Limit Theorems for a Class of Additive Functionals of Symmetric Stable Process and Fractional Brownian Motion in Besov-Orlicz Spaces

M. Ait Ouahra, A. Kissami and A. Sghir

Faculte des Sciences Oujda, Laboratoire de Modelisation Stochastique et Deterministe et URAC 04 B.P. 717, Oujda, Maroc

Received 2012-10-20, Revised 2012-11-28; Accepted 2012-11-28

ABSTRACT

We use the Potter’s Theorem and the tightness criterion in Besov-Orlicz spaces, recently proved, to generalize some limit theorem for occupation times problem of certain self-similar process, namely symmetric stable process of index $1<\alpha\leq 2$ and fractional Brownian motion of Hurst parameter $0<H<1$. We give also strong approximation version of our limit theorem, more precisely, we show $L^p$-estimate version.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the present study, we are interested in the limit theorems of a class of continuous additive functionals of some self-similar process, namely stable process and fractional Brownian motion. The interesting properties such as self similarity and stationarity of increments make these processes good candidates as models for different phenomena, related to financial mathematics and telecommunications.

Most of the estimates in this paper contain unspecified positive constants. We use the same symbol $C$ for these constants, even when they vary from one line to the next. We first collect some facts about these processes.

Let $X^\alpha = \{X^\alpha_t; t \geq 0\}$ be a real valued symmetric stable process of index $1<\alpha\leq 2$, with $X^\alpha_0 = 0$, ($\alpha$-SSP for brevity). The sample paths of $X^\alpha$ are right-continuous with left limits a.s. (cadlag for brevity) and has stationary independent increments with characteristic function:

$$E \exp(i\lambda X^\alpha_t) = \exp(-t|\lambda|^{\alpha}), \forall t \geq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

It is known from Boylan (1964) and Barlow (1988) that $X^\alpha$ admits a continuous local time process $\{L(t, x) ; t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ satisfying the scaling property:

$$\left\{L(\lambda t, \lambda x)\right\}_{\lambda \geq 0} \overset{\text{law}}{=} \left\{\lambda^{-\alpha} L(t, x)\right\}_{\lambda \geq 0}, \forall \lambda > 0$$

where, “$\overset{\text{law}}{=}”$ means the equality in the sense of the finite-dimensional distributions and the occupation density formula:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dX^\alpha_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) L(t, x) dx$$

for any bounded or nonnegative Borel function $f$.

Moreover, in Marcus and Rosen (1992) and in Ait Ouahra and Eddahbi (2001), for each $T > 0$ fixed, there
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exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that for any integer $p \geq 1$, all $0 \leq t, s \leq T$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$
\| L(t, x) - L(s, x) \|_p \leq C((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} |t - s|^{-\frac{1}{2p}},
$$
$$
\| L(t, x) - L(t, y) \|_p \leq C((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} |x - y|^{-\frac{1}{2p}},
$$
$$
\| L(t, x) - L(s, x) - L(t, y) + L(s, y) \|_p \leq C((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} |t - s|^{1 - \frac{1}{2p}} |x - y|^{1 - \frac{1}{2p}}.
$$

Where:

$$
\| L \|_p = (E)\| L \|_p^{\frac{1}{2p}}.
$$

Given a constant $H \in ]0, 1[$, the fractional Brownian motion (fBm for brevity) with Hurst parameter $H$ is the real valued centered Gaussian process $B^H = \{B^H_t; t \geq 0\}$ with stationary increments and covariance function:

$$
R(t, s) = \frac{1}{2} (t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H})
$$

However, the increments of fBm are not independent except in the Brownian motion case ($H = \frac{1}{2}$, Bm for brevity). The dependence structure of the increments is modeled by a parameter $H$. fBm is self-similar with exponent $\tau = H$ and its local time satisfies the occupation density formula and the scaling property.

Notice that the $\alpha$-SSP is self-similar with exponent $\tau = \frac{1}{\alpha}$.

Geman and Horowitz (1980) proved that the local time of fBm exists and has a.s. Holder continuous modification of order $\gamma_0 - \varepsilon$ in space and of order $1 - H - \varepsilon$ in time for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma_0 = \min \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1 - H}{2H} \right)$. More precisely, it is proved by Xiao (1997), that for each $T > 0$ fixed, there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that for any integer $p \geq 1$, all $0 \leq t, s \leq T$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$
\| L(t, x) - L(s, x) \|_p \leq C((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} |t - s|^{-\frac{1}{2p}},
$$
$$
\| L(t, x) - L(t, y) \|_p \leq C((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} |x - y|^{-\frac{1}{2p}},
$$
$$
\| L(t, x) - L(s, x) - L(t, y) + L(s, y) \|_p \leq C((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} |t - s|^{1 - \frac{1}{2p}} |x - y|^{1 - \frac{1}{2p}}.
$$

Therefore the last regularities becomes:

$$
\| L(t, x) - L(s, x) \|_p \leq C((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} |t - s|^{-\frac{1}{2p}},
$$
$$
\| L(t, x) - L(t, y) \|_p \leq C((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} |x - y|^{-\frac{1}{2p}},
$$
$$
\| L(t, x) - L(s, x) - L(t, y) + L(s, y) \|_p \leq C((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} |t - s|^{1 - \frac{1}{2p}} |x - y|^{1 - \frac{1}{2p}}
$$

for any $0 < \delta < \frac{1 - H}{2 + H}$. We have:

$$
2\delta + H(1 + \delta) < 1
$$

For an excellent summary of fBm, the reader is referred to Mandelbrot and Ness (1968) and Samorodnitsky and Taqqu (1994).

**Remark 1**

- Notice that for $H = \frac{1}{2}$ (respectively $\alpha = 2$), $B^H$ (respectively $X^\alpha$) is a Bm
- The $\alpha$-SSP has independent increments, contrary to fBm which does not have independent increments, except for the special case of the Bm
- $B^H$ has a.s. Holder continuous modification of order $\beta < H$ but $X^\alpha$ is just cadlag

Throughout this study, we use the same symbol $Y^\tau = \{Y^\tau_t; t \geq 0\}$ to denote $\alpha$-SSP ($\tau = \frac{1}{\alpha}$) or fBm ($\tau = H$) and we denote $\{L(t, x); t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ its local time. Then, for each $T > 0$ fixed, there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that for any integer $p \geq 1$, all $0 \leq t, s \leq T$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ Equation 1-3:
\[
L(t,x) - L(t,y) \leq C((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} |x-y|^I \tag{2}
\]
\[
L(t,x) - L(s,x) + L(t,y) - L(s,y) \leq C((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} |t-s|^{\frac{1}{2p}} |x-y|^I \tag{3}
\]
where, \( \delta = \frac{1-\tau}{2\tau} \) for \( \alpha \)-SSP and \( 0 < \delta < \delta_0 = \frac{1-\tau}{2+\tau} < \gamma_0 \) for fBm.

Self-similar process arise naturally in limit theorems of random walks and other stochastic process. Many authors have studied the limit theorems of the process Equation 4:
\[
\frac{1}{\lambda^{1-\gamma(1+\gamma)}} \int \phi(Y) ds
\]
where, \( \phi = D^l g \) and \( g \in C^0 \cap \mathbb{L}^1(\mathbb{R}) \) with compact support.

We cite Yamada (1986; 1996) for Bm \( \tau = \frac{1}{2} \), Shieh (1996) for fBm \( \tau = H \) and Fitzsimmons and Getoor (1992) for \( \alpha \)-SSP \( \tau = \frac{1}{\alpha} \). All these results are established in the space of continuous functions. Ouahra and Eddahbi (2001) extended the results of Fitzsimmons and Getoor (1992) to Holder spaces and Ouahra et al. (2002) in Besov spaces and recently, Ouahra et al. (2011) in Besov-Orlicz spaces. The result of Shieh (1996) was extended by Ouahra and Ouali (2009) in Besov spaces.

The objective of the present study is to study in Besov-Orlicz spaces, the limit theorem of the process (4), where \( \phi \) has the form \( \phi = K_l g \), (see the definition of \( K_l \)).

We recall the following definition which will be useful in the sequel.

**Definition 1**

A measurable function \( U : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) is regularly varying at infinity in (Karamata’s sense), with a real exponent \( r \), if for all \( t > 0 \):
\[
\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{U(tx)}{U(x)} = t^r
\]
If \( r = 0 \), we call \( U \) slowly varying function denoted by \( l \). We see that \( U(x) = x^l(x) \).

We are interested in the behavior of \( l \) at \( +\infty \), then we can assume for example that \( l \) is bounded on each interval of the form \([0, a] ; (a > 0)\).

In what follows, we assume that for \( \gamma > 0 \), \( k_\gamma \) is a regularly varying function with exponent \( (-1+\gamma) \) defined by:
\[
k_\gamma(y) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{l(y)}{y^{\gamma}} & \text{if } y > 0 \\
0 & \text{if } y \leq 0
\end{cases}
\]
where, \( l \) is slowly varying function at \( +\infty \), continuously differentiable and \( l(x) > 0 \) for all \( x > 0 \) and \( l(0^+) = 1 \), (Bingham et al. (1989), Theorem 1.3.3). For any \( \gamma \in [0, \beta] \) and \( g \in C^0 \cap \mathbb{L}^1(\mathbb{R}) \), we define:
\[
k_{\gamma}^l g(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\gamma)} \int_0^\infty k_\gamma(y) [g(x+y) - g(x)] dy
\]
and we put:
\[
K_{\gamma} l := K_\gamma - K_{-\gamma}
\]
\( K_{\gamma} l \) is called the generalized fractional derivative.

**Remark 2**

By (2) and the occupation time formula we have \( L(t,.)(x) \in C^0 \cap \mathbb{L}^1(\mathbb{R}) \) for some \( \beta > 0 \), then we can define \( K_{\gamma} l L(t,.)(x) \) for any \( 0 < \gamma < \beta \).

The following theorem called Potter’s Theorem has played a central role in the proof of our results, (Bingham et al., 1989).

**Theorem 1**

- If \( l \) is slowly varying function, then for any chosen constants \( A > 1 \) and \( \xi > 0 \), there exists \( X = X(A, \xi) \) such that:
\[
\frac{l(y)}{l(x)} \leq A \max \left( \frac{y^\xi}{x^\xi} \right) \text{ if } x \geq X, y \geq X
\]
- If further, \( l \) is bounded away from 0 and \( +\infty \) on every compact subset of \([0, +\infty] \), then for every \( \xi > 0 \), there exists \( A' = A'(\xi) > 1 \) such that:
\[
\frac{l(y)}{l(x)} \leq A' \max \left( \frac{y^\xi}{x^\xi} \right) \text{ if } x > 0, y > 0
\]
• If U is regularly varying function with exponent \( r \in \mathbb{R} \), then for any chosen \( A > 1 \) and \( \xi > 0 \), there exists \( X = X(A, \xi) \) such that:

\[
\frac{U(y)}{U(x)} \leq A \max \left( \left( \frac{y}{x} \right)^r, \left( \frac{y}{x} \right)^{r+\xi} \right) \quad (x \geq X, y \geq X)
\]

The monograph by Seneta (1976) contains a very readable exposition of the basic theory of regularly varying functions on \( \mathbb{R} \).

The following proposition is the main result of this section. It is a consequence of a simple computation integral.

**Proposition 1**

For \( h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) and \( a > 0 \), we denote by \( h_a \) the function \( x \rightarrow h(ax) \), then Equation 5:

\[
K_h^{\gamma}(a) = a^\gamma K_h(a), \forall a > 0
\]

(5)

**Remark 3**

• \( K_h^{\gamma} \) and \( K_h \) satisfy the switching identity Equation 6:

\[
\int_\Omega f(x)K_h^{\gamma}(g(x))dx = \int_\Omega g(x)K_h^{\gamma}(f(x))dx
\]

for any \( f, g \in C^\beta \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( \gamma \in ]0, \beta[ \).

• If we take \( \gamma = 1 \), we recover the definition of fractional derivative, Yamada (1985) and Samko et al. (1993) and the references therein.

The remainder of this study is organized as follows: we present some basic facts about Besov-Orlicz spaces. We give the proof of our main result. Finally, we state and prove strong approximation version of our limit theorem.

### 1.1. The Functional Framework

We will present a brief survey of Besov-Orlicz spaces. For more details, we refer the reader to Boufoussi (1994) and Ciesielski et al. (1993).

Let \((\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)\) be a \( \sigma \)-finite measure space. We denote by \( L^p(\Omega) \), \( 1 \leq p < +\infty \), the space of Lebesgue integrable real valued functions \( f \) on \( \Omega \) with exponent \( p \) endowed with the norm:

\[
\|f\|_p = \left( \int_\Omega |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p}
\]

The Orlicz space \( L^{M_\beta(d\mu)}(\Omega) \) corresponding to the Young function \( M_\beta(x) = e^{xb} - 1, b \geq 1 \) is the Banach space of real valued measurable functions \( f \) on \( \Omega \) endowed with the norm:

\[
\|f\|_{M_\beta} = \inf_{\lambda>\beta} \left\{ \mu \left( \int_\Omega \frac{|f(x)|^\lambda}{M_\beta^{1/\lambda}(x)} d\mu(x) \right)^{1/\lambda} \right\} < 1
\]

This norm is equivalent to the norm of Luxemburg (1955) given by:

\[
\|f\|_{M_\beta} = \inf_{\lambda>\beta} \left\{ \mu \left( \int_\Omega \frac{|f(x)|^\lambda}{M_\beta(x)} d\mu(x) \right)^{1/\lambda} \right\} < 1
\]

In case of \((\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)\) being a probability space, the Orlicz norm become:

\[
\|f\|_{M_\beta} = \inf_{\lambda>\beta} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{|f(x)|^\lambda}{M_\beta(x)} \right)^{1/\lambda} \right\} < 1
\]

In this study, we use the following equivalence norm in \( L^{M_\beta(d\mu)}(\Omega) \), (see for example Ciesielski et al. (1993):

\[
\|f\|_{M_\beta} = \sup_{p \geq 1} \|f\|_p
\]

Benchekroun and Benkirane (1995) have proved that for any open \( A \subset \Omega \) and any \( f \in L^{M_\beta}(\Omega) \), we have Equation 7:

\[
\|f\|_{M_\beta} \leq \sup_{p \geq 1} \|f\|_p
\]

where, \( \|g\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in A} |g(x)| \).

These last two results and the Potter’s Theorem have played a central role in the proof of our limit theorem.

The modulus of continuity of a Borel function \( f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) in Orlicz norm is defined by:

\[
\omega_{\beta_p}(f, \varepsilon) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < \varepsilon} \|f(x) - f(x + \alpha)\|_{M_\beta(d\mu)}
\]

Where:

\[
\omega_{\beta_p}(f, \varepsilon) = \sup_{0 < \alpha < \varepsilon} \|f(x) - f(x + \alpha)\|_{M_\beta(d\mu)}
\]
The Besov-Orlicz space, denoted by $M_v$, is a non-separable Banach space of real valued continuous functions $f$ on $[0, 1]$ endowed with the norm:

$$
\| f \|_{M_v} = \| f \|_{L_1(dx)} + \sup_{0 < \mu < 1} \frac{\omega_{\mu,v}(f,t)}{\omega_{\mu,v}(t)},
$$

where:

$$
\omega_{\mu,v}(t) = v \left( 1 + \log \left( \frac{1}{t} \right) \right),
$$

for any $0 < \mu < 1$ and $v > 0$.

Let $\{\varphi_n = \varphi_{j,k}, j \geq 0, k = 1,\ldots,2^j\}$ be the Schauder basis. The decomposition and the coefficients of continuous functions $f$ on $[0, 1]$ in this basis are respectively given as follows:

$$
f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(f) \varphi_n(t)
$$

and:

$$
C_n(f) = f(0), \quad C_n(f) = f(t) - f(0)
$$

for $n = 2^j + k, j \geq 0, k = 1,\ldots,2^j$.

We consider the separable Banach subspace of $M_v$ defined as follows:

$$
M_{\mu,v} = \{ f \in M_v / \omega_{\mu,v}(f,t) = \omega_{\mu,v}(0) \}
$$

It is known from Ciesielski et al. (1993) that the subspace $M_{\mu,v}$ corresponds to sequences $(f_{j,k})_{j,k}$ such that:

$$
\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{p''(j+1)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} \left| f_{j,k} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0
$$

For the proof of our results, we need the following tightness criterion in the subspace $M_{\mu,v}$ (Ouahra et al., 2011).

**Theorem 2**

Let $\{X^n_t : t \in [0,1]\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of stochastic processes satisfying:

- $X^n_0 = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$
- There exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that for any $(t, s) \in [0,1]^2$:

$$
\| X^n_t - X^n_s \|_{M_v} \leq C |t - s|^{\beta - \mu}
$$

where, $0 < \mu < 1$. Then, the sequence $\{X^n_t : t \in [0,1]\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is tight in the space $M_{\mu,v}$, for all $v > 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$.

We end this section by the following regularity of local time.

**Corollary 1**

For each $T > 0$ fixed, there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that for all $0 \leq t, s \leq T$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$
\| L(t,x) - L(s,x) \|_{M_{\mu,v}} \leq C |t - s|^{\beta - \mu}
$$

**Proof**

By virtue of the equivalence norm in $L_{\mu,v}(\Omega)$ and (1), there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$, such that:

$$
\| L(t,x) - L(s,x) \|_{M_{\mu,v}} \leq C \sup_{p \geq 1} \| L(t,x) - L(s,x) \|_{L_p} \leq \frac{C}{2p} \| L(t,x) - L(s,x) \|_{L_p}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{C}{2p} \| t - s \|_p^{\beta - \mu} \leq \frac{C}{2p} \| t - s \|_p^{\beta - \mu}
$$

where we have used in the last inequality the fact that $((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2p$.

This complete the proof of Corollary 1.

**1.2. Limit Theorems**

In order to establish our limit theorem, we need the following regularities.
Lemma 1

Let $T > 0$ fixed, $0 < \gamma < \delta$ and $K \in \{ K_1^\gamma, K_2^\gamma \}$. There exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that for all $0 \leq t, s \leq T$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and any integer $p \geq 1$:

$$\| KL(t,.) (x) - KL(s,.) (x) \|_{p} \leq C ((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} | t - s |^{\frac{-\tau + \gamma}{2}}$$

Remark 4

This regularity is similar to that given in Ouahra and Eddahbi (2001) for fractional derivatives of local time of $\alpha$-SSP and in Ouahra and Ouali (2009) for fBm case.

Proof of Lemma 1

We treat only the case $K = K_1^\gamma$, the other cases are similar. Let $b = |t - s|^{\frac{\tau}{\gamma}}$. By the definition of $K_1^\gamma$, we have:

$$\| KL(t,.) (x) - KL(s,.) (x) \|_{p} \leq \frac{1}{|T(-\gamma)|^2} \int_0^b l(u) \left[ L(t, x + u) - L(s, x + u) + L(t, x) + L(s, x) \right] d u$$

$$+ \frac{1}{|T(-\gamma)|^2} \int_0^b l(u) \left[ L(t, x + u) - L(s, x + u) - L(t, x) + L(s, x) \right] d u$$

$$\leq I_1 + I_2$$

We estimate $I_1$ and $I_2$ separately.

Estimate of $I_1$.

Since $l$ is bounded on each compact in $\mathbb{R}^+$, it follows from (3) that:

$$I_1 \leq C ((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} b^{\gamma - \tau}$$

$$\leq C ((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} | t - s |^{\frac{-\tau + \gamma}{2}}$$

Now we return to estimate $I_2$.

Potter’s Theorem with $0 < \xi < \gamma$ implies the existence of $A(\xi) > 1$ such that:

$$l(u) \leq A(\xi) l(b) \left( \frac{u}{b} \right)^{\xi}$$

Combining this fact with (1), we obtain:

$$I_2 \leq C ((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} | t - s |^{\frac{-\tau + \gamma}{2}}$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is done.

We prove, in the same way as before the following result. It will be useful to prove the tightness in Theorem 3.

Corollary 2

Let $T > 0$ fixed and $0 < \gamma < \delta$. There exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that for all $0 \leq t, s \leq T$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n$ large enough:

$$\| K_{\tau \gamma}^{\frac{1}{n}} L_t(. \left( \frac{x}{n} \right) - K_{\tau \gamma}^{\frac{1}{n}} L_s(. \left( \frac{x}{n} \right) \|_{p} \leq C ((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} | t - s |^{\frac{-\tau + \gamma}{2}}$$

Proof

We treat only the case $K_{\tau \gamma}^{\frac{1}{n}}$ the other cases are similar.

Let $b = |t - s|^{\frac{\tau}{\gamma}}$. By the definition of $K_{\tau \gamma}^{\frac{1}{n}}$, we have:

$$\| K_{\tau \gamma}^{\frac{1}{n}} L_t(. \left( \frac{x}{n} \right) - K_{\tau \gamma}^{\frac{1}{n}} L_s(. \left( \frac{x}{n} \right) \|_{p} \leq C ((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} b^{\gamma - \tau}$$

$$\leq C ((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} | t - s |^{\frac{-\tau + \gamma}{2}}$$

We estimate $J_1$ and $J_2$ separately.

Estimate of $J_1$.

It follows from (3) that:

$$J_1 \leq C ((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \left( \frac{n}{n'} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\tau}} | t - s |^{\frac{-\tau + \gamma}{2}}$$

$$\leq C ((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2p}} | t - s |^{\frac{-\tau + \gamma}{2}}$$

Now we return to estimate $J_2$. 


Potter’s Theorem with $0 < \xi < \gamma$ implies the existence of $A(\xi) > 1$ such that:

$$l(n'u) \leq A(\xi)l(\xi n'b)$$

Combining this fact with (1), we obtain:

$$J_2 \leq C((2p)!)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{l(n'b)}{l(n')} |k - s|^{-\alpha(1+\gamma)}$$

Finally, by using the fact that:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{l(n'u)}{l(n')} = 1$$

we complete the proof of Corollary 2.

**Remark 5**

As in Corollary 1, for $0 < \gamma < \delta$, there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that for all $0 \leq t, s \leq T$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n$ large enough:

$$[l(n')]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| k_{\left(\frac{n}{n'}\right)}^{1/2} f(t,s) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \left| t - s \right|^{\gamma/2}$$

Now we are ready to state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3**

Let $0 < \gamma < \delta$. Suppose $f = K^{1/2}_xg$ where $g \in C^\theta \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support for some $\gamma < \beta$. Then as $n \to +\infty$, the sequence of process:

$$\left\{ [l(n')]^{-\frac{1}{2}} f(Y_s) ds \right\}_{s \geq 0}$$

converges in law to the process:

$$\left\{ \int_0^T g(x) dx \right\} D^\gamma(t,(x))$$

in the Besov-Orlicz space $B^\beta_{\omega,1}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $\gamma > 1$.

**Remark 6**

Notice that even if $f$ is not a fractional derivative of some function $g$, the limiting process is fractional derivative of local time.

**Proof**

Case of $\alpha$-SSP. By Fitzsimmons and Getoor (1992), (Remark 3.18), the finite-dimensional distributions of:

$$A^\gamma_n = [n^{1/(1+\gamma)}(l(n'))]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T f(Y_s) ds$$

converge as $n \to +\infty$ to the finite-dimensional distributions of:

$$\left\{ \int_0^T g(x) dx \right\} D^\gamma(t,\gamma)$$

So to prove this theorem, we need only to show the tightness of the processes $A^\gamma_n$ in the Besov-Orlicz space $B^\beta_{\omega,1}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $\gamma > 1$.

By the occupation density formula and the scaling property of local time, we have:

$$\| A^\gamma_n - A^\gamma_n \|_{M(\mathbb{R})} = \int_0^T f(Y_s) ds - \int_0^T f(Y_s) ds$$

$$\leq n^{-\gamma/2} \int_0^T f(x)L(t,x) dx - \int_0^T f(x)L(s,x) dx$$

$$\leq n^{-\gamma/2} \int_0^T f(x)(K^1_{\omega,1}L(t,x) - K^1_{\omega,1}L(s,x)) dx$$

Therefore, it follows from (5) and (7), that:

$$\| A^\gamma_n - A^\gamma_n \|_{M(\mathbb{R})} \leq C([l(n')]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T f(x)L(t,x) dx - \int_0^T f(x)L(s,x) dx)$$

$$\leq C \int_0^T f(x)L(t,x) dx - \int_0^T f(x)L(s,x) dx$$

$$\leq C \int_0^T f(x)(K^1_{\omega,1}L(t,x) - K^1_{\omega,1}L(s,x)) dx$$
where, $S = \text{supp}(g)$.

Thanks to Remark 5, for $n$ large enough, we have:

$$\|\Gamma_{\alpha_s} - \Gamma_{\alpha_s}^{(0)}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P})} \leq C|t - s|^{-(1+\gamma)}$$

Case of fBm. By analogous arguments using in Fitzsimmons and Getoor (1992), (Remark 3.18), in the case of $\alpha$-SSP, we obtain the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions of the processes $\Gamma_{\alpha_s}$. The tightness follows easily as in the case of $\alpha$-SSP. This with Theorem 2 completes the proof of Theorem 3.

**Remark 7**

Our limit theorems in the case of fBm are new even in the space of continuous functions.

1.3. Strong Approximation

We give strong approximation, $L^p$-estimate, of Theorem 3. Our main result in this paragraph reads.

**Theorem 4**

Let $f$ be a Borel function on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying Equation 8:

$$\int_{k}|f(x)|\,dx < \infty$$

for some $k > 0$. Then, for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and any integer $p \geq 1$, when $t$ goes to infinity, we have:

$$\sup_{0 < x < 1} \int_{0}^{t} \left| K_{(t,x)} - K_{(t,x)}^{(0)} \right|^p \,dy = o\left( t^{2p(1-\alpha(0)+\varepsilon)} \right)$$

where, $I(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\,dx$ and $0 < \gamma < \delta$.

In order to prove Theorem 4, we shall first state and prove some technical lemmas.

**Lemma 2**

Let $0 < \gamma < \delta$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any integer $p \geq 1$, when $t$ goes to infinity:

$$\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left| K_{(t,x)} - K_{(t,x)}^{(0)} \right|^p \,dy = o\left( t^{2p(1-\alpha(0)+\varepsilon)} \right)$$

**Proof**

Using Lemma 1 for $s = 0$ and the fact that $K_{(t,x)}^{(0)}(0,.) = 0$ a.s., we get:

$$\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left| K_{(t,x)} - K_{(t,x)}^{(0)} \right|^p \,dy = o\left( t^{2p(1-\alpha(0)+\varepsilon)} \right)$$
Using Potter’s Theorem for \(x = 1\), \(y \geq 1\) and \(0 < \xi < \gamma\), we obtain:

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{l(y)}{y^{1+\gamma}} \, dy < \infty \quad (9)
\]

Finally, by virtue of (9) and Lemma 3, we deduce the desired estimate.

**Lemma 6**

Under same conditions as in Theorem 4. For any sufficiently small \(\varepsilon > 0\) and any integer \(p \geq 1\), when \(t\) goes to infinity, we have:

\[
\sup_{\mathcal{H}^r} \left[ \int_0^t \left| K^{1,\gamma}(Y_t, \cdot) - K^{1,\gamma}(L(t, \cdot)) \right| f(x) \, dx \right]^{2p} \leq C(1 + \epsilon) \int_0^t l(y)^{1+\gamma} \, dy
\]

where, \(0 < \gamma < \delta\).

**Proof**

The proof is similar to that given by Ouahra and Ouali (2009) in the case of fractional derivatives. Indeed, by the occupation density formula and (6), we have:

\[
I_1(t) := \left\| \int_0^t \left( K^{1,\gamma}(L(t, \cdot)) - K^{1,\gamma}(L(t, \cdot)) \right) f(x) \, dx \right\|_{L^p}^{2p}
\]

for some \(0 < a \leq \tau\).

Let us deal with the first term \(I_1(t)\). Lemma 2 and (8) imply that:

\[
I_1(t) \leq \sup_{\mathcal{H}^r} \left[ \int_0^t \left( K^{1,\gamma}(L(t, \cdot)) - K^{1,\gamma}(L(t, \cdot)) \right) f(x) \, dx \right]^{2p}
\]

Finally, by virtue of (9) and Lemma 3, we deduce the desired estimate.

\[
I_1(t) := \left\| \int_0^t \left( K^{1,\gamma}(L(t, \cdot)) - K^{1,\gamma}(L(t, \cdot)) \right) f(x) \, dx \right\|_{L^p}^{2p}
\]

and:

\[
I_2(t) := \left\| \int_0^t \left( K^{1,\gamma}(L(t, \cdot)) - K^{1,\gamma}(L(t, \cdot)) \right) f(x) \, dx \right\|_{L^p}^{2p}
\]

choosing:

\[
a = \frac{\pi(\delta - \gamma)}{\delta + k}
\]

It is clear that \(0 < a \leq \tau\). We finally get:
\[ I(t) = o(t^{2b+\varepsilon}) \]

With:
\[ b = \frac{\delta(l - \tau(l + \gamma)) + k(l - \tau(l + \delta))}{k + \delta} \]

Clearly \( b < 1 - \tau(l + \gamma) \), because \( \gamma < \delta \). Then for all sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \), when \( t \) goes to infinity:
\[ I(t) = o(t^{2b+\varepsilon}) \]

which gives the desired estimate.

We will also need the following estimate between fractional derivative \( D^\gamma \) and generalized fractional derivative \( K^{l,\gamma} \).

**Lemma 7**

Let \( f \) be a Borel function on \( \mathbb{R} \) satisfying (8) for some \( k > 0 \). Then, for any sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and any integer \( p \geq 1 \), when \( t \) goes to infinity, we have:

\[ \frac{f(t)}{\Gamma(l-\gamma)} \left[ K^{l,\gamma} L(t,.)(0) \right]_{L^p} - \left[ D^\gamma L(t,.)(0) \right]_{L^p} = o(t^{l-\gamma-\varepsilon}) \]

**Proof**

We have by (8):

\[ J(t) = \frac{f(t)}{\Gamma(l-\gamma)} \left[ K^{l,\gamma} L(t,.)(0) \right]_{L^p} - \left[ D^\gamma L(t,.)(0) \right]_{L^p} \leq C(J_1(t) + J_2(t)) \]

Where:

\[ J_1(t) = \sup_{H^{l-\gamma}} \left[ K^{l,\gamma} L(t,.)(0) \right]_{L^p} \int_{H^{l-\gamma}} \left[ k \right]^k \left[ f(x) \right] dx \]

And:

\[ J_2(t) = \sup_{H^{l-\gamma}} \left[ K^{l,\gamma} L(t,.)(0) \right]_{L^p} \]

The same arguments used in the proof of Lemma 6 implies that:

\[ J_1(t) = o(t^{l-\gamma-k+\varepsilon}) \]

For \( J_2(t) \), we have by Lemma 2:

\[ J_2(t) = o(t^{l-\gamma-k+\varepsilon}) \]

Therefor:

\[ J_2(t) = o(t^{l-\gamma-k+\varepsilon}) \]

for any \( \alpha > 0 \).

Consequently:

\[ J(t) = o(t^{l-\gamma-k+\varepsilon}) \]

In particular, if we take \( l = 1 \), we get:

\[ \frac{f(t)}{\Gamma(l-\gamma)} \left[ D^\gamma L(t,.)(0) \right]_{L^p} = o(t^{l-\gamma-k+\varepsilon}) \]

The proof of Lemma 7 is done.

Now, we return to the proof of Theorem 4.

**Proof of Theorem 4**

This theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6 and Lemma 7.

**Remark 8**

- In case \( f \) is the fractional derivative of some function \( g \), the analogous results of Theorem 4 appeared in Ouahra and Ouali (2009). On the other hand, the a.s. estimate of Theorem 4 is given in Csaki et al. (2000) for special Bm case.
- We should point out that in this paper we only study the \( L^p \)-estimate of our limit theorems. This is enough for the purpose of this study. We will study the a.s. estimates in future work and apply this idea to study the law of the iterated logarithm of stochastic process of the form \( \int_0^t K^{l,\gamma}(Y^r_s)ds \)
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