Gastroprotective Effect and Safety Assessment of Zanthoxylum Zanthoxyloides (Lam) Waterm Root Bark Extract
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Abstract: Problem statement: Gastrointestinal ulcers account significantly for morbidity and mortality in Ghana. The study therefore investigated the gastroprotective effect of an ethanolic root bark extract of Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides and its safety for us. Approach: Gastric ulceration was induced in Sprague-Dawley rats with Indomethacin (25 mg kg
−1, p.o) and was treated with 150, 250, or 500 mg kg
−1 of the extract, or 20 mg kg
−1 Esomeprazole. The number of ulcers per stomach, Ulcerative Index and Curative Ratio were determined. A histological study of the gastric mucosa was also performed. The extract’s effect on an isolated guinea-pig ileum preparation was investigated to elucidate its possible mechanism of action. Safety assessments involving, organ weight to body weight ratio determination, hematological analysis and liver function tests were performed. Results: The extract significantly decreased (p≤0.001) the number of ulcers per stomach and the Ulcerative Index (similar to Esomeprazole) and significantly increased (p≤0.001) the Curative Ratio in a dose-dependent manner. It completely corrected the architectural distortions caused by gastric ulceration and inhibited significantly (p≤0.001) the contractile responses of the isolated guinea-pig ileum to Acetylcholine, Nicotine and Histamine in a manner comparable to reference antagonists. The extract had no significant effect (p>0.05) on organ weight to body ratio and hematological profile. Plasma levels of Alanine transaminase and Alkaline phosphatase decreased significantly (p≤0.001) in extract and Esomeprazole-treated ulcerated rats. Levels of Gamma-glutamyl transferase, Total bilirubin (direct and indirect) however increased significantly (p≤0.01-0.001). Conclusion/Recommendations: Per the findings, the ethanolic root bark extract of Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides has gastroprotective effect in Sprague-Dawley rats working possibly via antimuscarinic or antihistaminic mechanism. It however has a potential of causing cholestasis hence liver function should be monitored.
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INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal ulcers accounts significantly for morbidity and mortality in developing parts of the world (Sharma et al., 2009; El-Sayed et al., 2012) probably because of the prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infections as a result of overcrowding, poor sanitation, poor water supply and poor socioeconomic conditions.
conditions (Vítor and Vale, 2011). Diagnosis and treatment of ulcers lags behind in developing countries compared to advanced nations because of medically related technological gaps such as fibreoptic endoscopy and costs of test and medications which are cardinal in the effective management of ulcerative disorders.

Although there are several conventional antulcer drugs used in treating gastrointestinal tract disorders, particularly gastric, peptic and duodenal ulcers; these drugs are not in most cases affordable to the ordinary individual giving their high cost and worse of all they come with adverse effects such as arrhythmias, impotence, gynaeacomastia and haematopoietic changes (Mota et al., 2009). In view of this, there is the need to seek alternative drugs which are affordable, relatively safe, readily available and acceptable by the majority of the population. Globally, herbs are staging a comeback and herbal renaissance is happening all over (Chinthana and Ananthi, 2012). Many developing countries of the world are endowed with vast resources of natural products including medicinal plants which have been used as remedy for various disease conditions. It has been recorded that over three-quarters of the world population relies mainly on plants and plant extracts for healthcare. In China and India for example, drugs derived from plants constitute as much as 80% (Chinthana and Ananthi, 2012).

In Ghana, Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides (Lam) Waterm, commonly known as candle wood has been used traditionally to treat gastric and duodenal ulcers by some tribes (Irvine, 1961). Earlier work done on this plant indicates that it has analgesic, antihypertensive, antipyretic and diaphoretic properties (PORSPI, 1992).

Although herbal medicines have been extensively used in developed countries with the claim that they are natural and relatively safe compared to other forms of medicine (Riaz et al., 2010), harm from these medicines could occur. In this study therefore, the gastroprotective activity of Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides as well as its safety for use was investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection, identification and authentication of plant: The root bark of Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides was collected in December, 2011 from North Ola, a suburb of the Cape Coast Metropolis in the Central Region of Ghana. The plant part was identified and authenticated by Mr. Francis Otoo, the Curator at the herbarium unit, School of Biological Sciences, University of Cape Coast, where a voucher specimen (SBS/UCC/H261) was deposited.

Preparation of Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides root bark extract: The root bark of Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides was carefully removed, washed and sun-dried. The dried root bark was powdered using a hammer mill (Polymix Micro Hammer Cutter Mill, Glen Mills Inc, USA). A 1.075 kg quantity of the powder was defatted with 7 L of petroleum ether (60-80°C) and soxhlet extracted with 6 litres of 95% ethanol in an soxhlet apparatus (L3 Soxlet extractor, Ergotech Soxhlet Apparatus Co, UK). A 200 mL quantity of the extract was condensed under low temperature and pressure using a rotor evaporator (Rotavapor R-210, Buchi, Switzerland) and dried in a hot air oven (Oven 300 plus series, Gallenkamp, England) maintained at a 40°C for 24 h to yield 42.5 g (Percentage yield: 3.95%) of the dried extract which was named EZZ and will be referred to as such in this study.

Phytochemical screening: Screening was performed on EZZ to ascertain the presence of phytochemicals using standard procedures described by Kujur et al. (2010) and Kyei et al. (2012).

Experimental animals: Six-week old male albino rats (150-210 g) purchased from the Centre for Scientific Research into Plant Medicine (CSIRPM), Mampong-Akwapim, Ghana, were maintained in the Animal House of the School of Biological Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Ghana. The animals were housed in polycrystalline cages (34×47×18 cm) with soft wood shaving as bedding, under ambient laboratory conditions (temperature 25±2°C, relative humidity 60-70% and normal light-dark cycle). They were fed with normal commercial pellet diet (GAFCO, Tema, Ghana) and water ad libitum. All procedures and techniques used in these studies were in accordance with the National Institute of Health for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH, Department of Health and Human Services publication no. 85-23, revised 1985). The protocols for the study were approved by the Departmental Ethics Committee.

Drugs and chemicals: Indomethacin (Letapharmaceuticals Ltd, Accra, Ghana), was used to induce ulcer in rats. Esomeprazole (AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, USA), a proton pump inhibitor, was the reference anti-ulcer drug. Acetylsalicylic acid, Nicotine and Histamine diphosphate and Atropine, Hexamethonium and Mepyramine (May and Baker Ltd, Dagenham, England) were agonists and their reference antagonist respectively used on the isolated guinea-pig ileum for investigating the site of action of EZZ.
Induction of gastric ulceration: Five albino rats were fasted for 24 h but had access to water. They were then given 25 mg kg$^{-1}$ Indomethacin per os. After 6 h they were sacrificed and their stomachs removed. Each stomach was dissected along the greater curvature, the content removed and washed with normal saline. The appearance of ulcerative lesions was an indication of the formation of gastric ulcer.

Effect of EZZ on gastric ulcer formation: Experimental animals (fasted for 24 h) were put into six groups of five. Group I (control) was given distilled water. Group II was given 250 mg kg$^{-1}$ EZZ. In Groups III-V, gastric ulceration was induced and animals were treated with 150, 250, or 500 mg kg$^{-1}$ EZZ after 6 h. In Group VI gastric ulceration was induced and animals were treated with 20 mg kg$^{-1}$ Esomeprazole after 6 h. Drug treatment in all groups continued for 14 days. Rats were sacrificed and their stomach removed and examined for ulcerative lesions. The number of lesions in the stomachs of rats in each group was determined. The maximum length of each lesion (in millimeters) was determined and the sum of the lengths of all lesions in each stomach was expressed as the Ulcerative Index (UI) (Sivaraman and Muralidharan, 2010). The Curative Ratio (CR), expressed in percentage, was determined for each group using the formula: CR (%) = (UI of Control-UI of treatment)/UI of Control ×100. The percentage inhibition of agonist response caused by the reference antagonist drugs and EZZ were computed and recorded. The procedure was repeated four times.

Organ weight to body weight ratio: After the 14 day treatment period, kidneys, lungs, hearts and livers of the animals were harvested and weighed. The organ weights to body weight ratios for the various organs were determined.

Hematological analysis: After the 14-day treatment period, bloods from sacrificed rats were collected into MediPlus K3 EDTA tubes (Sunphoria Co. Ltd., Taiwan) for hematological analysis. Red Blood Cell Count (RBC), White Blood Cell count (WBC), Hemoglobin Concentration (HB) and platelet counts (PLT) were estimated using a hematological analyzer (ABX Pentra 60, Horiba ABX, USA).

Liver function tests: After the 14 day treatment period, bloods from sacrificed rats were collected into plain tubes were allowed to stay undisturbed for 45 minutes to clotting. The clotted samples were spanned at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes in a centrifuge (Nuve, NF 200; Turkey). Plasma obtained from the samples were transferred into sample tubes and labeled. Plasma levels of Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST), Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP), Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP), Gamma-Glutamyl Transferase (GGT), Total Bilirubin (TBIL) and Direct Bilirubin (DBIL) were determined using appropriate working reagents (Fortress, Fortress Diagnostics, UK) a semi-automated chemistry analyzer (URIT 810, Guangzhou Shihai Medical Equipment Co., Ltd, China).

RESULTS

Phytochemical screening: Phytochemical screening of the EZZ revealed the presence of alkaloids, tannins, saponins, terpenoids and flavonoids.

Effect of EZZ on gastric ulcer formation: The number of ulcers per stomach and therefore UI in the ulcer induced group was high. The extract significantly (p≤0.001) and dose dependently decreased; the number of ulcers per stomach in each group and UI similar to Esomeprazole, a proton pump inhibitor. CR also increased very significantly (p≤0.001) in a dose-dependent manner (Table 1).
Table 1: The number of ulcers formed, the Ulcerative Index (UI) and the Curative Ratio (CR) for 14-day EZZ and Esomeprazole -treated ulcerated rats and ulcerated but untreated rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Number of ulcers/stomach/group</th>
<th>Ulcerative Index (mm)</th>
<th>Curative Ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.0±0.31</td>
<td>8.46±0.67</td>
<td>0.0±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ 250 mg kg⁻¹ᵃ</td>
<td>0.0±0.0</td>
<td>0.0±0.00</td>
<td>35.33±1.36 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ 150 mg kg⁻¹ᵇ</td>
<td>3.2±0.37 **</td>
<td>5.3±0.68 ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ 250 mg kg⁻¹ᵇ</td>
<td>1.4±0.25 ***</td>
<td>2.28±0.25 ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ 500 mg kg⁻¹ᵇ</td>
<td>0.4±0.24 ***</td>
<td>1.16±0.23 ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esomeprazole 20 mg kg⁻¹ᵇ</td>
<td>0.8±0.44 ***</td>
<td>1.40±0.19 ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM (n = 5), ᵃ ulceration was not induced, ᵇ ulceration was induced. Significant differences between the ulcerated but treated groups and the control were determined using One-Way Analysis of variance followed by Dunnet’s Multiple Comparison’s Test. ** implies p<0.01; *** implies p<0.001

Table 2: Photomicrographs of the gastric mucosa of normal, ulcerated and ulcerated with EZZ and Esomeprazole treatment for 14 days in Sprague-Dawley rats

Plate A: Normal arrangement of cells in the walls of the gastric mucosa (M), lamina propria (X) and sub-mucosal (S) layers in a non-ulcerated rat

Plate B: Gross appearances of hemorrhagic gastric mucosal lesions (shown by the arrows) in ulcerated rats

Plate C: Effect of EZZ (500 mg kg⁻¹) on gastric mucosal lesions induced by Indomethacin in rats. There is a total correction of the architectural distortions in the layers M, X and S (compared to Plate B)

Plate D: Effect of Esomeprazole (20 mg kg⁻¹) showing an enhanced correction of the architectural distortions created by Indomethacin (compared to Plate B)

Histological studies: Both EZZ and Esomeprazole completely corrected the architectural distortions caused by Indomethacin induced gastric ulceration (Table 2).

Determination of site of action of EZZ: EZZ significantly (p<0.001) inhibited the contractile responses of the isolated guinea - pig ileum preparation to Acetylcholine, Nicotine and Histamine. Its effect was comparable to that observed for Atropine, Hexamethonium and Mepyramine, the standard antagonists to the agonists used (Table 3).

Cage side observations: Administration of the EZZ caused reduction in the intake of water and food. Rats clustered at the corners of cages after ulceration. This effect was however abolished in the Esomeprazole treated and the 500 mg kg⁻¹ EZZ treated group after the fourth day of treatment.

Organ weight to body weight ratio: There were no significant differences in the organ weight to body ratios of the liver, heart, lungs and kidney between the EZZ-treated groups and that of the control group (Table 4).

Haematological analysis: RBCs increased significantly (p<0.001); likewise HB (p<0.05); in EZZ and Esomeprazole-treated ulcerated rats. PLT also increased significantly (p<0.001) in all treated ulcerated rats. However, WBCs decreased significantly (p<0.05) in the ulcerated rats receiving treatment. Rats which were not ulcerated but were receiving 250 mg kg⁻¹ EZZ had significant increments in WBC, RBC and HB (Table 5).
Table 3: The inhibitory effect (%) of Atropine, Hexamethonium, Meperidine and EZZ on the contractile effect of Acetylcholine, Nicotine and Histamine on the isolated guinea-pig ileum preparation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antagonist</th>
<th>Percentage inhibition of Agonist effect by Antagonist</th>
<th>Acetylcholine</th>
<th>Nicotine</th>
<th>Histamine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atropine (5×10⁻² mg mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>72.6±0.96 ***</td>
<td>3.0±0.58</td>
<td>3.0±0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexamethonium (5×10⁻² mg mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.3±0.85</td>
<td>86.2±1.0***</td>
<td>1.25±0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meperidine (2×10⁻¹ mg mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.3±0.63</td>
<td>3.8±0.85</td>
<td>65.7±0.12 ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ (3×10⁻¹ mg mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>65.8±1.0 ***</td>
<td>4.5±0.77 **</td>
<td>60.1±0.001 ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM (n = 5). Significant differences between the percentage inhibitions caused by the antagonists and the minimum inhibition possible determined using One-Way Analysis of variance followed by Dunnet’s Multiple Comparison’s Test. ** implies p < 0.01; *** implies p < 0.001

Table 4: Organ Weight to Body Weight Ratio calculated of controls and ulcerated rats treated with EZZ and Esomeprazole for 14 day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Heart</th>
<th>Lungs</th>
<th>Kidney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.03±0.002</td>
<td>0.00±0.011</td>
<td>0.01±0.002</td>
<td>0.01±0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ (250 mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.03±0.002</td>
<td>0.00+0.025</td>
<td>0.01±0.002</td>
<td>0.01±0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ (150 mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.00±0.002</td>
<td>0.00±0.002</td>
<td>0.01±0.002</td>
<td>0.01±0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ (250 mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.00±0.002</td>
<td>0.00±0.002</td>
<td>0.01±0.002</td>
<td>0.01±0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ (500 mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.00±0.002</td>
<td>0.00±0.002</td>
<td>0.01±0.002</td>
<td>0.01±0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esomeprazole (20 mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.00±0.002</td>
<td>0.00±0.002</td>
<td>0.01±0.002</td>
<td>0.01±0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM (n = 5). * ulceration was not induced, † ulceration was induced

Table 5: Hematological profile parameters measured of controls and ulcerated rats treated with EZZ and Esomeprazole for 14 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>WBC (×10⁹/L)</th>
<th>RBC (×10⁹/L)</th>
<th>HB (g/dL)</th>
<th>PLT (×10⁹/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8.4±0.608</td>
<td>4.19±0.34</td>
<td>11.30±0.68</td>
<td>496.6±59.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ (250 mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>10.74±0.61***</td>
<td>5.74±0.10***</td>
<td>14.02±0.33***</td>
<td>489.6±6.01***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ (150 mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>7.76±0.45 ns</td>
<td>5.23±0.06***</td>
<td>12.22±0.77**</td>
<td>797.0±54.28***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ (250 mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>7.14±0.09††</td>
<td>5.63±0.02***</td>
<td>12.86±0.14*</td>
<td>807.0±76.00***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZZ (500 mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>7.4±0.19††</td>
<td>5.34±0.16***</td>
<td>12.81±0.11*</td>
<td>868.0±50.93***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esomeprazole (20 mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>7.30±0.61††</td>
<td>5.57±0.46***</td>
<td>12.74±0.82*</td>
<td>569.4±87.52ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM (n = 5). * ulceration was not induced, † ulceration was induced. Significant differences between the ulcerated but treated groups and the control were determined using One-Way Analysis of variance followed by Dunnet’s Multiple Comparison’s Test. For significant increments: ns implies p > 0.05; † implies p ≤ 0.05; *** implies p < 0.001. For significant decrements: ns imply p > 0.05; †† implies p < 0.01; ††† implies p < 0.001.

Table 6: Liver function test parameters measured of controls and ulcerated rats treated with EZZ and Esomeprazole for 14 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>EZZ Treatment</th>
<th>Esomeprazole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/L)</td>
<td>9.90±2.31</td>
<td>9.01±1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/L)</td>
<td>151.64±5.62</td>
<td>172.50±14.6 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP (U/L)</td>
<td>1.53±1.34</td>
<td>93.21±22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGT (U/L)</td>
<td>3.44±1.65</td>
<td>7.22±3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBIL (µmol/L)</td>
<td>0.44±0.05</td>
<td>0.69±0.16 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBIL (µmol/L)</td>
<td>0.17±0.92</td>
<td>0.16±0.24 ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM (n = 5). * ulceration was not induced, † ulceration was induced. Significant differences between the ulcerated but treated groups and the control were determined using One-Way Analysis of variance followed by Dunnet’s Multiple Comparison’s Test. For significant increments: ns implies p > 0.05; *** implies p < 0.001. For significant decrements: ns imply p > 0.05; †† implies p < 0.01; ††† implies p < 0.001.

Liver function tests: Plasma levels of ALT and ALP decreased significantly (p<0.001) and dose-dependently in ulcerated animals treated with EZZ. Levels of GGT, TBIL and DBIL also increased significantly (p<0.001); though not dose dependently. A similar trend was observed in the esomeprazole-treated group. With the exception of the ulcerated group which received 150 mg kg⁻¹ EZZ, there was significant decrease (p<0.01-0.001) in plasma AST levels for the EZZ and Esomeprazole-treated groups compared to the controls with values comparable to the non-ulcerated rats treated with 250 mg kg⁻¹ EZZ (Table 6).
DISCUSSION

Indomethacin-induced gastric ulceration is a suitable model for investigating the gastroprotective effects of drugs. Indomethacin is a Cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) inhibitor which acts to prevent the biosynthesis of prostaglandins. Prostaglandin E2 together with mucus and bicarbonate ions provide protection to the gastrointestinal lining against the actions of gastric acid and proteolytic enzymes. Indomethacin, therefore induce ulceration by disruption of protection offered by prostaglandins to the gastric mucosa.

Although recent advances in our understanding have highlighted the multi-factorial pathogenesis of peptic ulcers, gastric acid secretion is still recognized as a central component in ulcers. It is for this reason that, the main therapeutic effects of most conventional antiulcer drugs is targeted at neutralizing or control of gastric acid secretion by antacids, antihistaminics and proton pump inhibitors (Sumbul et al., 2011). The walls of the stomach contain parietal cells and their action is influenced by three chemical transmitters; Histamine Acetylcholine and Gastrin. The three transmitters work in concert to stimulate the parietal cells to produce hydrochloric acid. Overproduction of HCl by the parietal cells leads to hyperacidity of the stomach resulting in erosion of the mucous membrane and subsequently ulcer formation. EZZ effectively inhibited the contractile effects of Acetylcholine and Histamine on the isolated guinea-pig ileum, indicating how EZZ might have suppressed the role of acetylcholine and histamine (via antimuscarinic or antihistaminic activity) in gastric acid secretion at the cellular level and hence suppression of ulcer formation and development.

The histological studies conducted on the gastric mucosa confirmed healing of the distorted tissue layers (lesions or ulcers). The ulcerated but treated (with EZZ or Esomeprazole) gastric mucosa of the rats showed fewer lesions with significantly reduced diameters were seen i.e., a decreased UI with an increased CR particularly in the high dose EZZ (it effect was even better compared to the esomeprazole group).

Organ weights are widely accepted in the evaluation of test agent-associated toxicities (Sellers et al., 2007). The Society of Toxicologic Pathology recommends that organ weights be included routinely in toxicity studies for multidose drugs administered in durations from 7 days to 1 year (Sellers et al., 2007). The organ weight to bodyweight ratios of all the treated groups was not significantly different from that of the control group. This indicates that both EZZ and Esomeprazole had no effect on organ weight and therefore would possibly have no organ-related toxicity.

Results obtained after hematological studies do not reveal any toxic effect of EZZ on blood as similar effects were seen with Esomeprazole treatment. The decrease in WBCs in blood in the EZZ and Esomeprazole-treated ulcerated rats could possibly be due to migration of some of these cells from blood (leucocyte extravasation) into areas around the damaged mucosal tissue as part of innate immune response in the body’s defense mechanism (Hyun et al., 2012). The decrease in RBC and HB in blood could possibly be the result of blood lost from ulcers developed in the stomach (Seibert, 2012).

To ascertain the integrity and functionality of the liver, plasma ALT and AST levels are often compared to plasma ALP, Total protein and Total bilirubin. In the ulcerated rats that were being treated with EZZ and Esomeprazole, there were reduction in the plasma levels of ALP, AST and ALT which may indicate that the treatment does not cause injury or damage to hepatocytes. Plasma ALP and ALT levels are elevated (as seen in the ulcerated rats) in inflammatory disorders of the bowel (gastroenteritis) and in malnutrition e.g. Alcohol ingestion that causes damage to the gastric mucosa results in the release of marker enzyme ALP into the blood (Borashan et al., 2009; Jothi et al., 2012), while administration of Phenylbutazone in Arabian horses caused gastric epithelium injury and elevated ALP, ALT, AST and GGT (Saeid and Ali, 2011). The extract and esomeprazole significantly reduced ALP levels compared to the untreated ulcerated rats implying mucosal reconstruction and regeneration of gastric tissue. A significant reduction in ALP level implies antulcerogenic property (Srivastava et al., 2012).

It can thus be said that EZZ does not have the potential of causing liver injury or damaging hepatocytes (acute liver damage); which is seen by the rise in plasma ALT and/or AST levels (Koffuor et al., 2010). The extract and Esomeprazole treatment however possibly decreases the liver’s functionality by decreasing its excretory ability which was evidently seen by a rise in GGT and Total Bilirubin (direct and indirect bilirubin). Increased levels of GGT may indicate in general that the liver is being damaged but does not specifically point to a condition that may be causing the injury. Increases in TBIL and DBIL may indicate problems with the liver, which are reflected as deficiencies in bilirubin metabolism (e.g., reduced hepatocyte uptake, impaired conjugation of bilirubin and reduced hepatocyte secretion of bilirubin). Elevation of direct bilirubin indicates that the liver is conjugating bilirubin normally, but is not able to excrete it possibly due to bile duct obstruction by gallstones. It has been documented that patients
developed bland and somewhat prolonged cholestasis after only two weeks of H₂-blocker therapy. Similar observation was also made in this study for Esomeprazole and EZZ treatment. It therefore implies that EZZ has the potential to cause cholestasis (interference with the livers excretory ability) and hence impair liver function. The similar adverse effect of causing cholestasis observed for both EZZ and esomeprazole could confirm the observation in this study that EZZ has antihistaminic effect.

The activity of the extract observed is due to phytochemicals present. Preliminary screening revealed the presence of alkaloids, tannins, saponins, terpenoids and flavonoids affirming an earlier report by Adeniyi et al. (2010). Some alkaloids have been reported to have gastroprotective effects (Gadekar et al., 2010; Hariprasath et al., 2012; Nwidu and Nwafor, 2009). Tannins have also been reported to contribute immensely in gastroprotection (Dragana et al., 2009; Vasconcelos et al., 2010) while some saponins also protect the gut from ulcerogenic agents (Yoshikawa et al., 2008; Nwidu and Nwafor, 2009). A study conducted in Siqueira et al. (2012), had reported the role of terpinoids in the prevention of ulcer and the healing of existing ulcers. The role of flavonoids in gastroprotection cannot be over ruled (Mota et al., 2009; Hussaini et al., 2012).

CONCLUSION

The ethanolic root bark extract of Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides has gastroprotective effect in Sprague-Dawley rats working possibly via antimuscarinic or antihistaminic mechanism. It however has a potential of causing cholestasis hence liver function should be monitored.
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