TURNING WASTE INTO USABLE PRODUCTS: A CASE STUDY OF EXTRACTING CHITOSAN FROM BLUE CRAB
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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this project was to develop a practical and cost efficient alternative to the current disposal of seafood waste in the North Florida region. Fortuitously, due to an unusually high turnover rate, this reconceptualization study may have turned seafood waste into a hot commodity. At least that is one conclusion one can draw from these findings. Indeed, over the past decades, under the pressure of volume and environmental concerns, Blue Crab (Callinectes sapidus Rathbun) waste, usually considered a nuisance in North Florida, has seen a historical shift in its disposal and utilization. From the 10 million lbs generated in the region last year, almost 30%, compared to 10% the year before, has been processed into usable products. These products have found use in biotechnology, food, biomedical and environmental and agricultural industries. The latter was mainly processed in the form of chitosan, a chemical known to promote seed germination and control economically destructive fungal diseases. This reconceptualization of seafood waste could represent a new market or economic opportunity for limited-land owners in coastal areas all over the world.
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1. INTRODUCTION

For the past decades, environmental concerns and population pressure have been forcing us to look for ways to turn waste into energy and other usable products (FAO, 1998). The concept of “Reduce, Reuse and Recycle” has become a way of life for municipalities the world over. Here in North Florida, the seafood industry has seen an unprecedented shift in the way it disposes and utilizes the waste generated from its processes, especially in the Blue Crab (Callinectes sapidus Rathbun) industry (Nnali and Oke, 2013). With a global landing of nearly $8 \times 10^6$ MT, Blue Crab has been considered as a major polluter, as 80-90% of its biomass is waste (Gandy, 2010). This waste, which is usually tucked in landfills across the region, has been deemed as a nuisance by environmental regulators. Not only its sheer volume, but also the odor emitting from it, have been ground to label the Blue Crab waste as a pollution stream. Therefore, finding alternatives to its disposal and utilization became inevitable.

As materials decomposition depends heavily on their chemical structure, most products derived from Blue Crab waste were chitin based (Leffler, 1997). Chitin is the second most abundant polysaccharide in nature (Hirano, 1996) and is found in the exoskeletons of insects, shells of crustaceans and in the cell wall of some fungi and algae (Jang et al., 2004). Chitin primarily serves as a structural and protective layer in animals and fungi (Khor, 2014). Chitin has $\beta(1-4)$ linked N-acetylglucosamine repeated units and is crystalline in nature (Phillips and Williams, 2009). Chitosan is the fully or partially deacetylated form of chitin and structurally contains (1-4, 2-acetamido-2-deoxy- $\beta$-D-glucan) and (1-4, 2-amino-2-deoxy- $\beta$-D-glucan) residues (Khor, 2014). Chitosan has been found naturally in the cell walls of yeast and some...
Chitosan is known for its biodegradability, antimicrobial, bioadhesive, biocompatibility, metal chelating, non-toxic and film-forming properties (Doxastakis and Kiosseoglou, 2000). It has been exploited as a renewable resource and has been demonstrated to be useful in the agriculture, biotechnology, food, biomedical and environmental industries (Kurita, 1998). Chitosan is prepared in many ways from the shells of crustaceans depending on its intended use and quality desired (Wang et al., 2004). The preparation of chitosan begins with the extraction of chitin and then treating chitin with hot concentrated NaOH (Riccardo et al., 1997). The extraction of chitin consists of three major processes: Demineralization, deproteinization and decolorization (Khor, 2014). The process of demineralization involves the removal of the mineral content of the shell with a low concentration of acid, while the deproteinization process involves the removal of the protein from the shell with a weak solution of a base (Khor, 2014). The decolorization involves the removal of the lipid pigment (carotenoid) and may be an optional process (No and Meyers, 1995). The process of deacetylation usually involves the use of concentrated NaOH under high temperatures (90-110°C) (Riccardo et al., 1997).

The objective of this study was to extract chitin from the Blue Crab (Callinectes sapidus Rathbun) and produce chitosan. The composition and physicochemical properties of the chitosan produced were evaluated.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Crab Shell Preparation

Approximately 800 kg of carapace refuse from the Blue Crab were obtained from a crab restaurant out and transported to the laboratory where it was washed with deionized water to remove adherent and soluble material. The shells were manually broken by hand into chunks of 10-25 mm. The shells were then stored at 4°C.

2.2. Moisture Content Determination

Approximately 200 g of shell chunks were weighed (CDI, 2002) and then dried in an Iso-Temp oven (Fisher Scientific) at 65°C for 72 h. The dried shells were then weighed again and moisture content was determined (Black et al., 1965) Equation 1:

\[
\text{Initial weight} - \text{dry weight} \times 100 = \% \text{ moisture content}_{(db)} \quad (1)
\]

2.3. Nitrogen, Calcium and Magnesium Content

The dried crab shell sample was grounded to a particle size of ±1 mm. Approximately 10 g were placed in an airtight plastic container. The nitrogen, calcium and magnesium content of the dried crab shell sample were determined by the Feed and Environmental Water Laboratory, University of Georgia, Athens.

2.4. Extraction of Chitin and Preparation of Chitosan

2.4.1. De Mineralization (DM)

Crab shells (100g) were placed in a 1-liter conical flask and 500 mL of 3.5% HCl solution was added. The flask was then placed on an Innova 2300 platform shaker (New Brunswick Scientific) and shaken at 35 rpm at 25°C. The 3.5% HCl solution was changed daily by decanting and adding freshly prepared 3.5% HCl. On the 8th day, HCl solution was decanted and the shells were washed with distilled water (24l). The shells were then blotted dry with an absorbent paper towel and weighed (Fig. 1).

2.5. Deproteinization (DP)

The decalcified crab shell was deproteinated by adding 500 mL of 4% NaOH (w/v) solution to the shells in a 1-liter beaker. The mixture was then boiled in a water bath (Büchi B-481) at 65°C for 2.5 h after which the mixture was removed and let to stand and cool for 30 min. The NaOH solution was then decanted and the shells were washed with distilled water (30l) until the NaOH was removed. The shells were then blotted dry with an absorbent paper towel and weighed (Fig. 1).

2.6. De Colorization (DC)

The decalcified crab shell was deproteinized by adding 500 mL of 4% NaOH (w/v) solution to the shells in a 1-liter beaker. The mixture was then boiled in a water bath (Büchi B-481) at 65°C for 2.5 h after which the mixture was removed and let to stand and cool for 30 min. The NaOH solution was then decanted and the shells were washed with distilled water (30l) until the NaOH was removed. The shells were then blotted dry with an absorbent paper towel and weighed (Fig. 1).

2.7. De Acetylation

The extracted chitin material was then treated with 50% NaOH (w/w) solution, boiled at 95°C for 4 h and then cooled. Evaporated water was replaced during the boiling process. The NaOH solution was then decanted.
The chitosan material was then washed until the pH (Accumet Research (AR) 15 pH meter) was neutral, blotted dry with an absorbent paper towel, weighed and dried in an Iso-Temp oven (Fisher Scientific) at 50°C for 12 h. After 12 h, the dried chitosan was removed from the oven, placed in a dessicator for 5 min and weighed. Chitosan flakes were stored in airtight plastic tubes at room temperature for future use.

### 2.8. Preparation of Chitosan Solution

Chitosan flakes were ground using an Oster 700 W industrial blender to a fine powder of about ±53 μm in particle size. A 4% (w/v) solution of chitosan was prepared from the powdered chitosan by dissolving 4g of chitosan in 100 mL of 1% acetic acid. The pH of the chitosan solution was recorded.

### 2.9. Determining the Degree of Acetylation

The degree of acetylation was done by elemental analysis according to Xu et al. (1996), by first obtaining the mass of Carbon (C) and Nitrogen (N) of the extracted chitosan. The C and N content were determined by the Feed and Environmental Water Laboratory, University of Georgia, Athens. The Degree of Acetylation (DA) was calculated as follows Equation 2:

$$DA(\%) = \left(\frac{C}{N - 5.14}\right) \times 100$$

where, C/N is the ratio (w/w) of carbon to nitrogen. The mass of C and N were calculated from the organic fraction of the material.
2.10. Determination of the Bulk Density of Blue Crab Chitosan

The bulk and particle densities of Blue Crab chitosan were carried out according to the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM, 2006) method D5004. Bulk density was determined by taking a chitosan sample of particle size, 0.5-1.0 mm and placing it in a 10 mL graduated cylinder to the 10 mL mark. The sample was then weighed. This procedure was repeated six times. Particle density was determined by placing the chitosan sample (0.5-0 mm particle size) in a 10 mL graduated cylinder to the 10 mL mark and tapping the cylinder. The weight and volume were recorded. This procedure was also repeated 6 times.

2.11. Precipitation Point of Bc-Chitosan and Elexa Solutions

A1: 19 dilution of Bc-chitosan (4%) and Elexa® were separately prepared in 100 mL volumetric flasks. To each volumetric flask, 5 mL of each solution was added and brought to a final volume of 100 mL. The pH was determined and recorded. NaOH (1% w/v) was then added drop wise to each solution (constantly stirring) until a precipitate was observed. The pH at which each solution formed a precipitate was determined and recorded.

2.12. Data Collection

A proximate analysis was done on the Blue Crab shell (carapace) and the extracted chitosan (Bc-chitosan). The physicochemical properties of Bc-chitosan and Elexa® 4 were characterized.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Blue Crab shell (carapace) had a chitosan yield of 6%. The yield is low compared to 20-30% (Hirano, 1989) as reported in the literature. This low yield may be due to the source and amount of chitin. The carapace of the crab’s exoskeleton had the least amount of chitin compared to the legs, which contains more chitin (Hirano, 1989).

Results from the proximate analysis of the carapace of the Blue Crab shell (Table 1) indicate a yield of 28% mineral matter (Ca -26%, Mg -1.4% and other), 11% chitin, 45% residual protein and 1% lipid (carotenoid pigment).

Riccardo et al. (1997); Abram (2004), reported that crab shell generally contains 13-50% residual protein, 15-70% mineral matter, 10-40% chitin and 0-14% lipids. The proximate analysis is as described in the literature. The moisture content of chitosan was 10% (Table 1). The moisture content of the dried crab shell was 37% while the moisture content of chitosan stored was 10%. Chitosan generally contains <10% moisture (Breuel, 1992). Chitosan is readily absorbs moisture in nature. This indicates that moisture was absorbed during storage (Khan et al., 2002).

Chitosan extracted from the Blue Crab shell contained <0.001% mineral matter (Ca and Mg), 7.34% residual nitrogen (Table 1). The low mineral content suggests that the removal of CaCO$_3$ and other minerals was effective. Roberts (1992), reported that crab shells that are properly demineralized will have a mineral content of <1%. The residual nitrogen in the Blue Crab chitosan was 7.34% (Table 1). The residual nitrogen (on a dry basis) found in crab and shrimp range from 7.06-7.97% (No and Meyers, 1995). The residual nitrogen in Blue Crab is within the range reported in the literature.

The degree of acetylation of Blue Crab chitosan was 19% (Table 2), indicating a high degree of deacetylation of 81%. If the polymer contains <50% of the acetyl group, the polymer is considered to be chitosan (Khor, 2014; No et al., 1995). The maximum level of degree of deacetylation that can be obtained in one single alkali treatment is 75-85% (Doxastakis and Kiosseoglou, 2000). Based on the degree of acetylation, the polymer in this study is chitosan. The untapped bulk density of Blue Crab chitosan was 0.24 g mL$^{-1}$ while the particle density was 0.30 g mL$^{-1}$ (Table 2).

The pH of Blue Crab chitosan and Elexa® 4 was 5.18 and 3.10 respectively (Table 2). The pH of Elexa® 4 was reported as 3.3-3.5 on the Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS). The pH of Elexa® 4 was in the range reported on the MSDS. The higher pH of Blue Crab chitosan was probably attributed to the concentration of acetic acid (1%) used. Most commercial chitosans in aqueous solutions are dissolved in 2% acetic acid. The pH of the chitosan, therefore, will be less acidic when a lower concentration of acid is used for dilution (No and Meyers, 1995).
Table 1. Proximate components of the Blue Crab shell and chitosan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Blue Crab shell (carapace) (%)</th>
<th>Chitosan (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.1.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>7.34R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>45*</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>40.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R-Residual Nitrogen; * N×6.25

Table 2. Physicochemical properties of Blue Crab chitosan (powder and liquid) and Elexa® 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>1Bc-chitosan (Powder)</th>
<th>Bc-chitosan (aq) (4%)</th>
<th>Elexa®4 (aq)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Degree of acetylation (%)</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untapped bulk density (g/mL)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapped bulk density (g/mL)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation point pH</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>6.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. CONCLUSION

The proximate analysis and physicochemical properties of chitosan extracted from the Blue Crab are within the range of values reported in the literature; and consequently may validate the procedure used for the extraction.

It is recommended that further research be carried out on the purification of the large volumes of water used in the washing process at each stage in obtaining chitosan. This is very important, as a salt that resulted from the demineralization process (CaCl₂) is very high in pH. Further processing of the wastewater containing calcium salt could be used as a mineral supplement in animal rations. This calcium salt may also be used to in soil remediation by liming acidic soils to adjust the soil pH.
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