allows the model to execute fast, eliminate redundancy, eliminate noisy data, reduce overfitting, enhance precision and increase generalization on testing data. The traditional feature selection techniques have been used for classification tasks for decades, however, they are not efficient to reduce the dimensionality, resulting in inefficient predictive models. The new paradigm, uses emerging technologies, such as metaheuristics-based algorithms and hyper-heuristics optimization methods Abidun et al. (2021). Similarly, Misaghi and Yaghobi (2019) have demonstrated the use of the nature-inspired and chaos theory-based Invasive Weed Optimization algorithm (IWO) for parameters optimization such as standard deviation and logistic chaotic mapping features. In the related work by Saleem et al. (2022) where authors demonstrated the use and impact of image resizers and optimization of the weights of the best-acquired models by initialization techniques, batch normalization, and Deep learning algorithms which consequently led to improved and effective weed detection management system.

These technologies can be applied in weed and pests detection for improving classification accuracy and tackling complex optimization issues in less time.

b) Classification model: Classification is a predictive modeling approach that categorizes a set of unseen data into classes. The classification model uses various classification algorithms to train images to classify outputs into several classes. The algorithms apply neural networks, deep learning, etc. The classifier is developed using various algorithms such as K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN), Support Vector Machine (SVM), neural networks, deep learning, Adaboost, etc.

Output Stage

The output stage produces the classifier network as the output of the processing stage. The classifier network produced can detect the required infestation in the plants.

Materials and Methods

Image Segmentation and Feature Extraction

Image segmentation is a technique for breaking down a digital image into subgroups called image segments. It reduces the image's complexity, making it easier to handle or analyze. Feature extraction refers to the process of defining a set of image characteristics, that will most efficiently represent the information needed for analysis and classification. The image segmentation and feature extraction are applied to the images of the crops to distinguish between soil background and crops. It is performed due to the color difference between the two.

The early works on weed detection were limited to the particular type of crops. They differentiate between the two based on the color and shape of the weeds. Lee et al. (1999) detected the weeds in the tomato crops. Aitkenhead et al. (2003) performed weed detection on carrot crops only. Karger and Shirzadifar (2013) focused on weed detection in corn crops. It achieved 90% accuracy due to the wider size of corn leaves in comparison to weeds. Aravind et al. (2015) identify the weed from the ragi plantation. The region of spraying herbicide is identified using a threshold-based approach. McCarthy et al. (2010) surveyed smart spraying for weeds using machine vision and concluded that the approaches can distinguish between soil and vegetation only due to their color difference. The traditional weed and pest detection techniques faced various challenges such as the small difference between damaged areas and the background, issues with the contrast of the crop images, and noise in the images, which makes identification of objects difficult.

Classification Model

Classification is a predictive modeling approach that categorizes a set of unseen data into classes. In weed detection, the classification approaches include traditional machine learning and deep learning algorithms.

Traditional Algorithms for Weed Detection

The efficiency and effectiveness of the traditional methods are primarily based upon the suitable feature selection and feature extraction techniques apart from the classifier methods. There are several lists of features such as shapes, color size, textures of weed are used for easy discrimination.

Tellaeche et al. (2011) and Behmann et al. (2014) stated that the classification of crops and weeds is largely performed using SVMs and Artificial Neural Networks.
(ANNs). SVM has advantage in solving nonlinear and high-dimensional pattern recognition, as well as in small-sample size problems. Bakhshipour et al. (2017) identified that ANNs have a strong learning capability and can give high accuracy with unseen data. Besides these algorithms, other approaches have also been used for the classification of crops and weeds such as K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) Kazmi et al. (2015), random forest Lottes et al. (2017), Naive Bayesian algorithm, and Bayesian classifier De Rainville et al. (2012) and AdaBoost.

Chen et al. (2011) classified four types of broad-leaved weed images using an enhanced KNN combined with GW and regional covariance Lie group structure.

**Neural Networks and Deep Learning for Weed Detection**

In the modern era of increasing agricultural yields, the concept of smart farming has been realized with greater significance. The increasing usage of Machine learning-based algorithms for the classification of images captured through the installed camera on moving objects such as tractors, drones, Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), etc., are supportive of weed detection as described by Rakhmatuilln et al. (2021). Although real-time practicing of the Machine learning-based image classification provides a solution to image detection, identifying the actual weed in the real field is still a challenge due to the variation in light images, size of weed, angle of light and color-based weed detection. Image Pre-processing is one of the important aspects in the field of machine vision. Panqueba and Medina (2016) studied the use of neural networks and deep learning for the detection of weeds. Much of the research uses image segmentation and a neural network to detect weeds in the crops.

Partel et al. (2019) focus on the development of a smart sprayer for weeds detection among vegetation. It helps in spraying only on the affected areas. The approach uses the deep learning neural network to detect the target and non-target plants for effective weed management.

Badhan et al. (2021) propose a real-time weed detection approach for onion and cucumber crops. It captures video of the crop field and identifies the frames for weed detection. It applies Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) and Residual neural network-50 (ResNet50) to train the machine learning classification model on onion and cucumber crops. The results reveal that ResNet-50 showed higher accuracy in comparison to CNN with an accuracy of 90% for Onion crops and 84.6% for cucumber crops.

Islam et al. (2021) describe the application of various machine learning-based classifiers such as Random Forest (RF), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), to detect weeds using UAV images from a chili crop field.

Subeesh et al. (2022) demonstrated the use of deep learning-based techniques (Alex Net, Google net, InceptionV3, Exception) for the weed identification from RGB images of bell pepper fields with the varied accuracy of 94.5 to 97.7% of different models where InceptionV3 model exhibited the higher performance with a 97.7% accuracy. This study facilitates further integration of both the herbicide applications and weed management system with more preciseness and accuracy.

Narassiguin et al. (2016) applied ensemble learning by training several learners to solve the same problem. It incorporates the boosting algorithm which combines several weaker boosting algorithms to create a more powerful machine learning classifier. Adaboost. M1 and Logit Boost are two of the most used boosting algorithms. Freund and Schapire (1997) proposed Adaboost. M1. It is the generalization of the Adaboost algorithm mainly used for more than two class problems. Friedman et al. (2000) proposed Logit Boost as an extension of Adaboost that combines a combination of the boosting method and logistic regression for classification.

**Image Datasets for Weed Classification**

The classification of crops requires training data. Table 1 presents some of the publicly available datasets that can be trained using deep learning.

**Pest Detection**

Automatic pest detection is significant for the estimation of the damage caused by pests and taking preventive measures. Many researches focuses on remote monitoring of crops to find the infestations. It is being performed using Aerial images captured using Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) as proposed by Vanegas et al. (2018). However, it required a high resolution of images to detect small pests. Earlier studies on pest detection applied acoustical analysis for the sound emitted by pests. Digital imaging is extensively used for the manipulation of captured images and their analysis.

The problem of pest detection aims to find the presence or absence of pests in the images. It is a binary classification problem that identifies the presence of an object from all the elements. Detection gives an estimate of the degree of spread of pests in an image. Classification of the pests is performed after their detection. The classification problem is the identification of the kind of pests present in the image. It is a multiclass detection problem that results in the most probable class of pests. The classification is divided into three different steps as follows.

**Image Acquisition**

Martineau et al. (2017) proposed that the type of image captured is one of the significant factors for the identification of techniques for pest classification. It includes the different poses of the images captured, the
shape, orientation, and size are considerable factors in the classification techniques of pests. Table 1 lists some of the publicly available datasets for crops that can be trained using deep learning.

**Feature Extraction**

The research on feature extraction is carried out in the extraction of the desired area of the image. It includes isolating the pests from their background or foreground image. The extraction is performed using the image segmentation technique. Various studies have been carried out for segmentation tasks. The segmentation can be performed as follows:

a) Supervised segmentation: It aims to learn to remove the background. The classifier is trained using a set of images with negative or positive background images as stated by Xie et al. (2015)
b) Threshold segmentation: In this, an intensity value is set. The images are split and are grouped into objects or backgrounds according to their intensity value. The thresholding can also be performed using clustering. It formed two or more clusters that define the different regions of the images. Various clustering methods have been implemented for the thresholding such as k-means.

c) User segmentation: Sometimes the user performed the segmentation in which it selects the region for extraction.
d) Edge detection segmentation: It performs the segmentation to get the edge of the image using Sobel filters or order statistics filters as stated by Leow et al. (2015).

**Classification Techniques**

The various classification techniques for detection of different kinds of pests are shown in Table 2. Some of the datasets used for pests and plant diseases are shown in Table 3. The dataset can be used to classify the type of pests.

**Evaluation Parameters for Weed and Pest detection**

The weed detection algorithms are evaluated and compared based on parameters such as their accuracy, precision, recall, etc. The various parameters of machine learning algorithms on which the weed detection is performed are presented in Table 4.

---

**Table 1:** Some of the Publicly available datasets for crops that can be trained using deep learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Type of crops</th>
<th>Number of images</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chehrabola et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Weed in sugar beet crops</td>
<td>12340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lameski et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Carrot with weed</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dos Santos Ferreira et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Soyabean and weed dataset</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kovšen et al. (2019)</td>
<td>Red and white clover</td>
<td>39600 (real and synthetic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosilj et al. (2019)</td>
<td>Onions with weed</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Weed with Corn and lettuce</td>
<td>6800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudars et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Food crops and weed</td>
<td>1118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Various techniques for pest classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification technique</th>
<th>Kind of pests</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Template matching</td>
<td>Whiteflies in crops</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template matching</td>
<td>Red palm weevil</td>
<td>Al-Sager (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial neural network</td>
<td>Beet armyworms</td>
<td>Asefpour and Massah (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support vector machine</td>
<td>beetles in beet and potato crops</td>
<td>Roldán-Serrato et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support vector machine</td>
<td>Rice plant hoppers</td>
<td>Yao, (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-means clustering</td>
<td>Thrips in strawberry flowers</td>
<td>Ebrahimimi et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-means clustering</td>
<td>Whiteflies in crops</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** Some of the publicly available datasets for pests and plant diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Number of images</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hughes and Salathé (2015)</td>
<td>Plant village a database of plant diseases around 14 crop varieties with 26 diseases</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al. (2019)</td>
<td>IP 102: Insect pest recognition database</td>
<td>75,000 related to 102 pests species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah et al. (2016; Prajapati et al., 2018; Thapa et al. (2020); Wang et al. (2021); Huang and Chuang (2020)</td>
<td>Rice leaf diseases data set: Three classes of diseases; apple leaf disease; bacterial leaf blight, brown spot and leaf smut; agri pest: A dataset of wild pest images; tomato pest images</td>
<td>49700 images containing 14 species of pests; 3651 images; 40 images for each class; 8 classes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

The existing weed detection methods are specific to a particular crop instead of actual field images. When the existing approaches are applied to weed detection in a field, the accuracy and the performance become low. Weeds have a diverse range of species, a wide distribution, a diversity of leaf shapes and sizes, and irregular development, which results in a variety of textural aspects. Weeds in the bud stage are typically tiny, have a variety of appearances, and have a high germination density. As a result, obtaining accurate statistics is challenging. The following are the primary factors that influence weed detection performance:

1. Weed detection is highly dependent on different stages of development of a plant. In changing seasons or phases of growth and development, most plants vary their leaf morphology, texture, and spectral properties
2. Weed detection is highly dependent on the variation in the light received by the plant. The color of the vegetation is affected by the amount of sunlight and the shade of the plant canopy
3. The presence of overlapping leaves, dead leaves, and damaged leaves causes problems in the image segmentation task

At present, machine learning and deep learning methods are widely used in various computer vision tasks based on image analysis i.e., food safety, plant diseases, and pests detection, and play an important role in agriculture as proposed by Khan et al. (2021), Khan (2022), Khan et al. (2022).

However, the detection of agricultural pests from images is a hard problem because of small sample size availability, unlabeled dataset, noisy data, and lack of sufficient benchmark datasets like image net classifiers process around 14 million datasets which are needed improved and cleaned dataset for better accuracy and to reduce the spurious prediction. Apart from that, the incidence rate of some pests causing plant disease is very low and the image acquisition cost is also too high leading to a lesser number of useful data set availability impacting the effective and precise classification of plant diseases and pests identification through various deep learning models.

Conclusion and Future Work

The research work carried out provides a comprehensive survey in the domain of weed and pests detection in the crops. The work presents the role of artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms to automate the detection of weeds and pests among plants. Various publicly available datasets are also presented. High levels of automatic weed and pests detection have been attained using multiple platforms and mechanical equipment based on machine learning methods and deep learning-based approaches. However, the domain of weed and pests detection is still in its early stage. The presence of current machine learning and deep learning algorithms will act as a foundation for achieving higher accuracy of results. The work requires focusing on the generalization of results and robustness. Apart from that the real field conditions of the crops and weed growth are controlled by several other factors i.e., biotic to abiotic, which poses another line of the major challenge to image-based data collection with more preciseness. Though sufficient research efforts were made in past to cope with accurate data collection still it is required to be addressed under the...
advanced UAV-based image collection technology for precision agriculture. Based on the challenges discussed, future work can be focused on overlapping leaves, optimization of weed detection in the fields, and increasing the pests samples for training data.
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