Bioaccumulation and Tissue Partitioning of Endosulfan by the Red Hybrid Tilapia
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Abstract: The frequent use of endosulfan in agricultural activity on steep slopes in Jamaica and subsequent contamination of rivers by the residues resulted in a need to assess the levels of bioaccumulation of sub-lethal doses of the insecticide in river fauna using Tilapia fish as a test subject. Bioconcentration of α- and β-endosulfan by the Red Hybrid Tilapia, from 0.0075 mg L\(^{-1}\) in the surrounding water, reached a peak (0.578 ppb and 0.442 ppb, respectively) in one to four hours but fluctuated during the rest of the 72-h observation period. A similar pattern of bioaccumulation was recorded when the fish were exposed to 0.015 mg L\(^{-1}\), except that the peak bioaccumulation of α- and β-isomers after one hour of exposure were 0.54 and 0.44 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively. The contaminated fish (from 24-h exposure to 0.015 mg L\(^{-1}\)) eliminated only 18 to 31% of endosulfan residues after 24 h and 26 to 39% after 72-h exposure to uncontaminated water. During the process of bioconcentration, endosulfan was metabolized to endosulfan lactone and sulfate; the degradation was more during the elimination process when exposed to clean water. Maximum amounts of α-and β-endosulfan and their metabolites were partitioned in gonads > liver > gills > skin/muscle/bone.
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Introduction

The use of pesticides in agricultural production systems has resulted in the contamination of water resources in several regions of the world (Chopra et al., 2011; Shahpoury et al., 2013). The risk of such contamination may be even greater in small Caribbean states where agricultural activity on steep slopes, the intensity and pattern of rainfall, unsuitable agronomic practices and the close proximity of stream and rivers to agricultural activity often favour the run-off of pesticide residues to rivers and streams (Mansingh et al., 2003). Mansingh et al. (1997; 2003) has reported extensively on the contamination of Jamaican and coastal waters by pesticides. Up until recently, endosulfan was used extensively against the coffee berry borer, Hypothenemus hampei Ferrari, in the Blue Mountain and highlands of Jamaica where most rivers originate and it is therefore not surprising that its residues were detected in many wells and springs, rivers, coastal waters and aquatic fauna in Jamaica (Robinson and Mansingh, 1999; Witter et al., 1999).

Chronic and acute toxicity of pesticide residues is believed to be responsible for the general decline of rivers and coastal fishing in the Caribbean. However, for environmental risk assessment, basic data on the fate of residues in the local environment, their partitioning between aqueous/solid/organic phases and impact on non-target organisms is essential (Rand, 1995). The fate of endosulfan under controlled and field conditions in Jamaica is well documented (Singh et al., 1991; Robinson et al., 1997), but not its ecotoxicity potential.

The present study was therefore designed to investigate the bioconcentration and tissue partitioning of sub-lethal concentrations of endosulfan in the hybrid Red Tilapia fish.

Materials and Methods

The Fish and its Exposure to Endosulfan

Sexually mature individuals of the Red Hybrid tilapia (8-9 cm long) were obtained from a commercial farm and held in aerated glass aquaria for at least two weeks.
for acclimatization. Fish meal was provided daily and water was changed every three days.

Six 20 L glass aquaria with 18 L water were aerated for 24 h before the required amount of Thiodan 35EC (sold by Hoescht, Germany; purity checked by GC for 24 h before the required amount of Thiodan 35EC was 33.75%) was added to the experimental tanks and water agitated manually for 5 min. About 30 min later, 18 fishes were introduced into each of the experimental and control aquaria. Fish were transferred to freshly prepared aquaria, with the same concentration of endosulfan as before; the half-life for the dissipation of α- and β-endosulfan from water being 27.5 and 23.5 days, respectively (Robinson et al., 1997). The fish were not fed for the first three days, but the pellets were provided everyday thereafter, in small amount to ensure as little left-over as possible. Each experiment was conducted in triplicate.

**Bioconcentration, Tissue Partitioning and Elimination**

Fish were exposed to 0.0075 and 0.015 mg L\(^{-1}\) of endosulfan for three days and samples of three individuals from each replicate were taken out, along with 100 mL of water at 1, 4, 8, 12, 24, 48 and 72 h after the exposure. The fish samples were rinsed twice with 15 mL distilled water, wiped with paper towel, weighed and frozen until residue extraction.

For determining the tissue partitioning, 10 fish in each of the three replicates were exposed to 0.015 mg L\(^{-1}\) of endosulfan and 24 h later, they were removed, rinsed in water, blotted dry and dissected to remove the different tissues. Each tissue/organ from the fish in a replicate were pooled, weighed and frozen for residue extraction at a later date.

The rate of elimination of endosulfan was studied by first exposing 18 fishes each in three replicates to 0.015 mg L\(^{-1}\) of the insecticide for 24 h, removing them with a net to two successive distilled water tanks for 30 seconds each before transferring them to 20 L aquaria with uncontaminated water. At regular intervals, fish and water samples were collected and frozen, as described earlier.

**Residue Analysis**

All chemicals used in the present study were chromatographic grade (sold by Fisher Scientific Co.) or redistilled and the purity checked by Gas Chromatography (GC). Glassware were always rinsed with hexane and checked occasionally for contamination.

The extraction of residues from tissues and water was done according to the UNEP (1991) methodology, as described by Robinson and Mansingh (1999). Frozen fish samples were thawed, chopped, mixed with 100 g anhydrous sodium sulfate and 150 mL Petroleum Ether (PE) and blended at high speed for two minutes. The PE homogenate was suction-filtered through a Whatman #1 filter paper in a Buckner funnel; the residue and the paper were blended twice in 100 mL PE each and filtered along with the homogenizer cup washings. The combined filtrate was concentrated in a rotovaporator and then partitioned in acetonitrile.

A Hewlett-Packard HP 5890 Series II gas chromatograph equipped with a 63Ni-ECD was used to detect endosulfan. Analytical conditions were a 30 m HP-1 capillary column; carrier gas, nitrogen, at a flow rate of 10-15 mL min\(^{-1}\); temperature settings: Column 230°C, injector 280°C and detector 300°C. The detection limit, determined by the peaks of the solvent baseline ± s.d. × 5, was 0.001 ng. Recovery of α- and β-endosulfan, determined by fortified samples with the standard concentration was 89±3.6 and 87±2.9%, respectively from the tissues and 93±1.5 and 92±1.2%, respectively from water.

**Results**

**Bioconcentration and Tissue Partitioning**

**The Uptake**

The uptake of both α- and β-endosulfan from both concentrations (0.0075 and 0.015 mg L\(^{-1}\)) was the fastest during the first hour of exposure to non-lethal concentration of the insecticide (Fig. 1). For the first 24 h, the levels of α-endosulfan remained fairly constant as it fluctuated insignificantly (P = 0.248) between 0.52±0.055 and 0.58±0.012 µg g\(^{-1}\) thereafter, it declined slightly but significantly (P = 0.047) to 0.453±0.099 µg g\(^{-1}\) at 48 h, but increased significantly (P = 0.719) during the next 24 h.

β-endosulfan levels in the fish declined significantly (P = 0.007) from about 0.44±0.010 µg g\(^{-1}\) after 1 h to 0.37±0.030 µg g\(^{-1}\) in 4-8 h and to 0.31±0.067 at 12 and 24 h. During the next 24 h, these levels increased insignificantly (P = 0.207) to about 0.37±0.036 µg g\(^{-1}\) before declining to about 0.43±0.090 µg g\(^{-1}\) at 72 h of exposure. No significant depletion of the residues nor any metabolite was detected in the aquaria water, the concentration remaining at 0.005±0.0005 and 0.0075±0.0005 mg L\(^{-1}\) throughout the 3-day period, after which the water was replaced.

**Degradation**

The process of bioconcentration was associated with the degradation of the insecticide into endosulfan lactone and endosulfan sulfate (Fig. 2). The metabolites were first detected at 4 h of exposure to the insecticide when their concentrations were the highest than at any other time in the 72 h experiment. Endosulfan lactone levels declined significantly (P = 0.038) from about 0.52±0.033 µg g\(^{-1}\) at 4 h to about 0.45±0.042 µg g\(^{-1}\) at 8 and 12 h and again to 0.38±0.068 µg g\(^{-1}\) at 24 h, but fluctuated insignificantly (P = 0.172) thereafter.
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Fig. 1. The accumulation of α- and β-endosulfan by *Tilapia* from surrounding water containing approximately 0.0075 mg/L of endosulfan

Fig. 2. The formation of endosulfan lactone and endosulfan sulfate by *Tilapia* exposed to approximately 0.0075 mg/L of endosulfan for 72 h

**Elimination**

When the contaminated fish were transferred to clean water, the rate and pattern of elimination of α- and β-endosulfan were almost similar (Fig. 3). The levels of α-isomer (0.65±0.066 - 0.62±0.021 µg g⁻¹) changed insignificantly (P = 0.092) during the first 8 h but declined significantly (P = 0.022) to 0.55±0.023 µg g⁻¹ at 24 h and 0.48±0.039 µg g⁻¹ at 72 h. Likewise, the β-isomer also declined gradually from about 0.56±0.061 µg g⁻¹ at 1 h to 0.43±0.017 µg g⁻¹ at 12 h and fluctuated only slightly for the next 36 h before declining significantly (P = 0.014) at 72 h to 0.35±0.013 µg g⁻¹.

The concentrations of endosulfan lactone and sulfate rose sharply and significantly (P = 0.008 and P = 0.003, respectively) from 0.36±0.036 to 0.65±0.066 µg g⁻¹ and 0.26±0.014 to 0.46±0.039 µg g⁻¹, respectively, during the first 8 h of exposure to clean water (Fig. 4). Thereafter, they declined significantly (P = 0.049) at 12 h, fluctuated for the next 36 h before registering sharp and significant (P = 0.007) decline at 72 h. Endosulfan or its metabolites were not detected in the aquaria water during the elimination period.

**Tissue Partitioning**

Figure 5 shows that the partitioning of α- and β-endosulfan was highest in the gonads (39.2±0.45 and 22.2±0.28 µg g⁻¹, respectively) > liver (10.9±0.02 and 6.1±0.40 µg g⁻¹, respectively) > gut (6.1±0.27 and 4.6±0.07 µg g⁻¹, respectively) > gills (2.5±0.42 and 1.6±0.39 µg g⁻¹, respectively) > Skin, Muscles and Bones (SMB) (1.1±0.03 and 0.9±0.03 µg g⁻¹, respectively).
Fig. 3. The elimination of α- and β-endosulfan by *Tilapia* after 24-h exposure to approximately 0.015 mg L$^{-1}$ of endosulfan

Fig. 4. The formation and elimination of endosulfan lactone and endosulfan sulphate by *Tilapia* after 24-h exposure to approximately 0.015 mg L$^{-1}$ of endosulfan

Fig. 5. The partitioning of accumulated α- and β-endosulfan in body tissues of *Tilapia* after 24-h exposure to approximately 0.015 mg L$^{-1}$ of endosulfan
Most of endosulfan lactone and sulfate were also partitioned in the gonads, 18.3±0.49 and 8.84±1.06 µg g⁻¹, respectively, followed by liver, 9.7±0.28 and 2.8±0.25 µg g⁻¹, respectively, > gut, 3.6±0.56 and 2.4±0.17 µg g⁻¹, respectively, > gills, 2.1±0.48 and 0.9±0.23 µg g⁻¹, respectively, > SMB, 0.97±0.03 and 0.73±0.01 µg g⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 6).

Discussion

The pattern of bioconcentration and elimination of endosulfan by tilapia was similar to that of different organophosphates (Tsuda et al., 1989) and PCBs (Smith et al., 1990) by other fish species and dieldrin by the shrimp, Macrobrachium faustinum (Robinson et al., 2002). The higher rate of uptake and elimination and tissue partitioning of α- than the β-isomer reflect the 7:3 ratio of the two in technical endosulfan (Goebel et al., 1982). The initial rapid bioconcentration within 1-4 h of exposure to 0.005 mg L⁻¹ (Fig. 1) is a reflection of diffusion of the residues across the concentration gradient and the partition coefficient between the aqueous and organic (tissue) phases (Huckle and Milburn, 1990). Compounds like endosulfan, with low water solubility and high lipid solubility and log $K_{ow}$ values, tend to concentrate rapidly in organisms (Chiou et al., 1977; Mackay et al., 1992; Rand, 1995). The calculated Bioconcentration Factor (BCF) by tilapia is 93, which is very close to the critical figure of 100 proposed by Esser and Moser (1982).

The subsequent decline in the rate of bioconcentration reflects the process of bioaccumulation which involves the dynamic equilibrium between the uptake and degradation and elimination of the residues (Spackie et al., 1995). Metabolism of endosulfan to endosulfan lactone and sulfate did occur after a time-lag of at least an hour after the peak of bioconcentration (Fig. 2).

Elimination of residues from the body occurs along the concentration gradient (Branson et al., 1975) and depends upon their water solubility (Huckle and Milburn, 1990). It thus seems unlikely that any significant amount of unconverted endosulfan was eliminated during the bioconcentration process. Even when the contaminated fishes were exposed to clean water, elimination of endosulfan was quite slow; it rules out the possibility of passive or active elimination of the residues during the bioconcentration process. The accelerated conversion of endosulfan to lactone and sulfate metabolites by the fish in uncontaminated water (Fig. 4) suggests that enzymatic degradation of endosulfan to water-soluble metabolites is the main pathway for the elimination of residues from the body.

Partitioning of almost 66% of the residues in the gonads and 16 % in liver confirms the pattern observed with dieldrin in various fishes (Westernhagen and Klumpp, 1995). In the mussel Mytilus edulis, however, partitioning of endosulfan was in gut > gills > foot+muscles > gonads (Roberts, 1975). Biotransformation of residues occurs mainly in liver, though kidney, plasma, intestine and its microflora and brain may also be involved (Huckle and Milburn, 1990). Tilapia may thus be storing the metabolites of endosulfan in the other tissues (Fig. 6).

Ecotoxicity Potential

Residues of endosulfan in Jamaican rivers and coastal waters usually ranged between 0.001 and 33.0 µg L⁻¹ (Mansingh et al., 1997) but may have been much higher after post-spraying rains in coffee plantations. The $t_{1/2}$ value of the residues of 87-548 days in river and coastal waters (Robinson et al., 1997) would ensure the
effect on high level of residues in the gonads must have an adverse effect on vitellogenins and induced developmental abnormality in the fry of the catfish (Chakravorty et al., 1992).

Conclusion

The data generated in the study indicate that endosulfan is rapidly taken up from surrounding water by the Tilapia fish and is eliminated at a much slower rate when they are exposed to clean water. This led to an accumulation of endosulfan residues in the tissues of the fish with the highest levels of accumulation occurring in the gonads of the fish. Endosulfan sulfate and endosulfan lactone were detected in the fish four hours after they were exposed to the parent compound, which may be an attempt by the fish to convert the pesticide to products which may be more easily eliminated from the body.
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