Effect of Repeated Freezing and Thawing on Nguni Sperm Parameters Evaluated by Computer Assisted Sperm Analyzer System

^{1,3}Masindi Lottus Mphaphathi^{*} and ^{1,2}Tshimangadzo Lucky Nedambale

 ¹Agricultural Research Council, Animal Production, Germplasm Conservation and Reproductive Biotechnologies, Private Bag X 2, Irene, 0062, RSA
 ²Department of Animal Sciences, Faculty of Science, Tshwane University of Technology, Private Bag X 680, Pretoria, 0001, RSA
 ³Department of Animal, Wildlife and Grassland Sciences, University of the Free State, P.O. Box 339, Bloemfontein, 9300, RSA

Article history Received: 26-08-2020 Revised: 16-01-2021 Accepted: 16-01-2021

Corresponding Author: Masindi Lottus Mphaphathi Agricultural Research Council, Animal Production, Germplasm Conservation and Reproductive Biotechnologies, Private Bag X 2, Irene, 0062, RSA Email: masindim@arc.agric.za Abstract: The aims of the current study were to assess the effect of double freezing and thawing on Nguni semen parameters. Semen was collected from Nguni bulls. The Sperm Class Analyzer® system was used to evaluate sperm motility rates prior and post freezing, repeatedly. Semen samples were diluted with egg yolk citrate extender. Cooled semen samples were loaded into straws and placed inside the programmable freezer for freezing. The frozen semen straws were stored inside liquid nitrogen tank. The frozen semen straws were then thawed at 15°C (1st and 2nd freezing and thawing followed the same process) for a duration of 5 min and further assessed post-thawed at 0 and 15 min during in vitro liquid storage at 15°C. The treatment means were analyzed using Fisher's protected t-test least. There was no significant differences recorded on raw semen sperm total motility (93.2%) and 1st frozen-thawed at 0 min (82.6%), with recovery rate of 88.6% of the sperm total motility. There was a drastic decline observed in sperm total motility during the 1st frozen-thaw at 15 min (77.6%), 2nd frozen-thaw at 0 min (31.3%) and 2^{nd} frozen-thaw at 15 min (30.1%; P < 0.05). In conclusion, the freezing and thawing process did not decrease the bull's sperm total motility percentage during the 1st freezing and thawing process, as compared with raw semen. However, a drastic decline was observed during the 2nd freezing-thawing process, as compared with raw semen.

Keywords: Bulls, Semen, Cryopreservation

Introduction

Cryopreservation of Nguni semen has not been extensively researched and cryo-banking of their genetic materials can provide an effective technique of maintaining their superior male genetic material for future use (Morrell and Mayer, 2017). The challenge of liquid nitrogen shortages and lack of liquid nitrogen supply to some parts of the country or areas on time may compromise the entire frozen semen straws and lead to the entire batch being discarded. Therefore, it is necessary to find new methods to reduce the amount of frozen straws that can be lost in batches, in cases where there is no adequate supply of liquid nitrogen in time. The double freezing and thawing process of semen could Abe done until the liquid nitrogen is delivered without a significant loss of sperm motility rates following the first thawing and *in vitro* liquid preservation. The semen banks or research institution can be able to restore the superior gamete in case when there is a delay or temporally no supply of liquid nitrogen gas in time.

The effectiveness of *in vitro* liquid perseveration for longer periods will also depend on the initial sperm cryoeffectiveness (Mphaphathi *et al.*, 2016), type of semen extender, thawing temperature, storage temperature and semen re-dilution (Rodriguez *et al.*, 1975). It has become vital to quantify bull semen parameters assessed by the computer assisted sperm analyzer system, as an attempt to get objective results pre and post freezing. It is essential for the cattle artificial insemination (AI) centers and cryo-biologist to obtain uniformly comparable results, where semen evaluators can make decisions.



Different thawing temperatures have resulted in different motility results on sperm rates post-thaw. Avian egg yolk has been used as one of the important ingredients in extenders for bull semen freezing since 1939 (Amirat *et al.*, 2005) and it remains important, globally. Obtaining the sexed sperm from previously frozen semen straws (reverse-sorted semen) provides an important role for the possibility of utilizing the semen of bulls with good genetic attributes that have died or become infertile but from whom frozen semen is still available (Morotti *et al.*, 2014).

We hypothesized that Nguni bull semen can be successfully frozen and thawed repeatedly with only marginal changes in sperm motility quality as compared to the control sample. Therefore, the aims of the current study were to evaluate the effects of double freezing and thawing on wide range of bull sperm motility and velocity rates using the computeraided sperm analysis system.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and Reagents

Chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA), unless otherwise stated. All chemicals were of analytical grade.

Experimental Nguni Bulls

Experimental bulls (n = 04) were cared for, according to the guidelines of the Agricultural Research Council (ARC)-Animal Production ethics committee (Ref: APIEC16/011). The Nguni bulls (aged 6 and 8 years) used in this trial were born from a pure bred Nguni breed cattle herd and were kept at the animal production-Loskop farm of the ARC in South Africa. Bulls were kept in camps and grazed on natural pasture as conservation breed with utilization. Veld condition of the grazing camps were monitored and rotation was done, based on the amount of forage available. All general cattle farm management and husbandry procedures were practiced.

Individual Nguni Bulls Semen Collection and Characterization

The sheath of each bull was cleaned prior to semen collection. Hygienic measures were practiced to avoid semen contamination. The electro ejaculator was used to collect semen from Nguni bulls (n = 04) of known and proven fertility into a 15 mL Falcon tube. Following collection, the semen was transferred to a thermo-flask and maintained at 37°C for further evaluation.

Bull semen volume (mL), sperm concentration $(\times 10^6)$ and the pH was evaluated as previously stated (Seshoka *et al.*, 2016). The trial was repeated six

times. The sperm total motility (TM) was further classified into rapid (RAP), medium (MED) or slow motility and progressive (PM) and non-progressive motility (NPM). The sperm Velocity rates measured included the curvilinear (VCL), straight line (VSL) and average path velocity (VAP), linearity (LIN), straightness (STR), wobble (WOB), amplitude of lateral head displacement (ALH), beat cross frequency (BCF) and hyperactivity (HPA). The settings for the Sperm Class Analyzer[®] (SCA[®]) system used to assess the Nguni bull sperm motility and velocity parameters is shown in Table 1.

Double Freezing and Thawing on Nguni Bull Semen

During the 1st freezing, individual semen samples were diluted with an Egg Yolk Citrate (EYC; fraction A) extender (Sodium citrate, Glucose, sterile water, egg yolk and Gentamycin). The diluted semen samples were equilibrated for 2 h at 5°C in the fridge. At the end of the equilibration, the individual semen sample was diluted further with EYC-fraction B (containing 12% Glycerol cryoprotectant) extender. Cooled semen samples were further equilibrated for an additional 2 h at 5°C. The semen samples were filled into 0.25 mL French straws, closed up with polyvalent powder and frozen using a programmable freezer (CBS, USA) connected to portable laptop freezer from 5 to -5°C at 0.008°C min then from 4 to -130°C at 6°C min. Straws were immediately plunged directly into a liquid nitrogen (-196°C) tank for storage until use (1st freezing) (Seshoka et al., 2016).

 Table 1: The SCA[®] (V.6.3.3.51) settings used to analyze the bull sperm motility and velocity parameters

Parameters	Settings
Calibration name	10x
Capture method	Ph-
Grid distance (µm)	100
Box size (pixels)	150
Frame rate (fps)	50
Resolution	Normal
Style	Automatic
Disposable	Cover slide
Chamber depth (µm)	103
Area (μm^2) (min)	5
Area (μm^2) (max)	70
Drifting (µm/s)	10
Static (µm/s) <	10
Slow-Medium (µm/s)	25
Rapid (μ m/s) >	100
Progressive (STR >)	70
Connectivity (pixels)	12
VAP points (pixels)	7

For 1^{st} thawing: The frozen semen straws were removed from the liquid nitrogen tank (-196°C) and exposed for 5 min at 15°C (our preliminary study showed that 15°C was the suitable thawing temperature for double freezing). The sperm motility and velocity rates were assessed at 0 and 15 min during liquid semen storage *in vitro* at 15°C.

For 2^{nd} freezing (same as described in 1^{st} freezing), at the end of the 15 min (from previous 1^{st} thawing), cooled semen was then re-loaded into French straws and sealed with a polyvalent powder and frozen with a programmable freezer.

For 2^{nd} thawing (same as during 1^{st} thawing) the frozen semen straws were removed from the liquid nitrogen tank (-196°C) and placed at 15°C for 5 min. The sperm motility and velocity rates were evaluated (as previously described) at 0 and 15 min during liquid semen storage *in vitro* at 15°C. The trial was repeated six times.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using the statistical programme GenStat at a significance level (P<0.05). Analysis of variance was used to establish differences in the effect of semen, sperm motility and velocity parameters. Treatment means were separated using the

Fisher's protected t-test least significant difference. The data was presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SAS, 1999).

Results

Individuals Bulls Semen Collection and Characterization

The average Nguni bull ejaculated semen volume ranged from 3.3 to 4.4 mL, while the semen pH ranged from 6.9 to 7.2 and the sperm cell concentration varied between 37.9 and 59.2×10^6 sperm/mL (Table 2). Numerically, Bull IDI 08-171 recorded a slightly higher semen volume (4.4 mL) than the other donors (*P*>0.05). Furthermore, Bull IDI 06-11 recorded a slightly higher sperm concentration (59.2×10⁶ sperm/mL), when compared to the other donors (*P*>0.05).

Effect of Double Freezing and Thawing on Nguni Bull Sperm Motility Rates

The individual's fresh semen sperm total motility ranged from 92.3 to 95.6%, PM from 37.3 to 50.3% and RAP from 82.1 to 84.7% among the semen donors (Table 3).

Bull No	Semen volume (mL)	Semen pH	Sperm concentration (×10 ⁶ sperm/mL)
IDI 06-11	3.4±0.8	7.1±0.2	59.2±24.9
IDI 06-88	3.6±2.0	7.2±0.3	37.9±12.5
IDI 08-48	3.3±1.7	6.9±0.2	57.0±23.9
IDI 08-171	4.4±2.5	7.0±0.3	43.8±14.1
Average	3.7±1.8	7.1±0.3	49.5±18.9

Table 2: Individual characterization of ejaculated semen volume, semen pH and sperm concentration of Nguni bulls

Difference not significant within a column (P>0.05).

Tuble et Enter of F and 2 meeting and anatom permit parameters fonowing repeated semen enjopreser valor of Figuin eans

Bull No	Semen	TM (%)	RR (%)	PM (%)	NPM (%)	RAP (%)	MED (%)	SLW (%)	STC (%)
IDI 06-11	Fresh semen (control)	92.5±1.5 ^a	-	50.3±13.6 ^a	42.3±14.0 ^{b,c}	82.1±7.3 ^a	9.7±6.0 ^b	$0.9{\pm}0.8^{a}$	7.5±1.6 ^b
	1st F/T at 0 min	88.0±14.2ª	95.2	25.4±14.8 ^{b,c}	62.6±13.5 ^a	14.6±19.8 ^b	29.2±13.9 ^a	44.2±23.2 ^a	13.6±17.3 ^b
	1 st F/T at 15 min	77.3±14.9 ^a	83.6	27.5±21.5 ^a	49.8±14.2 ^{a,b}	13.3±16.7 ^b	26.8±16.7 ^a	37.3±21.5 ^a	22.7±14.9 ^b
	2nd F/T at 0 min	37.8±18.0 ^b	40.9	5.4±5.1°	32.4±13.0 ^{b,c}	1.9±2.7 ^b	6.9±6.9 ^b	29.1±10.1ª	62.2±18.0 ^a
	2nd F/T at 15 min	32.2±19.6 ^b	34.8	7.7±9.5 ^{b,c}	24.5±10.1°	2.3±4.5 ^b	7.7±8.7 ^b	22.1±6.6 ^{a,b}	67.9±19.6 ^a
IDI 06-88	Fresh semen (control)	92.3±2.3ª	-	37.3±12.9 ^{a,b}	55.1±10.7 ^a	83.8±4.7 ^a	7.8 ± 7.8^{a}	0.8 ± 0.6^{d}	7.8±2.3°
	1st F/T at 0 min	65.3±15.6 ^b	70.7	15.2±7.1 ^b	50.2 ± 8.4^{a}	2.9±0.3 ^b	19.9±13.0 ^a	42.6±2.3 ^b	34.7±15.6 ^b
	1 st F/T at 15 min	76.9±5.0 ^{ab}	83.1	14.9±5.0 ^b	62.0±0.0 ^a	1.9±02.3 ^b	20.0 ± 5.6^{a}	55.1±3.0 ^a	23.2±5.0 ^{b,c}
	2nd F/T at 0 min	14.4±8.6°	15.6	1.4±1.3 ^b	13.1±7.3 ^b	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	1.6±1.3 ^a	12.7±7.8°	85.6 ± 8.6^{a}
	2nd F/T at 15 min	16.9±1.8°	18.3	1.6±0.4 ^b	15.4±1.5 ^b	0.7±0.1 ^b	1.3±1.1 ^a	14.9±0.8°	83.1±1.8 ^a
IDI 08-48	Fresh semen (control)	92.4±1.4 ^a	-	39.7±13.8 ^a	52.7±15.1 ^{a,b}	84.7±6.0 ^a	6.9±4.7 ^b	0.8 ± 0.6^{b}	7.6±1.4°
	1st F/T at 0 min	84.0±13.5 ^a	90.9	24.1±10.5 ^{a,b}	60.0 ± 4.5^{a}	20.1±28.8 ^b	25.2±7.0 ^a	38.8±21.6 ^b	16.0±13.5°
	1 st F/T at 15 min	68.5±10.5 ^{a,b}	74.1	20.8±11.9 ^{a,b}	47.7±5.3 ^{a,b}	11.8±17.2 ^b	17.0±4.5 ^{a,b}	40.0±11.1 ^b	31.5±10.5 ^{b,c}
	2nd F/T at 0 min	36.1±22.4°	39.1	5.7±4.8 ^b	30.5±18.2 ^b	2.6±3.6 ^b	7.0±5.7 ^b	26.5±14.8 ^b	63.8±22.3ª
	2nd F/T at 15 min	40.6±24.8 ^{b,c}	43.9	8.2±10.1 ^b	32.4±14.8 ^b	3.0±4.0 ^b	12.7±17.6 ^{a,b}	25.0±5.0 ^b	$59.4 \pm 24.8^{a,b}$
IDI 08-171	Fresh semen (control)	95.6±4.7 ^a	-	49.3±8.4 ^a	44.0 ± 8.6^{a}	84.6±1.7 ^a	8.0±4.1°	2.9±3.9°	4.4±4.7 ^b
	1st F/T at 0 min	85.6 ± 7.6^{a}	89.5	23.8±9.0 ^{b,c}	61.7±16.6 ^a	13.4±11.2 ^b	26.9±6.5 ^{a,b}	45.3±22.5 ^a	14.4±7.5 ^b
	1 st F/T at 15 min	87.7 ± 7.8^{a}	91.7	39.1±15.3 ^{a,b}	48.6 ± 8.7^{a}	11.5±9.6 ^b	38.9±17.8 ^a	36.4±19.9 ^{a,b}	12.3±7.7 ^b
	2nd F/T at 0 min	29.1±16.8 ^b	30.4	$7.4 \pm 6.0^{c,d}$	21.7±10.9 ^b	2.2 ± 2.8^{b}	10.2±9.1 ^{b,c}	16.8±6.6 ^{b,c}	70.9±16.8 ^a
	2nd F/T at 15 min	25.6±15.1 ^b	26.8	4.6±3.4 ^d	21.0±12.6 ^b	2.7±3.7 ^b	5.9±5.2°	17.0±6.9 ^{b,c}	74.4±15.1ª

TM = total motility); PM = progressive motility; NPM = non-progressive motility; RAP= rapid; MED = medium; SLW = slow and STC = static

Masindi Lottus Mphaphathi and Tshimangadzo Lucky Nedambale / American Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences 2021, 16 (1): 1.6 DOI: 10.3844/ajavsp.2021.1.6

Table 4: Effect of 1st and 2nd freezing and thawing on diluted sperm velocity parameters following repeated semen cryopreservation of Nguni bulls										
		VCL	VSL	VAP	LIN	STR	WOB	ALH	BCF	HPA
Bull No	Semen	(µm/sec)	(µm/sec)	(µm/sec)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(µm)	(Hz)	(%)
IDI 06-11	Fresh semen (control)	152.9±21.8 ^a	74.0±17.6 ^a	116.0±17.8 ^a	48.4±9.6 ^a	63.5±9.0 ^b	75.9±4.6 ^a	2.7±0.8 ^a	20.3±2.2 ^a	10.9±5.0 ^a
	1st F/T at 0 min	46.6±13.4 ^b	22.4±10.2 ^b	30.3±11.1 ^b	47.1 ± 8.5^{a}	72.9±6.4 ^{a,b}	64.2±6.1 ^b	2.6±0.1ª	10.4 ± 1.3^{b}	4.1±4.1 ^b
	1st F/T at 15 min	46.0±16.3 ^b	23.1±10.7 ^b	30.3±12.4 ^b	48.9 ± 7.8^{a}	74.9±6.9 ^a	65.1±4.8 ^b	2.6 ± 0.4^{a}	10.8 ± 1.3^{b}	3.1±3.2 ^b
	2nd F/T at 0 min	27.0±5.5 ^b	12.5±2.6 ^b	17.3±2.9 ^b	46.4±4.1ª	72.1±5.0 ^{a,b}	64.3±3.7 ^b	1.8 ± 1.2^{a}	7.9±5.8 ^b	0.5 ± 0.5^{b}
	2nd F/T at 15 min	28.6±2.1 ^b	14.4 ± 1.8^{b}	18.7±1.5 ^b	50.9 ± 9.0^{a}	77.1±4.9 ^a	65.7±8.2 ^b	2.4 ± 0.4^{a}	11.4 ± 1.5^{b}	0.9±1.6 ^b
IDI 06-88	Fresh semen (control)	185.5±27.6 ^a	85.9±35.2ª	117.5±31.3 ^a	45.5±12.2 ^a	71.7±10.9 ^a	$62.8 \pm 7.5^{a,b}$	$3.7\pm0.4^{a,b}$	25.0 ± 2.8^{a}	12.8±6.6 ^a
	1st F/T at 0 min	43.7±8.4 ^b	18.1±5.5 ^b	24.9±6.2 ^b	41.1 ± 4.7^{a}	72.2±4.0 ^a	$56.8 \pm 3.3^{a,b}$	$3.3\pm0.8^{a,b}$	11.3 ± 0.8^{b}	1.2 ± 0.4^{b}
	1st F/T at 15 min	40.1±9.5 ^b	20.5±5.9 ^b	26.8±6.9 ^b	50.8 ± 2.8^{a}	76.0±2.5ª	66.8±1.5 ^a	2.8±0.1 ^{a,b}	11.8 ± 1.3^{b}	1.3±1.6 ^b
	2nd F/T at 0 min	25.9±2.8 ^b	10.0±3.0 ^b	15.6±0.9 ^b	38.1 ± 7.8^{a}	63.5±16.1ª	60.4±2.9 ^{a,b}	2.7±0.3 ^b	12.3±0.4 ^b	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}
	2nd F/T at 15 min	26.5±3.7 ^b	10.0±0.8 ^b	14.1 ± 1.2^{b}	38.0 ± 2.3^{a}	71.2±0.2 ^a	53.4±3.0 ^b	3.8 ± 0.0^{b}	13.1±0.1 ^b	0.4 ± 0.6^{b}
IDI 08-48	Fresh semen (control)	162.0±31.6 ^a	57.6 ± 1.8^{a}	95.1±4.0 ^a	36.4±4 ^b	60.6±2.2 ^b	59.9±9.5 ^a	4.1 ± 0.9^{a}	18.6 ± 1.8^{a}	17.6±15.2 ^a
	1st F/T at 0 min	46.2±10.0 ^b	20.2±5.1b	28.4±5.8 ^b	44.3±9.3 ^{a,b}	71.3 ± 8.6^{a}	61.6 ± 5.6^{a}	2.8±0.3 ^b	10.3±1.9 ^b	$4.4 \pm 4.4^{a,b}$
	1st F/T at 15 min	40.4 ± 5.0^{b}	19.6±2.4 ^{b,c}	25.2±2.9 ^{b,c}	48.7 ± 1.8^{a}	78.0±3.5ª	62.5 ± 0.8^{a}	2.8±0.2 ^b	11.7±1.3 ^b	5.1±6.0 ^{a,b}
	2nd F/T at 0 min	30.0±3.3 ^b	13.9±1.5 ^{c,d}	18.7±1.7 ^{c,d}	46.3±1.2 ^{a,b}	$74.0{\pm}2.5^{a}$	62.6±1.4ª	2.7±0.5 ^b	9.2±3.0 ^b	0.5±0.3 ^b
	2nd F/T at 15 min	28.9 ± 4.6^{b}	12.8±3.8 ^d	17.8±4.3 ^d	$43.7 \pm 6.4^{a,b}$	71.2±7.0 ^a	61.3±6.5 ^a	$3.1 \pm 1.0^{a,b}$	9.8 ± 5.0^{b}	0.8 ± 0.8^{b}
IDI 08-171	Fresh semen (control)	159.4±7.6 ^a	79.6±10.9 ^{ab}	124.5±7.5 ^a	49.8 ± 4.5^{a}	63.8±6.4 ^b	78.1 ± 4.5^{a}	2.9 ± 0.6^{a}	21.2 ± 3.7^{a}	12.6±6.8 ^a
	1st F/T at 0 min	45.4±13.1 ^b	20.9±11.5 ^b	28.5±11.2 ^b	43.9±10.9 ^a	70.6±10.3 ^{a,b}	61.6±6.0 ^c	2.8±0.1ª	10.7±2.7 ^b	$4.8 \pm 4.0^{a,b}$
	1st F/T at 15 min	46.9±15.2 ^b	27.7±11.8 ^b	33.3±12.5 ^b	58.1 ± 7.6^{a}	82.3±5.3ª	$70.2 \pm 4.8^{a,b}$	2.5 ± 0.5^{a}	8.9 ± 6.0^{b}	$5.5 \pm 5.8^{a,b}$
	2nd F/T at 0 min	33.4±12.1 ^b	14.7±5.4 ^b	20.2±7.4 ^b	44.3 ± 4.4^{a}	73.3±3.2 ^{a,b}	60.4±3.5°	2.3±0.3 ^a	10.5 ± 1.8^{b}	0.6 ± 0.6^{b}
	2nd F/T at 15 min	31.0±9.6 ^b	14.8±7.5 ^b	19.8±7.2 ^b	46.1±9.5 ^a	$72.4{\pm}10.1^{a,b}$	$63.2{\pm}4.0^{b,c}$	$2.4{\pm}0.3^{a}$	11.2 ± 4.2^{b}	$0.7{\pm}0.8^{b}$

a-dValues with different superscript within a column are significantly different (P<0.05). Frozen/Thawed = (F/T). Difference between fresh (control) and frozen semen within a bull.

VCL= curvilinear velocity; VSL = straight line velocity; VAP = average path velocity; LIN = linearity; STR = straightness; WOB = wobble and ALH = amplitude of lateral head displacement; BCF= beat cross frequency and HPA = hyperactive.



Fig. 1: The average sperm cryosurvival following double freezing and thawing of semen from Nguni bulls. ^{a-d}Different superscript across the bars differ significantly (P<0.05). Total motility (TM), recovery rate (RR), progressive motility (PM), non-progressive motility (NPM), rapid (RAP) and medium (MED). Recovery rate was calculated as follows: Value after thawing/value before freezing ×100</p>

Following 1^{st} freezing and 1^{st} thawing of semen straws (Table 3), the sperm TM% (ranged from 65.3 to 88.0); PM% (15.2 to 25.4) and the RAP% (2.9 to 20.1) decreased drastically between bulls and the groups (fresh semen and frozen-thawed semen) (*P*<0.05) at 0 min. There was individual difference recorded on sperm TM% and PM% as from 0 to 15 min post thawed (*P*<0.05).

Following 2^{nd} freezing and 2^{nd} thawing of semen straws (Table 3), the sperm TM% (ranged from 14.4 to 37.8); PM% (1.4 to 7.4) and RAP% (0.0 to 2.6) among the bulls (*P*<0.05) at 0 min. There was an individual difference observed on sperm TM% and PM% as from 0 to 15 min post thawed (*P*<0.05). There was marked

decline in the initial total sperm motility between fresh control and 2^{nd} frozen-thawed semen (*P*<0.05).

Effect of Double Freezing and Thawing on Nguni Bull Sperm Velocity Rates

Following 1st freezing and thawing of semen straws (Table 4), inconsistent on sperm LIN (%), VCL (μ m/sec) and VSL (μ m/sec) within the bulls was recorded (*P*<0.05). During the 2nd freezing and thawing of semen straws (Table 3), from 0 to 15 min had no effect (*P*>0.05) on sperm ALH (μ m) and BCF (Hz) within the individual. Velocity characteristics such as VCL and VAP (μ m/sec) were reduced (*P*<0.05), following 2nd freezing and 2nd thawing in all bulls semen.

The Average Sperm Cryosurvival Following Double Freezing and Thawing of Nguni Bull Semen

No significant differences were recorded between the initial (fresh semen) total sperm motility% (93.2%) and the 1st F/T at 0 min (82.6%) with the sperm TM rate recovery of 88.6% (Fig. 1). Furthermore, a drastic decline was observed in sperm TM during the 1st F/T at 15 min (77.6%), 2nd F/T at 0 min (31.3%) and 2nd F/T at 15 min (30.1%) (P<0.05). The average rapid sperm motility% was reduced following the 1st F/T (P<0.05), but remained persistent and steady between the treatment groups (P>0.05).

Discussion

The study demonstrated that repeated freezing and thawing was possible for cryopreservation of semen from Nguni bulls. The freezing-thawing process did not reduce the Nguni bull sperm TM% during the 1st freezing and thawing process, as compared with raw semen. However, a significant drastic decline was recorded during the 2nd freezing-thawing processes, as compared with both raw and 1st freezing semen. Nguni bull sperm is one of the important genetic material for breed conservation programs. The present study was an attempt to demonstrate the current status on cryoeffectiveness of semen from Nguni bulls. To our knowledge, this was the first attempt to cryopreserve semen from Nguni bulls using this protocol (double/repeated freezing and thawing). For the past few decades, the bull semen cryopreservation technique has been reported to result in the loss of more than 40% of viable sperm during the freezing and thawing process and yet progress in cryopreservation techniques has been slow (Yoshida, 2000; Nagata et al., 2019). There was no significant differences observed between the initial (fresh semen) sperm TM and 1st F/T at 0 min, with a sperm TM rate recovery of 88.6%. Seshoka et al. (2016) found that semen post-thawing had drastically reduced the sperm velocity rates of bulls.

In addition, there has been a drastic decline recorded in sperm TM% during the 1st F/T at 15 min, 2nd F/T at 0 min and 2nd F/T at 15 min. The 2nd F/T at 15 min was simply impractical and sperm cannot be sustained in liquid preservation *in vitro* for more than 15 min. The post-thaw sperm motility has always been a commonly used parameter for evaluation of frozen-thawed semen in bulls (Mathur *et al.*, 2014; Khalil *et al.*, 2018).

The post-thaw semen is expected to be deposited into the body of uterus of the cow within 15 min for better conception during AI. Individual variation was evidence in the Nguni semen donors used in the present study, with one bull able to have sperm TM of above 40% for both 1st F/T at 15 min and 2nd F/T at 15 min. Therefore, time-dependent changes in sperm motility rates of bulls during *in vitro* liquid semen storage post thaw was also evident. The major source of variation in sperm motility rates between bulls is unknown.

The sperm VCL and VAP were reduced following 1st F/T and remained constant and stable between the treatment groups. Cryo-banking of semen from bulls is important for the beef cattle genetic industry and therefore, the cryopreservation protocol needs to be improved. In addition to its role, cryo-banking of semen from superior bulls is an essential backup for adequate insemination dosages in cases of diseases, infertility or death (Arav *et al.*, 2002). Several structural and ultrastructural sperm components have been recorded as being compromized by the cryopreservation process (Khalil *et al.*, 2018). Developing a protocol such as this, may prove useful for valuable bull semen when there is a shortage supply of liquid nitrogen.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated that the freezing-thawing process did not reduce sperm TM percentage in all the Nguni bulls during the 1st freezing and thawing process, as compared with raw semen. However, a drastic decline on bull sperm TM percentage was recorded during the 2nd freezing-thawing processes, as compared with raw semen.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to acknowledge the Agricultural Research Council-Parliamentary Grant, the Department of Agriculture, Land Reform and Rural Development and the National Research Foundation for funding. The Germplasm Conservation and Reproductive Biotechnologies group is thanked for their support.

Funding Information

The study was supported by grants from the Agricultural Research Council-Parliamentary Grant, the Department of Agriculture Forestry and Fishery and the National Research Foundation.

Author's Contributions

All authors equally contributed in this work.

Ethics

Experimental bulls were cared for, according to the guidelines of the Agricultural Research Council (ARC)-Animal Production ethics committee (Ref: APIEC16/011).

Conflict of Interest

All authors have reviewed and approved this final version of the manuscript. There is no competing interest in our submission.

References

- Amirat, L., Anton, M., Tainturier, D., Chatagnon, G., Battut, I., & Courtens, J. L. (2005). Modifications of bull spermatozoa induced by three extenders: Biociphos, low density lipoprotein and Triladyl, before, during and after freezing and thawing. Reproduction, 129(4), 535-543.
- Arav, A., Zeron, Y., Shturman, H., & Gacitua, H. (2002). Successful pregnancies in cows following double freezing of a large volume of semen. Reproduction Nutrition Development, 42(6), 583-586.
- Khalil, W. A., El-Harairy, M. A., Zeidan, A. E., Hassan, M. A., & Mohey-Elsaeed, O. (2018). Evaluation of bull spermatozoa during and after cryopreservation: Structural and ultrastructural insights. International journal of veterinary science and medicine, 6, S49-S56.
- Mathur, A. K., Kumar, S., Prabhakar, J. H., Mandal, D. K., Ingale, H. R., & James, J. O. S. E. (2014).
 Motility of frozen thawed semen after thermal resistance test. Indian Journal of Animal Reproduction, 35(1), 18-20.
- Morotti, F., Sanches, B. V., Pontes, J. H. F., Basso, A. C., Siqueira, E. R., Lisboa, L. A., & Seneda, M. M. (2014). Pregnancy rate and birth rate of calves from a large-scale IVF program using reverse-sorted semen in Bos indicus, Bos indicus-taurus and Bos taurus cattle. Theriogenology, 81(5), 696-701.

- Morrell, J. M., & Mayer, I. (2017). Reproduction biotechnologies in germplasm banking of livestock species: a review. Zygote, 25(5), 545-557.
- Mphaphathi, M. L., Seshoka, M. M., Luseba, D., Sutherland, B., & Nedambale, T. L. (2016). The characterisation and cryopreservation of Venda chicken semen. Asian Pacific Journal of Reproduction, 5(2), 132-139.
- Nagata, M. B., Egashira, J., Katafuchi, N., Endo, K., Ogata, K., Yamanaka, K., & Yamashita, K. (2019). Bovine sperm selection procedure prior to cryopreservation for improvement of post-thawed semen quality and fertility. Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology, 10(1), 91.
- Rodriguez, O. L., Berndtson, W. E., Ennen, B. D., & Pickett, B. W. (1975). Effect of rates of freezing, thawing and level of glycerol on the survival of bovine spermatozoa in straws. Journal of animal science, 41(1), 129-136.
- SAS., 1999. SAS/STAT User's Guide. Version 8.
- Seshoka, M. M., Mphaphathi, M. L., & Nedambale, T. L. (2016). Comparison of four different permitting and combination of two best cryoprotectants on freezing Nguni sperm evaluated with the aid of computer aided sperm analysis. Cryobiology, 72(3), 232-238.
- Yoshida, M. (2000). Conservation of sperms: Current status and new trends. Animal Reproduction Science, 60, 349-355.