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Abstract: Using Tithonia (Tithonia diversifolia), Cassava leaves (Manihot utilissima), and Gamal (Gliricidia sepium) as alternative fibers, and palm concentrate as an alternative protein, is one way to implement an effective feeding strategy under a limited supply or lack of feed sources for Etawa Crossbred Dairy Goats (ECDG). This research aimed to study the productive traits and milk quality of ECDG fed on several sources of forage with Palm Kernel Cake Concentrate (PKCC). The experimental design was completely randomized with four treatments of feed formulation. Treatment formulations were as follows: A. 50% Tofu Waste (TW) +50% field grass (control); B. 25% TW +25% PKCC +50% Tithonia (Tithonia diversifolia); C. 25% TW +25% PKCC +50% Cassava leaves (Manihot utilissima); D. 25% TW +25% PKCC +50% Gamal (Gliricidia sepium). The results showed were significant (P<0.05) on parameters such as DMI, OMI, CPI, DMD, OMD, CPD, protein, lactose, fat, TS, and Ca of milk. It can be concluded that the replacement of field grass with forage sources such as Tithonia, Cassava leaves, and Gamal and the replacement of tofu waste with concentrate-based palm kernel cake could increase feed intake, digestibility, protein, lactose, and fat of milk.
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Introduction

Forage such as elephant grass is the main feed for ruminants to provide crude fiber. Other unconventional forage sources are Tithonia (Tithonia diversifolia), Cassava leaves (Manihot utilissima), and Gamal (Gliricidia sepium) were suggested. Tithonia is a shrub or weed-like plant that grows a lot in an empty land, roadsides, and rice fields. In West Sumatra, Tithonia is also known as the paitan plant because of its bitter leaves (Pazla et al., 2021a). Tithonia plants have not been widely used as a source of organic matter, fertilizer, or animal feed ingredients. However, Tithonia is quite favored by livestock because of its high protein content of up to 22.98% (Jamarun et al., 2019). Also, Cassava leaves and Gamal are nutritious foraged ingredients that are useful for livestock.

Furthermore, livestock needs concentrated feed ingredients for energy. The prices of concentrate ingredients, especially corn, are expensive. Therefore, it is necessary to look for alternative feed ingredients with abundant availability and quality to reduce dependence on imported feed ingredients. One of the concentrate feed ingredients is a by-product of the palm oil processing industry, namely Palm Kernel Cake (PKC). Viewed from the production aspect, 60% of the total palm oil industry products are by-products. PKC is useful as an alternative feed ingredient for livestock because it has good nutritional composition and great potential as a source of concentrated feed ingredients for livestock (Arief et al., 2019a).

In addition to dairy goats, livestock that can be developed as milk-producing is Etawa Crossbred Dairy Goats (ECDG). The ECDG crosses between Etawa goats and Indonesian kacang goats. The advantage of ECDG is that they have good adaptability to the different environmental macroclimatic conditions in Indonesia. ECDG are dual-purpose type goats that have good reproductive characteristics and better nutritional milk content than cow's milk (Arief et al., 2019b). ECDG is raised for milk production, especially in Indonesia (Arief et al., 2021a-b). Goat's milk contains minerals such as Ca, P, and Mg which are higher than cow's and human milk. The high content of medium-chain fatty acids is beneficial for the body because it is rapidly oxidized by the liver and induces a full effect (Vaquil, 2017). Besides, goat's milk has medicinal properties that can cure various diseases such as asthma and tuberculosis (Pal et al., 2011).

Research on the use of various forage sources combined with the provision of by-products from the
palm oil industry and tofu waste as feed ingredients for lactating ECDG concentrates has never been carried out. If this potential is explored properly, non-conventional forage sources and the palm oil industry will play a significant role in providing animal feed, especially dairy goats, which are useful for supporting food self-sufficiency programs, especially milk in Indonesia (Pazla et al., 2018a).

This research aimed to study the productive traits and milk quality of ECDG fed on several sources of forage with Palm Kernel Cake Concentrate (PKCC).

Materials and Methods

Ethical Approval

This experiment has referred to research ethics using livestock based on the Republic of Indonesia government law number 18 of 2009 (Section 66), which addressed animal keeping, raising, killing, and proper treatment and care.

Experimental Design and Duration

This research was conducted at an ECDG livestock company in Payakumbuh, West Sumatra, Indonesia (-0.2330638,100.6268024). There were 16 ECDGs in the second month of lactation used in this research. The selected ECDG at 1-1.5 years and 58-60 kg. All zoo hygiene requirements are met in intensive goat farming (Hasan et al., 2022).

This experiment used a 4 × 4 completely randomized design determined by four treatments of feed formulation as follows: A. 50% Tofu Waste (TW) +50% field grass (control); B. 25% TW +25% Kernel Cake Concentrate (PKCC) +50% Tithonia; C. 25% TW +25% PKCC +50% Cassava leaves; D. 25% TW +25% PKCC +50% Gamal for a total of 16 experimental units. ECDGs were placed in individual cages with a size of 1.25 x 1.00 m. The experimental cage was given disinfectant (anti-microorganism) to inhibit and kill microorganisms and all ECDG were given deworming before the study started. All ECDGs were confirmed not to have mastitis. The experiment was carried out for 50 days consisting of 30 days of the adaptation period, 15 days of the preliminary period, and 5 days of the collecting period.

The experimental ration consisted of forage and concentrate in a ratio of 50:50. The ration was given at 3.5% of body weight-based dry matter (NRC, 2007). Drinking water was available ad libitum. The nutritional contents of each feed ingredient are presented in Table 1. The composition and nutritional contents of palm kernel cake-based concentrates are presented in Table 2. The composition and nutritional contents of the experimental rations are explained in Table 3. Proximate analysis of feed ingredients (dry matter, ash, protein, extract ether, and crude fiber) was carried out following (AOAC, 2005). NDF was determined following (Goering, 1970). TDN was estimated with the following formula (Moran, 2005):

\[
TDN = 5.31 + 0.412 \times CP + 0.249
\]

\[
CF% + 1.444 \times EE% + 0.937 \times NFE%
\]

where:

- \( CP \) = Crude Protein
- \( CF \) = Crude Fiber
- \( EE \) = Extract Ether
- \( NFE \) = Nitrogen Free Extract

Collection of Fecal Samples

The sewage collection (\( n = 16 \)) from the ECDG was carried out for 5 days from the 46th day to the 50th day. The dirt was weighed every day at 8 am (the weight of fresh dirt). Then 200 g of dirt was taken as a sample to analyze the dry matter, ash, and crude protein content. The samples were oven to 60°C for 8 h and then weighed (dry weight). Before analysis in the laboratory, goat hair attached to the manure was removed.

Milk Sample Collection

Every day, the milk production of each experimental animal was weighed and recorded. Milk samples (\( n = 16 \)) were taken 2 times during the study for quality testing as much as 300 mL per treatment goat. Before sampling, the nipples were cleaned so that the dirt that sticks out can be lost and not contaminate the milk. Then, the milk sample was taken and stored in a cool box to avoid microbial contamination.

Parameters Measured

The variables measured were Dry Matter Intake (DMI), Organic Matter Intake (OMI), Crude Protein Intake (CPI), Dry Matter Digestibility (DMD), Organic Matter Digestibility (OMD), Crude Protein Digestibility (CPD), milk production and milk quality parameters such as milk protein, lactose, milk fat, Total Solid (TS), Solid Non-Fat (SNF), pH, BJ and Ca and P mineral. Digestibility measurement in vivo using the total collection method (Jamarun et al., 2021): Weighing the entire ration eaten and weighing all excreted feces.

Protein, lactose, and fat were measured using the method of (AOAC, 2005). SNF and TS were measured using lactoscan MCC50, pH was measured using a pH meter digital HI9807-phep, Singapura, while specific gravity was measured using a lactodensimeter merk funke gerber Germany.
Table 1: The nutritional content of each feed ingredient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient (%)</th>
<th>Feedstuff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fields grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter</td>
<td>23.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter</td>
<td>92.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>10.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber</td>
<td>25.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extract ether</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFE</td>
<td>53.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF</td>
<td>67.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDN</td>
<td>58.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: T = Tithonia, CL = Cassava Leaf, G = Gamal, PKC = Palm Kernel Cake, NFE = Nitrogen Free Extract, NDF = Neutral Detergent Fiber, TDN = Total Digestible Nutrient

Table 2: The composition and nutrients of palm kernel cake concentrate (% DM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feed ingredients</th>
<th>Level (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palm kernel cake</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice bran</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tofu waste</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasan</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter</td>
<td>91.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>9.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>16.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber</td>
<td>13.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFE</td>
<td>55.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF</td>
<td>62.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDN</td>
<td>66.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NFE = Nitrogen Free Extract, NDF = Neutral Detergent fiber, TDN = Total Digestible Nutrient

Table 3: Composition of treatments ration (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feed materials</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field grass</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tithonia</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassava</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKCC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tofu waste</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical Analysis

Experimental data were analyzed using the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with a completely randomized design (Steel and Torrie, 1980) using SPSS software version 20. Parameters mean showed statistical differences in probabilities level of P<0.05 compared using the Duncan multiple range tests. The statistical model and experimental design were as follows:

\[ Y_{ij} = \mu + M_i + \epsilon_{ij} \]

where, \( Y_{ij} \) denotes the observation variable, \( \mu \) denotes the overall mean, \( M_i \) denotes the effect of treatments and \( \epsilon_{ij} \) denotes the residual effect.

Results

Milk Production

The quality of feed greatly determines the optimal production and quality of milk. Milk production in this study did not differ between treatments, but treatments that received various types of forage (Tithonia, Cassava leaves, and Gamal) and PKCC showed higher milk production (Fig. 1) and no relationship between them. crude protein intake and milk production (Fig. 2).

Milk Quality

The results on the quality of ECDG goat milk showed that treatment C had the highest milk protein contents (Table 4) which was not significantly different from treatments B and D. Treatment B resulted in higher levels of lactose (P<0.05). The highest milk fat content was found in treatment B which was not significantly different from treatment D. The highest SNF was obtained due to the high protein and lactose contents in treatment B. The statistical analysis showed that there was no significant difference between treatments on the specific gravity of milk. Treatment B had the highest total solid, 21.78% and treatment A exhibited the lowest total solid up to 16.87%. The pH value of milk in this study was 6.7-6.86. The contents of Ca and P in treatment A expose the lowest value when compared to treatments B, C, and D, which received ingredients from Tithonia forage, Cassava leaves, and Gamal, as well as PKCC.

Feed Intake

The replacement of field grass with various forages (Tithonia, Cassava leaves, and Gamal) and the replacement of tofu waste with PKCC could increase (P<0.05) the Dry Matter Intake (DMI) (Table 5). Treatments B, C, and D revealed better DMI. The average Organic Matter Intake (OMI) during the study ranged.
from 2.23-3.53 Kg/e/h. The OMI in treatment A was significantly (P<0.05) lower than the other treatments. Crude Protein Intake (CPI) in treatments B, C, and D indicated a higher value (P<0.05). Treatment C exhibited the highest value (0.91 kg/head/day).

**Nutrient Digestibility**

The treatment of various forage sources and PKCC concentrates gave a significantly different effect (P<0.05) on the digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, and crude protein (Table 6). Treatment C revealed the highest Dry Matter Digestibility (DMD) (76.85%) and Organic Matter Digestibility (OMD) (77.25%) while the lowest was treatment A (69.43%). The range of Crude Protein Digestibility (CPD) of the treatment was 71.26-86.01%. Also, treatment C showed the highest CPD (88.01%) while, treatment A appeared to have the lowest CPD (71.26%).

**Table 4: Milk quality of the different experimental groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>4.89a</td>
<td>5.99b</td>
<td>6.26b</td>
<td>6.01b</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose (%)</td>
<td>5.58a</td>
<td>6.73a</td>
<td>3.55b</td>
<td>3.44b</td>
<td>0.5400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>3.58a</td>
<td>6.78b</td>
<td>3.70a</td>
<td>6.05b</td>
<td>0.3400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Non-Fat (SNF) (%)</td>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>13.92</td>
<td>13.79</td>
<td>0.6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Solid (TS) (%)</td>
<td>16.87a</td>
<td>21.78b</td>
<td>17.62ab</td>
<td>19.84bc</td>
<td>0.8100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.8600</td>
<td>6.7800</td>
<td>6.7600</td>
<td>6.8100</td>
<td>0.0400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity (g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.0285</td>
<td>1.0289</td>
<td>1.0288</td>
<td>1.0288</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (%)</td>
<td>0.34a</td>
<td>0.47b</td>
<td>0.56c</td>
<td>0.73ab</td>
<td>0.1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (%)</td>
<td>0.2300</td>
<td>0.2700</td>
<td>0.2900</td>
<td>0.2600</td>
<td>0.2400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Different superscripts (a, b, c, d) in the same line showed significant differences (P<0.05)

**Table 5: Feed intake of the different experimental groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (Kg/day)</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMI</td>
<td>2.37a</td>
<td>3.06b</td>
<td>3.85c</td>
<td>3.31d</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMI</td>
<td>2.23a</td>
<td>2.81b</td>
<td>3.53c</td>
<td>3.12d</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>0.39a</td>
<td>0.53ab</td>
<td>0.91c</td>
<td>0.65b</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Different superscripts (a,b,c,d) in the same line showed significant differences (P<0.05)

**Table 6: Nutrient digestibility of the different experimental groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (%)</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter digestibility</td>
<td>67.97a</td>
<td>73.35b</td>
<td>76.85c</td>
<td>68.45a</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter digestibility</td>
<td>69.43a</td>
<td>74.07b</td>
<td>77.25c</td>
<td>69.97a</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein digestibility</td>
<td>72.19a</td>
<td>74.83a</td>
<td>86.01b</td>
<td>71.26a</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Different superscripts (a, b, c, d) in the same line showed significant differences (P<0.05)
Discussion

Milk Production

Milk production in this study did not differ between treatments, but treatments that received various types of forage and PKCC + TW (B, C, and D) revealed higher milk production. Milk production is related to the protein value of the ration. Rations B, C, and D contained higher crude protein. In the rumen, crude protein will be converted into NH₃. Rumen microbes utilized nitrogen from NH₃ for growth (Pazla et al., 2021b). Optimal microbial growth increased microbial activity in fermenting polysaccharides and converted them into VFA (Suyitman et al., 2021). VFA is the primary source of energy in ruminants. Optimal energy will optimize livestock productivity in milk production.

Although not statistically significant, milk production increased by replacing field grass with Tithonia, Gamal and Cassava leaves. This proves that Tithonia leaves, Cassava leaves, Gamal and concentrate (PKCC + TW) could increase ECDG milk production. The higher milk production in treatments B, C, and D was in line with the crude protein content of the ration contributed from Tithonia, Cassava leaves, and Gamal, as well as PKCC + TW. Protein plays a significant role in maintaining mammary gland cells. The production of hormones and enzymes for milk biosynthesis is also strongly influenced by feed protein. Arief et al. (2018a) reported ECDG milk production of about 1.83 Kg/day which is different from the current study. The difference is due to the type of forage and concentrates used.

Milk Quality

The value of milk protein in this study ranged from 4.89-6.26% and this value is higher than the normal range of 2.75-3% (SNI, 2011). Based on the Thai agricultural standard, this milk protein has been categorized as premium milk quality (TAS, 2008). Treatments B and C with Tithonia forage, Cassava leaves, and PKCC + TW showed the highest milk protein. The increase in milk protein contents was caused by the combination of forages containing high protein (Tithonia and Cassava leaves) with PKCC + TW. Rations B and C can increase the supply of amino acids in the rumen to the intestine. Consumption of high-quality ration protein by ECDG is not all degraded in the rumen. Protein also enters the small intestine to be converted into amino acids. Amino acids are absorbed in the small intestine, flow through the circulatory system and get into the udder. After that, the process continued with the synthesis of milk protein. The results of this study follow (Jamarun et al., 2020a), who stated that dairy goats given high crude protein could increase milk protein.

Glucose and galactose are the constituent elements of lactose while the average lactose content in this study is about 3.44-6.73%. According to SNI (2011) the lactose content of milk is 2-3%. Ratya et al. (2017) reported that the lactose content of ECDG milk was 3.70-3.80. This study indicated that the lactose content of ECDG milk is still in the normal category and some have the premium category. Treatment B (Tithonia + PKCC + TW) resulted in higher levels of lactose. Tithonia contains amino acids. The absorbed amino acids in the intestine were broken down into simple sugars. Gluconeogenesis in the liver will increase the glucose level in the blood so that the milk lactose level also rises. Zhang et al. (2018) stated that glucose is the main precursor in the formation of lactose in milk. High-soluble carbohydrates cause the substrate availability needed in the milk lactose synthesis process (Arief et al., 2018b). Lactose in treatment B was not different from treatment A. Treatment A contained more concentrate (tofu waste). Tofu waste is feed ingredients classified as carbohydrates that are easily digested. Carbohydrates are converted to VFA (propionic acid). Propionic acid enters the process of gluconeogenesis. The blood immobilizes glucose in the udder gland to synthesize lactose in milk (Arief et al., 2020).

The highest milk fat content was found in treatment B (Tithonia + PKCC + TW) and was not significantly different from treatment D (Gamal + PKCC + TW). Treatments A and C were also not critically different in the fat contents. The high-fat contents in treatment B were due to the consumption of crude fiber in the ration, which was higher than the other treatments. In addition, the increased milk fat contents are also influenced by high feed fat consumption. The fat in the rumen is converted into fatty acids (Makmur et al., 2020). Fatty acids are the precursors in milk fat formation. ECDGs that consume feed with high-fat content tend to have high milk fat.

The SNF value of the ECDG treatment is about 13.30-15.01%. The lowest mean value was in the control treatment (A. The highest mean value was indicated by treatment B and followed by treatments C and D. These data indicated that the SNF of treated ECDG milk exceeded the standard. SNI (2011) stated that the SNF requirement for milk is 6-8%. The highest SNF was obtained due to the high protein and lactose content in treatment B. The rise of SNF level occurs due to the fat content that is not included in that section so the remaining protein and lactose levels can affect the high percentage produced. The high SNF in treatment B was also influenced by the high BJ value in the treatment compared to other treatments. Utari et al. (2012) reported that the SNF of goat milk-fed with a complete diet ranged from 10.33-11.61%. The difference is due to the high disparity between the dry matter and milk fat content.

Specific gravity is a derived quantity from the quotient of mass and volume. The specific gravity of milk can be used to determine the adulteration of milk added by coconut milk and other ingredients that should not be present in whole milk (Fitriansyah et al., 2014).
study indicated that the average specific gravity of ECDG milk treatment with the highest average was obtained in treatment B, while the lowest average specific gravity was obtained in treatment A. The statistical analysis results showed that there was no significant between treatments on the milk-specific gravity. The specific gravity of milk was in the normal range, according to (SNI, 2011). Bhattarai (2012) stated that the specific gravity of goat’s milk is higher than cow’s milk. Changes in specific gravity are influenced by the specific gravity of each component of milk consisting of protein, lactose, and fat.

Total solid is a description of the solid content in milk. Treatment B has the highest total solid, while treatment A shows the lowest total solid. The provision of various types of forage and PKCC + TW on ECDG can produce a total solid that is following (SNI, 2011) which is a minimum of 10.8%. The administration of various forage and PKCC + TW was significantly different (P<0.05) from the total solid.

The low total solid in treatment A was due to the lower nutritional quality in the treatment than other treatments. Treatment A only contained field grass and tofu waste. The difference between the total solid components between treatments occurred due to differences in the use of nutrients in the feed. Similarly, Jamarun et al., (2021) stated that the total solid depends on the nutrients consumed by livestock. Nutrients will be used as precursors in the formation of solids in milk.

The pH value of milk in this study was 6.76-6.86. The value follows the standard of (TAS, 2008) which is 6.5-6.8. The pH value is an indication of damage to milk. Different pH values can be caused by the content of freshly milked fresh milk such as CO₂, phosphate, citrate, and protein. Some of these compounds affect the ability of milk buffer. Milk buffer can inhibit milk’s deterioration, which is induced by changes in the pH and acidity of milk (Zain, 2013). If there is bacterial activity, the pH value changes to acid (Swadayana et al., 2012). A pH value above 6.7 usually indicates the possibility of mastitis (Legowo and Kusrahayu, 2009).

The content of Ca and P in treatment A which only received feed ingredients from field grass and tofu waste was the lowest value. The nutritional quality of the given ration determines the minerals of the milk produced. Pazla et al. (2021c) reported that the Ca and P content of Tithonia was richer than field grass, namely 0.99% Ca and 0.33% P, while field grass only contained 0.07% Ca and 0.09% P.

Feed Intake

The replacement of field grass with various forages (Tithonia, Cassava leaves, and Gamal) and the replacement of tofu waste with PKCC can increase the Dry Matter Intake (DMI). Treatments B, C, and D showed better DMI. Pazla et al. (2018b) stated that high DMI indicated good palatability of feed ingredients. Good palatability encourages livestock to consume many nutrients. The performance of an animal will be more productive due to nutrition. Palatability is the most influential factor in the DMI of livestock. The DMI in this study showed a better percentage when compared to (Isah et al., 2015) with DMI values of 0.89 kg/head/day. Rosario et al. (2015) get a DMI that is almost the same as this study, which is 2.73-3.83 kg/head/day. The difference is due to the quality of the forage provided.

The highest DMI was found in treatment C with an average of 3.85 kg/head/day. Giving Cassava leaves up to 50% is still palatable for ECDG so their consumption increases. This is due to the goat's habit of ”browsing” in search of food and its preference for leaves. The highest crude protein level in treatment C was also the factor causing the increase in DMI. Similarly, (Suyitman et al., 2020) state that the DMI of feed is influenced by feed digestibility, palatability, crude protein contents, and organic matter contents.

Treatment A showed the lowest DMI. The low intake in treatment A was caused by the forage given. Field grass has low nutrient contents and palatability compared to forages in other treatments.

The intake pattern of organic matter follows the pattern of DMI. Dry matter is the main component in addition to water content in animal feed ingredients, while organic matter is part of dry matter. The increase in DMI impacted the rise of OMI as reported by Febrina et al. (2017). The average OMI during the study ranged from 2.23-3.53 Kg/e/h. The OMI in treatment A was significantly lower than in the other treatments. Low OMC is caused by low DMI.

Crude protein intake in treatments B, C, and D showed a higher value. Treatment C which got Cassava leaf forage revealed the highest value (0.91 kg/head/day). The crude protein content of Cassava leaves is also higher than other forages. CPI is strongly influenced by the crude protein content of feed ingredients. In addition, DMI was also the factor causing the rise of CPI in treatment C. Likewise, (Jamarun et al., 2021) stated that the CPI was influenced by the protein content in the ration. The high CPI will affect the high utilization of feed protein for production needs. Giving Cassava leaves can increase the CPI.

Marwah et al. (2010) found a lower CPI than the CPI in this study, which was 0.34 kg/head/day. The difference is due to the distinct types of forage and concentrates given to ECDG. The ration in a recent study was superior because the forage provided had high protein and concentrate with more diverse components.

The anti-nutritional effect of Tithonia, Cassava, and Gamal leaves up to 50% in the ration has not been seen in reducing feed intake. This proves that up to a level of 50%, the provision of Tithonia, Cassava, and Gamal in rations is safe for livestock. Several studies on Tithonia,
Cassava, and Gamal added to the ration mix did not affect the productivity and digestibility of ruminants if the dose was not excessive (Jamarun et al., 2020b).

Nutrient Digestibility

The treatment of various forage sources and PKCC + TW concentrates gave a significantly different effect on the digestibility of dry matter, organic matter, and crude protein. ECDG that received Cassava leaf forage and PKC concentrate revealed higher digestibility than the goats that were given field grass, Tithonia, and Gamal. This means that Cassava leaves can play a role in increasing digestibility.

Whether or not the quality of a ration given to livestock can be seen from the percentage of DMD. The higher the DMD, the higher the opportunity for nutrients utilized by livestock for growth and production. Ja and Oloidi (2014) obtained lower DMD scores than this study, which was 70.98%. Isah et al. (2015) got the higher DMD which was 754%. The difference in DMD is due to the type and nutritional content of the rations given.

The average DMD value of the treatment ration increased with the provision of Tithonia forage, Cassava leaves, and PKC concentrate. In this case, this is due to the better nutritional content of the ration. The percentage of OMD in this study ranged from 69.43 to 77.25%. The treatment of various forages and PKC-based concentrates had a significant effect on OMD. Treatment C got the highest OMD (77.25%) while the lowest was treatment A (69.43%). The OMD value increased along with the rise of the DMD. Jamarun et al. (2018) reported that the pattern of OMD is related to DMD. Most of the dry matter content is digestible organic matter such as carbohydrates, proteins, and fats.

The range of crude protein digestibility of the treatment was 71.26-86.01%. Treatment C got the highest CPD (88.01%) while treatment A got the lowest CPD (71.26%). The chemical composition of feed ingredients dramatically affects the level of digestibility. The quality of the ration is proportional to the digestibility of crude protein. CPD is also associated with the consumption of crude protein. High crude protein consumption will result in high crude protein digestibility. Putri et al. (2021) stated that crude protein digestibility is influenced by microbial protein synthesis. Microbial protein synthesis will increase along with the rise in ration protein (Pazla et al., 2018a).

The treatment of giving various forages and PKC+TW concentrates had a significant effect on the increase in CPD. This means that the application of Tithonia, Cassava, and Gamal up to 50% could maintain the CPD. Crude protein digestibility is highly dependent on the performance of proteolytic bacteria. Proteolytic bacteria are bacteria that produce extracellular protease enzymes that will break down protein in feed. The significant difference between treatments was due to different proportions of proteolytic bacteria in each treatment. The CPD in this study was higher than (Supriyati and Haryanto, 2011), who obtained a CPD of the combination of elephant grass and palm kernel cake of 73.027-75.99%. The difference is due to the feed ingredients which are concentrate and forage.

Conclusion

Replacement of field grass with various forage sources and replacement of tofu waste with concentrate-based palm kernel cake could increase feed consumption and digestibility, as well as increase the protein, lactose, and fat of milk.
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