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ABSTRACT  
The basin solar still was developed by adding a magnetic treatment unit, it’s energy 0.12 Tesla and two layer of glass provided with water between them. The study was conducted by testing the device by using magnetic water + double glass provided with water, non magnetic water + double glass cover, magnetic water + single glass cover, non magnetic water + single glass cover and control treatment (without additions). Intensity of incidence solar radiation in Basrah province during the month of April, Temperature in the basin solar still, glass cover surface, ambient, pH, water electric conductivity, productivity, density, physical and chemical properties were measured. Theoretical productivity and efficiency were calculated. The results showed that the average of solar radiation intensity is 889.55 W/m². Temperature of basin solar still, glass cover surface and ambient was increased with increasing solar radiation intensity. The maximum of temperature in the basin water of solar still is 56.61°C at using magnetic water + single glass cover which has best performance compare with other treatments and having pH 7.03, minimum electric conductivity is 0.000672 S/m and density reached to 1000 kg/m³ and gave highest efficiency at 32.55% and it’s productivity improved by 50% compared with conventional.  
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1. INTRODUCTION  
Water is life in all its forms. All living organisms contain water the body of a human being is composed of approximately 60% of water, a fish of 80%, plant between 80 and 90%. Water is necessary for the chemical reactions that occur in living cells and is also in the middle of this water that these cells are formed. Water is essential to sustainable food production as well as all living ecosystem, human development is based entirely on the hydrological cycle (Chaouachi, 2011).  

Solar desalination is one of the cheapest methods for distilled water production. Solar still is widely used in the solar desalination. The yield of the single basin solar still is very less compared to that of other conventional desalination methods (Tanaka et al., 2000). Single basin solar still is a popular solar device used for converting available brackish or waste water. Because of it’s lower productivity, it’s not popularly used. A number of works are under taken to improve the productivity of the still. The still productivity and efficiency depend on parameters like location, solar radiation intensity, atmospheric temperature-basin water depth, glass cover material, thickness and it’s inclination, wind velocity and the heat capacity of the still (Tiwari et al., 1995; 1998; Singw et al., 1995; Ghoneyem and Ileri, 1997; Tiwari and Madhuri, 1985; El-Sebaii, 2000; Yousef and Abu-Arabi, 2004; Tripathi and Tiwari, 2006).  

Akash et al. (1998) and Tiwari and Tiwari (2007) were carried out to determine the still efficiency, the efficiency was ranged from 15-25%. Moreover, a parameter study was performed Al-Hinai et al. (2002) on a conventional double-sloped single basin solar still under climate conditions of the sultanate of Oman at the Gulf region, this study showed that under optimum design condition, the still trends to give an
average annual solar yield of approximately 4 l/m² day. Nafey et al. (2000) stated that using black gravel of 20-30 mm size improve the productivity by 19% at the condition of 20 l/m² brine volume and 15 glass cover angle. Also Velmurugan et al. (2008) added pebbles in the solar still and found that the productivity increased by 20% than the conventional solar still. Productivity of solar still was increased with increase of absorber area. Fins are integrated with solar still to increase the absorber plate area (Velmurugan and Srithar, 2011). The aim of the present paper is to development of basin solar still by adding magnetic treatment unit and double glass provided with water.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A basin solar still is shown in Fig. 1, 2a, b and c was designed and manufactured in the food engineering laboratory at Basrah University. Water magnetic unit is used to producing magnetic water and double glass cover provided with water was added to the solar still. Apparatus consist of basin area of the still is 0.24 m² manufactured using iron steel of 18 gauge thickness. The bottom and sides of the basin are insulted by 2.5 cm thick foam surrounded by wooden frame of 2 cm thickness. Trough made of aluminum which used to collecting distilled water that put in the forward the basin which have 0.25 in. diameter aluminum pipe which connected with plastic pipe to out put distilled water. The basin is containing of porosity black stones, the purpose of black stones to absorbance solar radiation and heating of basin water, as well as increasing the evaporation. Tank is made of stainless steel 314 and connected behind the solar still. Magnetic treatment unit was connected under tank that consists of two static magnets their intensity is 0.12 Tesla and the plastic pipe put between them to producing magnetic water. Using magnetic water to producing low surface tension of water which increasing of evaporation then increasing of productivity. Balance tank was setup beside of the solar still to control on water level in the basin. Aluminum foil was putted in the inner wall of basin to reflect sun rays on the water basin. Double glass cover inclined 30 degree was provided with water is put between glasses to increasing of condensation.

Solar radiation intensity was measured by Pyranometer type Kipp-Zonen Model CM11 is used for measuring solar radiation data. Through this instrument most of the available data on solar radiation are obtained. A pyranometer produces voltages from the thermopile detectors that are a function of the incident radiation. Temperatures of basin water and glass cover are measured by thermocouples type cu-constantan. Ambient temperature is measured by a thermometer.

pH is measured according to APHA (1995). Electrical Conductivity (EC) was measured, according to Chapman and Pratt (1962).

pH, Total Dissolved Solid (TDS) were measured by water analysis kit and manual methods. Calcium and magnesium hardness of water was estimated by complexometric titration method (Prajapati and Roal, 2004). Chloride contents were determined volumetrically by silver nitrate titration method using potassium chromate as an indicator. It was calculated in terms of ppm (Mitra and Gupta, 1999).

Productivity (l/m².day): the collection of output distilled water from distiller at limit time has been measured then productivity is calculated from the following Equation 1:

\[ P_t = \frac{M_{\text{out}}}{A_t} \times 100 \]  

(1)

Practical efficiency is calculated from the following Equation 2 (Tanaka et al., 2000; Badran and Abu-Khader, 2007):

\[ E(\%) = \frac{M_{\text{out}} h_{is}}{I A_s} \times 100 \]  

(2)

The correlation of Latent heat of water evaporation is given by Sharma and Mullick (1993):

\[ h_{is} = 3044205.5 - 1679.1109 T_w - 1.14258 T_w^2 \]  

(3)

Statistical analysis: Data were analyzed using SPSS software SPSS, 2011.

Theoretical productivity and efficiency were calculated according to Sharma and Mullick (1993).

The energy used for evaporation is Equation 4 and 5:

\[ \frac{q_e}{A_s} = \frac{h_{\text{sat}} U_i [T_w - T_s]}{U_i} \]  

(4)

\[ M = \frac{q_e}{I_{gb}} \]  

(5)
Fig. 2. Photograph of local basin solar still with magnetic treatment unit and double glass. (A) Top, (B) in front, (C) behind
By combining Equation (3) in (4) and after integration in the daytime period we have Equation 6:

$$M_{\text{net}(th)} = A_{\text{g}} \frac{h_{\text{eg}} U_{\text{i}}}{h_{\text{eg}} U_{\text{i}}} \Delta \left[ T_{\text{ad}} - T_{\text{ad}} \right]$$  \(16\)

Heat transfer coefficient in a solar still is calculated as the following equations (Sharma and Mullick, 1993).

The overall upward heat flow factor between water and environment is given by Equation 7:

$$U_{1} = \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{A_{\text{eg}} U_{\text{i}}} \right]^{-1}$$  \(17\)

Where Equation 8 to 10:

$$U_{i} = h_{\text{eg}} + h_{\text{eg}} + h_{\text{eg}}$$  \(18\)

$$U_{o} = \frac{h_{\text{e}}(T_{i} - T_{o})}{T_{r} - T_{i}} + h_{\text{op}}$$  \(19\)

$$A_{s} = \frac{A_{s}}{A_{o}}$$  \(20\)

The convective, evaporative and radiative heat transfer coefficients between water in basin and glass cover are Equation 11 to 13:

$$h_{\text{eg}} = 0.884 \left[ \frac{P_{w} - P_{e}}{2016 - P_{e}} - T_{o} \right]^{1/3}$$  \(21\)

$$h_{\text{eg}} = 0.88 \frac{9.15 \times 10^{-3} \text{h}_{\text{eg}}(P_{w} - P_{e})h_{\text{eg}}}{(T_{o} - T_{e})}$$  \(22\)

$$h_{\text{eg}} = 0.9\sigma(T_{o}^{4} - T_{e}^{4})(T_{o} + T_{o})$$  \(23\)

The radiative and convective heat transfer coefficients between cover and environmental are Equation 14:

$$h_{\text{op}} = \varepsilon_{\text{op}}(T_{e}^{4} - T_{o}^{4})(T_{o} + T_{o})$$  \(24\)

The following equations can be used to calculation of the above heat transfer coefficients Equation 15:

$$T_{i} = 0.0552T_{e}^{1.5}$$  \(25\)

Partial water vapor pressure for temperature range 10 -150°C Equation 16:

$$p = 165960.72 \times 10^{-\left[4 + 6.8X + 10X^{3}\right] / \left[T(1 + X)\right]}$$  \(26\)

Where:

$$X = 647.27 - T$$

$$A = 3.2437814$$

$$B = 5.86826 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$C = 1.1702379 \times 10^{-8}$$

$$D = 2.187852 \times 10^{-3}$$

Theoretical solar radiation intensity is calculated by the Equation (17) (Lunde, 1980):

$$I_{1} = I_{100} \left[ \cos \theta + \frac{1 + \cos \theta}{2} + \rho (\sin \alpha + C) \frac{(1 - \cos \theta)}{2} \right]$$  \(27\)

Theoretical efficiency is given by Equation (18):

$$E_{th}(\%) = \frac{h_{\text{g}} M_{\text{net}(th)}}{I_{1} A_{\text{g}}} \times 100$$  \(28\)

The theoretical efficiency can be found by using the following formula for the system with reflector (Ayav and Atagunduz, 2007) Equation 19:

$$E_{th}(\%) = \frac{h_{\text{g}} M_{\text{net}(th)}}{I_{1} A_{\text{g}} + I_{1} \times A_{\text{dir}}} \times 100$$  \(29\)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental work was carried out in Basrah city-Iraq (Latitude 30°33’ 56.55”N, Longitude: 47°4 5’ 5.86”E). The theoretical and practical solar radiation intensity during day hours are shown in Fig. 3. The maximum and minimum of practical solar radiation intensity average was 1084.33 and 602.40 W/m^2 respectively in April in clear days. The theoretical solar radiation intensity was higher than the practical solar radiation intensity in the morning and after hour of 15.00 but the theoretical solar radiation intensity was lower than the practical solar radiation intensity at day hours from 11.30 to 15.00. The variation between the practical and theoretical solar radiation intensity is caused by to the theoretical values was depended on the apparent extraterrestrial solar radiation, extinction coefficient and diffused solar radiation coefficient are calculated for United State American cities by meteorological stations. Conditions of these cities are differ from Basrah city conditions, these factors led to the variation between the theoretical and practical data, as well as present light dust in Basrah weather is led to reducing the solar radiation intensity in some times. These results are agreed with (Al-Hilphy, 2006; Al-Hilphy, 2010). Average practical solar radiation intensity in April 2013 is 889.55 W/m^2.
Figures 4-7 illustrated the change in the temperature of ambient, glass cover and basin water during day hours with and without magnetic treatment unit and single or double glass cover. Results showed that the temperature of ambient, glass cover and basin water are increased with increasing day hours up to maximum value at 15:30 pm (except ambient temperature at 15.00 pm) and then it decreases at using magnetic water and double glass cover (Fig. 3). This is because increasing of solar radiation intensity with day hours at 10:00 am to 12:00 noon and this may be attributed to the increase of the absorbency of the solar energy entering the still by water. Also this is due to the process using mainly the thermal energy stored in the water itself. Results similar to the findings of many investigators (Delyannis and Piperoglou, 1965; Mustafa et al., 1979; Al-Hayek and Badran, 2004; Ayav and Ataguduz, 2007). The maximum temperature of basin water is 57.53, 56.61, 55.68 and 42.38°C at using magnetic water and double glass cover, magnetic water + single glass cover, single glass cover + without magnetic water and double glass cover + without magnetic water respectively. The effect of ambient temperature variations on solar still productivity is examined by the several researchers. The numerical results showed that a slight increase of 3% in the solar still productivity is obtained by increasing the ambient temperature by 5°C (Nafey et al., 2000).

The comparison of the daily productivity of distilled water between the conventional and modified desalination systems is shown in Fig. 8. The daily productivity of magnetic water + single glass cover treatment is higher than conventional treatment and other modified systems. The daily productivity of the solar distiller provided with magnetic water unit and single glass cover treatment was improved by increasing the evaporation and heat transfer rate of the system as magnetic water. The daily productivity is improved by 50% compared with conventional treatment as shown in Fig. 8. The differences between the theoretical daily productivity, the conventional and modified desalination systems are significantly (p<0.05). Al-Hinai et al. (2002) found the productivity of solar still approximately 4 l/m²·day.

The results showed in the Fig. 9, that the highest efficiency was when using magnetic water + single glass cover and reached to 32.55% and this because the magnetized water that led to reduce the surface tension of water and increase the speed of evaporation, as indicated that Al-Hilphy (2011). The least efficient was at using a double glass cover + without magnetized water which reached to 6.51%. This decrease in efficiency is caused by increasing the thickness of the cover, which led to reduce the intensity of solar radiation inside to basin water, a result of increased distilled reflections and fractures of the solar radiation. Alkan (2003) stated that using a thin glass cover to allow more solar energy to pass to saline water in the still. A good quality glass will be suitable for solar desalination application. It has low iron contents, hence low absorptivity of radiation and consequently high transmissivity. Also the results showed there is no significant differences between magnetic water + double glass cover treatment and conventional. There are significant (p<0.05) differences between modified desalination systems efficiency and theoretical efficiency.
Fig. 4. Temperature of ambient, basin water and glass cover during day hours in April 2013 at using magnetic water + double glass cover

Fig. 5. Temperature of ambient, basin water and glass cover during day hours in April 2013 at using magnetic water + single glass cover

Fig. 6. Temperature of ambient, basin water and glass cover during day hours in April 2013 at using single glass cover + without magnetic water
Fig. 7. Temperature of ambient, basin water and glass cover during day hours in April 2013 at using double glass cover + without magnetic water

Fig. 8. Daily productivity (ml/m².day) comparison between the conventional and modified desalination systems
There is another aspect which needed attention was quality of desalinated water. Many parameters are important in this regard are electrical conductivity, pH, density, Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), turbidity (Thr.), Cl−, Total Hardness (T.H.), Ca++ , alkalinity (Alk.) and mg++. Table 1 showed that the electrical conductivity of magnetic and non magnetic tap water in Basrah province was significantly (p<0.05) higher than magnetic and non magnetic distilled water. In addition, distilled water by the distiller provided with magnetic water + single glass cover has little values of all parameters (EC, pH, Density, TDS, TSS, Thr. Cl−, T.H, Ca++, Alk. and Mg++) compared with other treatments. This is due to a little thickness of glass which permission to passing of solar radiation into basin water that increased of evaporation rate. In the other hand the magnetic water led to increasing of evaporation. These results agreed with Samee et al. (2007), who stated that higher values of conductivity indicate presence of more dissolved solids and hence more salinity. The acceptable range of pH for drinking water is between 6.5 to 8.5. pH measures water acidity or alkalinity. Levels below 6.5 may be corrosive, while levels above 8.5 may create scaling problems and a bitter taste (AHS, 2011). Increasing the magnetic flux density caused water clearness, in addition to a relative increase in the pH value and a remarkable decrease in its odor and Electric Conductivity (EC) (Alkhazan and Saddiq, 2010). The recommended limit of TDS, Thr. Cl−, T.H, Alk. and Mg++ in drinking water are less than 500 mg L−1 (WHO, 1994; 2004), less than 1 NUT (EAS, 1999), less than 250 mg L−1, between 80 and 100 mg L−1 and 150 mg L−1 (AHS, 2011) respectively.

4. CONCLUSION

A basin solar still was fabricated and tested. The optimized modified desalination system was magnetic water + single glass cover. The efficiency was calculated as 32.55% which is comparable with stills being worldwide. The productivity of the basin solar still is augmented by adding magnetic water + single glass cover and improved by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of magnetic and non magnetic water before and after distillation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A: No magnetic water, before distillation, B: magnetic water, before distillation, C: Magnetic water + double glass cover, D: Magnetic water + single glass cover, E: No magnetic water + single glass cover, F: No magnetic water + double glass cover

![Fig. 9. Efficiency comparison between the conventional and modified desalination systems](image-url)
50% compared with conventional treatment. Also it is found that quality of distilled water using magnetic water + single glass cover is better than other treatments.

Nomenclature

- \( A_t \) transparent cover area (m²).
- \( A_r \) ratio of \( A_t/A_w \).
- \( A_w \) black surface basin area (m²).
- \( A_{sh} \) Effective shaded area on the glass cover
- \( C \) Constant
- \( E \) Practical efficiency (%).
- \( E_t \) Theoretical efficiency (%).
- \( h_{ev} \) evaporative heat transfer coefficient between water and glass cover (W/m² K).
- \( h_{rg} \) radiative heat transfer coefficient between glass cover and water (W/m² K).
- \( h_{rgs} \) radiative heat transfer coefficient between glass cover to sky (W/m² K).
- \( h_{cc} \) convective heat transfer coefficient between water and glass cover (W/m² K).
- \( h_{lg} \) Latent heat of vaporization (J/kg).
- \( h_w \) wind heat transfer coefficient (W/m² K).
- \( I \) Practical solar radiation intensity (W/m²).
- \( I_{rb} \) Beam reflected for the reflector (W/m²)
- \( I_{dn} \) Direct normal irradiation (W/m²).
- \( I_r \) theoretical solar radiation intensity (W/m²).
- \( M_{dph} \) daily produced distillate (kg).
- \( M_{dph(s)} \) Theoretical daily produced distillate (kg).
- \( q_e \) Evaporation heat transfer rate (W).
- \( p_w \) partial vapour pressure to water (mmHg).
- \( p_g \) partial vapour pressure to glass cover (mmHg).
- \( P_r \) Productivity (l/m².day)
- \( T \) Thermodynamic temperature (K).
- \( T_a \) ambient temperature (K).
- \( T_{sky} \) sky temperature (K).
- \( T_g \) glass temperature (K).
- \( T_w \) water temperature (K).
- \( U_t \) heat transfer coefficient between glass cover environment (W/m² K).
- \( \Delta t \) duration of the day (sec.).
- \( U_i \) heat transfer coefficient between water and glass (W/m² K).
- \( U_o \) overall upward heat flow factor between water and environment (W/m² K).
- \( v \) wind speed (m/s)
- \( \rho \) About 0.2
- \( \omega \) Incident angle (deg.).
- \( \beta \) Inclination angle of glass cover (deg.)
- \( \alpha \) Sun altitude
- \( \rho \) About 0.2
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