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Abstract: The main objective of this study was to generalize a differential operator. The generalized differential operator reduced to many known operators studied by various authors. New classes containing this generalized operator were studied and characterization of these classes was obtained. Further, subordination and superordination results involving this operator were studied and obtained the sandwich theorem.
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INTRODUCTION

Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be the class of functions analytic in \( U \) and \( \mathcal{H}[a, n] \) be the subclass of \( \mathcal{H} \) consisting of functions of the form:
\[
f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \ldots
\]

Let \( A \) be the subclass of \( \mathcal{H} \) consisting of functions of the form:
\[
f(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad z \in U
\]

(1)

Now we introduce a differential operator defines as follows: \( k, D : A \rightarrow A \) by:
\[
D^{\lambda, \delta}_k f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + (n-1)\lambda \right] C(\delta, n) a_n z^n,
\]
\[k \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \geq 0, \delta \geq 0\]

(2)

Where:
\[
C(\delta, n) = \binom{n + \delta - 1}{\delta} = \frac{\Gamma(n + \delta)}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(\delta + 1)}
\]

Remark 1: When \( \lambda = 1, \delta = 0 \) we get Sâlâgean differential operator\(^3\), \( k = 0 \) gives Ruscheweyh operator\(^4\), \( \delta = 0 \) implies Al-Oboudi differential operator of order \( (k)^1 \) and when \( \lambda = 1 \) operator (2) reduces to Al-Shaqsi and Darus differential operator of order \( (k)^2 \).
Such that:
\[ F(z) = G(h(z)) \text{ for all } z \in U \]

Let \( \phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C} \) and let \( h \) be univalent in \( U \). If \( p \) is analytic in \( U \) and satisfies the differential subordination \( \phi(p(z)), zp'(z) \prec h(z) \) then \( p \) is called a solution of the differential subordination. The univalent function \( q \) is called a dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination, \( p \prec q \). If \( p \) and \( \phi(p(z)), zp'(z) \) are univalent in \( U \) and satisfy the differential superordination \( h(z) \prec \phi(p(z)), zp'(z) \) then \( p \) is called a solution of the differential superordination. An analytic function \( q \) is called subordinant of the solution of the differential superordination if \( q \prec p \).

Let \( \Phi \) be an analytic function in a domain containing \( f(U) \), \( \Phi(0) = 0 \) and \( \Phi'(0) > 0 \). The function \( f \in A \) is called \( \Phi \)-like if:

\[ \Re\left( \frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))} \right) > 0, \quad z \in U \]

This concept was introduced by [3] and established that a function \( f \in A \) is univalent if and only if \( f \) is \( \Phi \)-like for some \( \Phi \).

Definition 3: Let \( \Phi \) be analytic function in a domain containing \( f(U) \), \( \Phi(0) = 0 \), \( \Phi'(0) = 1 \) and \( \Phi(\omega) \neq 0 \) for \( \omega \in f(U)-0 \). Let \( q(z) \) be a fixed analytic function in \( U \), \( q(0) = 1 \). The function \( f \in A \) is called \( \Phi \)-like with respect to \( q \) if:

\[ \frac{zf'(z)}{\Phi(f(z))} \prec q(z), \quad z \in U \]

Definition 4[4]: Denote by \( Q \) the set of all functions \( f(z) \) that are analytic and injective on \( \overline{U}-E(f) \) where \( E(f) = \{ \zeta \in \partial U : \lim_{z \to \zeta} f(z) = \infty \} \) and are such that \( f'(\zeta) \neq 0 \) for \( \zeta \in \partial U-E(f) \).

Lemma 2[5]: Let \( q(z) \) be univalent in the unit disk \( U \) and \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) be analytic in a domain \( D \) containing \( q(U) \). Suppose that:

1. \( \phi(\omega) \neq 0 \) when \( \omega \in q(U) \).
2. \( \Re\left( \frac{\beta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))} \right) > 0 \) for \( z \in U \)

Then:

\[ p(z) \prec q(z) \]

and \( q(z) \) is the best dominant.

Lemma 3[6]: Let \( q(z) \) be convex univalent in the unit disk \( U \) and \( \vartheta \) and \( \psi \) be analytic in a domain \( D \) containing \( q(U) \). Suppose that:

1. \( zq'(z) \psi(q(z)) \) is starlike univalent in \( U \)
2. \( \Re\left( \frac{\vartheta'(q(z))}{\psi(q(z))} \right) > 0 \) for \( z \in U \)

If \( p(z) \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q \), with \( p(U) \subseteq D \) and \( \vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z) \psi(p(z)) \prec \vartheta(q(z)) + zq'(z) \psi(q(z)) \) then \( q(z) \prec p(z) \) and \( q(z) \) is the best subordinant.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**General properties of** \( C_{k,\lambda}^{(\delta, \mu)} \): In this research we study the characterization properties for the function \( f \in A \) to belong to the classes \( S_{k,\lambda,\mu}^{(\delta)} \) and \( C_{k,\lambda}^{(\delta, \mu)} \) by obtaining the coefficient bounds.

Theorem 1: Let the function \( f \in A \). If

\[ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \mu)[1 + (n - 1)\lambda_j] C(\delta, n) |a_n| 1 - \mu \leq 1 \]  

\[ 0 \leq \mu < 1 \]  

(3)

Then \( f \in S_{k,\lambda,\mu}^{(\delta)} \). The result (3) is sharp.

Proof: Suppose that (3) holds. Since:

\[ 1 - \mu \geq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \mu)[1 + (n - 1)\lambda_j] C(\delta, n) |a_n| \]

\[ \geq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \mu[1 + (n - 1)\lambda_j] C(\delta, n) |a_n| \]

\[ - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + (n - 1)\lambda_j] C(\delta, n) |a_n| \]

then this implies that:

\[ \frac{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + (n - 1)\lambda_j] C(\delta, n) |a_n|}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n - 1)\lambda_j] C(\delta, n) |a_n|} > \mu \]

Hence:
We also note that the assertion (3) is sharp and the extremal function is given by:

\[ f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\mu)}{(n-\mu)[1+(n-1)\lambda]^\delta} C(\delta, n) z^n \]

**Corollary 1:** If

\[ |a_n| \leq \frac{(1-\mu)}{(n-\mu)[1+(n-1)\lambda]^\delta} C(\delta, n) \quad \forall n \geq 2 \]

Then the function \( f \) belongs to the class \( S_{1,\delta}(\mu) \).

**Corollary 2:** If \( \delta = \mu = 0 \) and \( \lambda = 1 \):

\[ |a_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \forall n \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \]

Then the function \( f \) belongs to the class \( S_{1,\delta}(\mu) \).

In the same way we can verify the following results:

**Theorem 2:** Let the function \( f \in A \). If

\[ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-\mu)[1+(n-1)\lambda]^\delta C(\delta, n) |a_n| \leq 1 - \mu, 0 \leq \mu < 1 \]

Then \( f(z) \in C_{1,\delta}(\mu) \). The result (6) is sharp.

**Corollary 3:** If

\[ |a_n| \leq \frac{(1-\mu)}{n(n-\mu)[1+(n-1)\lambda]^\delta} C(\delta, n) \quad \forall n \geq 2 \]

Then the function \( f \) belongs to the class \( C_{1,\delta}(\mu) \).

Also we have the following inclusion results:

**Theorem 3:** Let the assumption of theorem 1 holds and \( 0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 < 1 \). Then \( S_{1,\delta}(\mu_1) \supseteq S_{1,\delta}(\mu_2) \).

**Proof:** By theorem 1.

**Theorem 4:** Let the assumption of theorem 2 holds and \( 0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 < 1 \). Then \( C_{1,\delta}(\mu_1) \supseteq C_{1,\delta}(\mu_2) \).

**Proof:** By theorem 2.

Moreover, we introduce the following distortion theorems.

**Theorem 7:** Let the function \( f \in A \) and (3) holds. Then for \( z \in U \) and \( 0 \leq \mu < 1 \):

\[ |D_{1,\delta}^k f(z)| |z|^{1-\mu} \leq \frac{1-\mu}{2-\mu} \]

and

\[ |D_{1,\delta}^k f(z)| |z|^{1-\mu} \leq \frac{1-\mu}{2-\mu} \]

**Proof:** By using theorem 1, one can verify that:

\[ (2-\mu) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1+(n-1)\lambda]^\delta C(\delta, n) |a_n| \leq \frac{1-\mu}{2-\mu} \]

Thus we obtain:

\[ |D_{1,\delta}^k f(z)| |z|^{1-\mu} \leq \frac{1-\mu}{2-\mu} \]

The other assertion can be proved as follows:
\[ |D^{k}_{\lambda,\mu}f(z)| = |z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty (n-\mu)(1+(n-1)\lambda)|C(\delta,n)a_nz^n| \]

\[ \geq |z - \sum_{n=2}^\infty (n-\mu)[1+(n-1)\lambda]C(\delta,n)|a_n| |z| |z| \]

This completes the proof.

In the same way we can get the following results.

**Theorem 8:** Let the functions \( f \in A \) and (6) holds. Then for \( z \in U \) and \( 0 \leq \mu < 1 \):

\[ |D^{k}_{\lambda,\mu}f(z)| \geq |z - (1-\mu)|z|^2 \]

and

\[ |D^{k}_{\lambda,\mu}f(z)| \leq |z + (1-\mu)|z|^2 \]

Also, we have the following distortion results.

**Theorem 9:** Let the function \( f \in A \) and (3) holds. Then for \( (n-\mu)[1+(n-1)\lambda]|C(\delta,n)\geq 1 \) and \( 0 \leq \mu < 1 \), we have:

\[ |f(z)| \geq |z| - (1-\mu)|z|^2 \]

and

\[ |f(z)| \leq |z| + (1-\mu)|z|^2 \]

**Proof:** In virtue of theorem 1, we have:

\[ \sum_{n=2}^\infty |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=2}^\infty (n-\mu)[1+(n-1)\lambda]|C(\delta,n)|a_n| \leq 1 - \mu \]

Thus we obtain:

\[ |f(z)| = |z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_nz^n| \]

\[ \leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^\infty |a_n||z|^2 \]

\[ \leq |z| + (1-\mu)|z|^2 \]

The other assertion can be proved as follows:

\[ |f(z)| = |z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_nz^n| \]

\[ \geq |z| - \sum_{n=2}^\infty |a_n||z|^2 \]

\[ \geq |z| - (1-\mu)|z|^2 \]

This completes the proof.

In the same way we can get the following results.

**Theorem 10:** Let the function \( f \in A \) and (6) holds. Then for \( (n-\mu)[1+(n-1)\lambda]|C(\delta,n)\geq 1 \) and \( 0 \leq \mu < 1 \):

\[ |f(z)| \geq |z - (1-\mu)|z|^2 \]

and

\[ |f(z)| \leq |z + (1-\mu)|z|^2 \]

**RESULTS**

By making use of lemmas 2 and 3, we prove the following subordination and superordination results involving the differential operator (2).

**Theorem 11:** Let \( q(z) \neq 0 \) be univalent in \( U \) such that \( \frac{zq(z)}{q(z)} \) is starlike univalent in \( U \) and:

\[ \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} > \frac{zq(z)}{q(z)} \]

\[ \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma q(z)} + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} - \frac{zq(z)}{q(z)} > 0, \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma \neq 0 \end{cases} \]  

(8)

If \( f \in A \) satisfies the subordination:

\[ \alpha(z[D^{k}_{\lambda,\mu}f(z)]')^{\gamma} + \gamma[zD^{k}_{\lambda,\mu}f(z)]^\gamma - z\Phi[D^{k}_{\lambda,\mu}f(z)] \prec \frac{\alpha}{q(z)} + \frac{\gamma q'(z)}{q(z)} \]

Then:

\[ z[D^{k}_{\lambda,\mu}f(z)]' \prec q(z) \]

(9)

and \( q(z) \) is the best dominant.
Proof: Our aim is to apply lemma 2. Setting:

\[ p(z) := \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{\Phi[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]} \]

By computation shows that:

\[ zp'(z) = 1 + \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} - \frac{z\Phi[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]}{\Phi[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]} \]

which yields the following subordination:

\[ \frac{\alpha + \gamma zp'(z)}{p(z)} < \frac{\alpha + \gamma zq'(z)}{q(z)}, \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \]

By setting:

\[ \theta(\omega) := \frac{\alpha}{\omega} \text{ and } \phi(\omega) := \frac{\gamma}{\omega}, \gamma \neq 0 \]

It can be observed that \( \theta(\omega), \phi(\omega) \) are analytic in \( \mathbb{C}/ \{0\} \) and that \( \phi(\omega) \neq 0 \) when \( \omega \in \mathbb{C}/ \{0\} \).

Also by letting:

\[ Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = \gamma q'(z) q(z) \]

and

\[ h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z) = \frac{\alpha}{q(z)} + \gamma q'(z) q(z) \]

we find that \( Q(z) \) is starlike univalent in \( U \) and that:

\[ \Re\left[ \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right] = \Re\left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha}{q'(z)} + \frac{zq'(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q'(z)} > 0 \right] \]

For \( \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \gamma \neq 0 \).

Then the relation (9) follows by an application of lemma 2.

Corollary 4: Let the assumptions of theorem 11 hold. Then the subordination:

\[ \frac{\alpha z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)} + \gamma \left[ 1 + \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} - \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} \right] \]

Implies:

\[ \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} < q(z) \]

and \( q(z) \) is the best dominant.

Proof: By letting \( \Phi(\omega) := \omega \).

Corollary 5: If \( f \in A \) and assume that (8) holds then:

\[ 1 + \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} - \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} \times \frac{(A - B)z}{(1 + Az)(1 + Bz)} \]

Implies:

\[ \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \]

where \( -1 \leq B < A \leq 1 \) and \( \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \) is the best dominant.

Proof: By setting \( \Phi(\omega) := \omega, \alpha = 0, \gamma = 1 \) and \( q(z) := \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \) where \( -1 \leq B < A \leq 1 \).

Corollary 6: If \( f \in A \) and assume that (8) holds then:

\[ 1 + \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} - \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} \times \frac{2z}{(1 - z^2)} \]

Implies:

\[ \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} \times \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \]

and \( \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \) is the best dominant.

Proof: By setting \( \Phi(\omega) := \omega, \alpha = 0, \gamma = 1 \) and \( q(z) := \frac{1 + Az}{1 - z} \).

Corollary 7: If \( f \in A \) and assume that (8) holds then:

\[ 1 + \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} - \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} \times Az \]

Implies:

\[ \frac{z[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'}{[D^k_{\lambda}\phi f(z)]'} \times Az \]
and $e^{\lambda z}$ is the best dominant.

**Proof:** By setting $\Phi(\omega): = \omega$, $\alpha = 0$, $\gamma = 1$ and $q(z): = e^{\lambda z}$, $|A|<\pi$.

**Theorem 12:** Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be convex univalent in the unit disk $U$. Suppose that:

$$\Re\{-\frac{\alpha}{q(z)}\} > 0, \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for } z \in U \quad (11)$$

and $\frac{z q'(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike univalent in $U$.

If

$$\frac{z [D_z^k, f(z)]'}{\Phi[D_z^k, f(z)]} \in \mathcal{H}(q(0), 1)Q \quad \text{where } f \in A,$$

$$\alpha\left(\frac{D_z^k, f(z)}{\Phi[D_z^k, f(z)]}\right)^{-1} + \gamma \left(1 + \frac{z [D_z^k, f(z)]}{D_z^k, f(z)} - z \Phi[D_z^k, f(z)]\right)$$

is univalent in $U$ and the subordination:

$$\frac{\alpha}{p(z)} + \frac{\gamma q(z)}{q(z)} \prec \alpha \frac{\gamma p(z)}{p(z)} - \frac{\gamma z p(z)}{p(z)} \quad \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$$

holds, then:

$$q(z) \prec \frac{z [D_z^k, f(z)]'}{\Phi[D_z^k, f(z)]} \quad (12)$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant.

**Proof:** Our aim is to apply lemma 3. Setting:

$$p(z) := \frac{z [D_z^k, f(z)]'}{\Phi[D_z^k, f(z)]}$$

By a simple computation, shows that:

$$\frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} = 1 + \frac{z [D_z^k, f(z)]^{-1} - z \Phi[D_z^k, f(z)]}{[D_z^k, f(z)]^{-1} - \Phi[D_z^k, f(z)]}$$

Which yields the following subordination:

By setting:

$$\Theta(\omega) := \frac{\alpha}{\omega} \text{ and } \varphi(\omega) := \frac{\gamma}{\omega} \quad \gamma \neq 0$$

it can be easily observed that $\Theta(\omega), \varphi(\omega)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and that $\varphi(\omega) \neq 0$ when $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Also, we obtain:

$$\Re\{\Theta(q(z))\} = \Re\{-\frac{\alpha}{q(z)}\} > 0$$

Then (12) follows by an application of lemma 3.

By combining theorems 11 and 12, we get the following sandwich theorems:

**Theorem 13:** Let $q_1(z) \neq 0$, $q_2(z) \neq 0$ be convex univalent in the unit disk $U$ satisfy (11) and (8) respectively. Suppose that $\frac{z q_i'(z)}{q_i(z)}$, $i = 1, 2$ is starlike univalent in $U$. If $f \in A$ and:

$$\frac{\alpha}{q_1(z)} + \frac{\gamma q_1(z)}{q_1(z)} \prec \alpha \frac{\gamma q_2(z)}{q_2(z)} - \frac{\gamma z q_2(z)}{q_2(z)} \quad \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$$

holds, then:

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z [D_z^k, f(z)]'}{\Phi[D_z^k, f(z)]} \prec q_2(z)$$

and $q_1(z)$ is the best subordinant and $q_2(z)$ is the best dominant.

**DISCUSSION**

The results describe the basic theory on certain applications of the field of differential subordination. The generalized differential operator obtained here
covered the well known operators and many interesting results such as the coefficient estimates, distortion results and the sandwich theorem are found. Further many other results are yet to be studied.
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