Numerical Simulation of Liquid Bio-Fuel Combustion in an EGR Equipped Micro Gas Turbine
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Abstract: This paper focuses the attention to the possibility of a correct combustion close to the flameless regime, even in the presence of lower calorific value fuels like those that can be found with high concentrations in the bio-mass derived ones. In addition, the authors pay attention to the nitric oxide control by means of an optimal choice of the pilot injector location. The authors follow their previous experiences in the search of feasible modifications to an existing lean-premixed combustor for a definite pollutant abatement from a micro-gas turbine.
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Introduction

In their papers (Cameretti et al., 2006; 2007; 2008; 2009; 2010; Cameretti and Tuccillo, 2014; Cameretti et al., 2013) the authors have developed a comprehensive investigation of several aspects concerning the flameless concept for reduced nitric oxide emissions from micro gas turbines. As well known, activating an exhaust recirculation at the compressor inlet (Camporeale and Fortunato, 2007) allows the combustion chamber to be entered by an air-gas mixture with a relevant oxygen defect. Such a condition, together with the high temperature level induced by the recuperated cycles, leads the combustion development to situations close to the so called MILD (Cavaliere and de Joannon, 2004) or flameless (Panne et al., 2009; Duwig et al., 2006; Levy et al., 2007a; Li et al., 2006) regime. The authors have highlighted the advantages that arise from the adoption of an external EGR system, together a number of limitations, say:

- A performance decay that derives from the decreased compressor flow capacity and pressure ratio, as a consequence of the exhaust-air mixing
- The increase in carbon monoxide and unburned species emissions that result from the smoother combustion regime

In their most recent papers (Cameretti et al., 2009; 2010; Cameretti and Tuccillo, 2014; Cameretti et al., 2013) the authors have demonstrated that the above problems may be partly overcome by simple, low-cost, modifications to the combustor assembly, like a different location of the pilot injector. This solution, once the optimal location has been determined, allows activation of the reactant ignition in a zone with a relevant presence of inert species, so inhibiting the thermal NOx formation (Dagaut and Cong, 2007; Levy et al., 2007b; 2009).

Both solutions are of particular interest at part load operation, because of the increased fuel flow rate that is addressed to the pilot line. In some cases, the combined employment of the modified pilot location with a limited EGR rate allows to approach emission free combustion regimes when supplying the chamber with natural gas or kerosene.

Basing on the above encouraging experiences, the authors examine in this study the off-design conditions that are induced in the combustor by non conventional fuels, like those of the bio-fuel category (Li et al., 2010; Glaude et al., 2010; Crayford et al., 2010; Moliere et al., 2009; Bolszo et al., 2007; Baessler et al., 2006; Cameretti and Tuccillo, 2014). Actually, the different fuel composition and the changes in fuel/air ratios that are needed for reaching the rated conditions could induce an off-design behaviour of the combustor with a consequent increase in NOx emission. So, the same analysis as the one carried out for conventional fuels is worthy of refinement in order to get validation from a wider range of fuelling conditions.

The numerical techniques combine a matching analysis of the whole system with a CFD simulation...
of the combustor and both conventional and bio-fuels are considered. The main attention is paid to liquid fuels, because of the renewed interest in their employment in gas turbines (Moliere et al., 2010; Andrews et al., 2007; Nakamura et al., 2006; Cameretti et al., 2013). The aim is to find the optimal combination of the EGR rates and pilot injector location for minimizing the emissions in a wide range of the micro gas turbine operation.

The Comparison of Internal and External EGR

The External EGR Option

In the recent authors’ papers (Cameretti et al., 2006; 2007; 2008; 2009; 2010), a detailed description of the modified layout of the 110 kW micro-gas turbine can be found: In particular The Exhaust Recirculation (EGR) circuit (Fig. 1) allows dilution of air with a fraction of the residual gases, while the recuperator by-pass option is helpful for adapting the MGT operation to different electrical and thermal power demands. Consequently, the constant speed operation of the system is basically governed by the parameters.

Recuperator by-pass ratio:

\[ X_b = 1 - \frac{m_{g,R}}{m_g} \]  

(1)

EGR ratio:

\[ X_{egr} = \frac{\dot{m}_{egr}}{(1 - X_b) m_g} \]  

(2)

The proposal of the EGR concept as applied to the micro-gas turbine layout originates from the attempt of achieving combustion regimes close to those recognizable as MILD or flameless ones. Actually, the oxygen defect in the oxidant together with the high combustor entry temperature that results from the recuperated cycles generate conditions that allow a fair approximation of the MILD combustion regime (Cameretti et al., 2006; Camporeale and Fortunato, 2007; Cavaliere and de Joannon, 2004) with much smoother temperature profiles.

More generally, both parameters in Equation 1 and 2 affect the oxidant temperature and composition at the combustor inlet and, therefore, the combustion development together with the pollutant formation and with the actual mass flow rate of exhausts to be mixed with the external air as well. In this sense, such a system can be identified as an “external EGR” option, since it directly modifies the thermodynamic and chemical characteristics of the working fluid through the power plant components.

The previous authors’ papers have also evidenced that, in despite of the after cooling of the mixed species, the temperature increase at the compressor inlet induces a penalty in the thermal cycle, since it induces limitations in the compressor flow capacity and a compression work increase.

In Fig. 2, a typical trade-off between the benefits and the disadvantages of the external EGR adoption is clearly displayed, in the case of constant speed, full load operation with the two different liquid fuels that were examined in detail in the following sections. The curves in this figure result from an integrated analysis based on the component matching and the thermo-kinetic modelling of the combustion process (Cameretti et al., 2006; 2007).

Fig. 1. Plant layout of the recuperated micro-gas turbine with the exhaust recirculation option
The approach is sensitive on the oxidant composition, whose variation with the EGR rate is displayed in Fig. 3. To the sake of conciseness, such an analysis is not discussed in this study and it could be repeated also for variable speed operation and for different levels of the recuperator by-pass ratio. Some key aspects can be underlined:

- The external EGR allows the zero NO\textsubscript{x} emissions to be approached only if the recirculation ratio $X_{\text{egr}}$ is greater than 0.6, so leading to a non negligible performance decay of the micro-gas turbine.
- For natural gas fuelling the benefits are more evident at part-load (Cameretti et al., 2006; 2007; 2008; 2009), as a direct consequence of the lean-premixed operation that requires increased fuel rates to the pilot line when the overall equivalence ratio is reduced. In the case of liquid fuels, noticeable advantages appear to be achievable also at full load (Fig. 2).
- The ethanol composition, that approximates the bio-fuel examined in this study, seems to be favourable both in terms of thermal efficiency and
thermal NO reduction (Fig. 2). Such a consideration encourages the development of a combustion analysis either with pure bio-fuel or with fossil and bio fuels mixtures.

The Internal EGR

The previous authors’ papers (Cameretti et al., 2009; 2010) have demonstrated that, at least at full load operation, the exhaust recirculation option in Fig. 1 may be successfully replaced by a simpler, more efficient solution that founds on a different location of the pilot injector within the LP or LPP combustor. Such a solution represents therefore a low-cost modification for the pollutant abatement from existing micro-gas turbine combustors.

Figures 4 to 6 display a sketch of the lean-premixed tubular combustor (Russo et al., 2007; Cameretti et al., 2013) and the two-dimensional and 3D domains that have been set up for the CFD simulations. The latter exhibits, of course, a more detailed insight into the general flow field and in the fuel-air mixing process (Cameretti et al., 2009), but a fair approximation is obtainable with the 2D simulations with more affordable computational costs. The block-quadrilateral mesh is of nearly 45000 cells with grid refinements in the fuel injection and reactant mixing regions (Fig. 5). Also the 3D (Fig. 6) domain is meshed with a block structured grid of 350000 cells and it also includes the air inlet upstream of the flow split between the main stream and the external liner. In addition, the air flow through the swirling vanes is included in the computations, so that the resulting swirl ratio is automatically predicted.

The same Fig. 5 displays the original location of the pilot injectors together with the alternate ones that have been experienced in the authors’ work (Cameretti et al., 2010). As stated before, this proposal arises from the consideration that the flameless regime may be also approached if the combustion is activated in regions that are characterized by poor oxygen contents and by the presence of combustion products (Cameretti et al., 2009; 2010; Levy et al., 2007b; 2009) Therefore, shifting the pilot line to one of the positions in Fig. 5 aims at injecting the fuel within a region with an high vorticity flow pattern (Fig. 6) that is induced by the main swirled stream from the premixing line.

The counter-rotating vortices (Fig. 7) partially recirculate the combustion products and allow transport and mixing of the gases from the end-wall zones with the main reacting flow. The fuel jet meets therefore an oxidant with reduced oxygen contents, due to the internal recirculation of a portion of the flue gases. The simultaneous presence of inert species in this region contributes to temperature peak reduction and therefore to a substantial limitation of the nitric oxide formation rate.

On the other hand, the optimal injector location should also preserve the combustor walls from an excess in gas temperature. The best compromise that must be found between these conflicting occurrences seems to be the modified pilot location #3 in Fig. 5 and 6, both for gaseous and liquid fuel supply (Cameretti et al., 2010). In the same paper the effectiveness of this solution is widely demonstrated when full load conditions are considered, while at part load a satisfactory NO₃ control requires the simultaneous activation of the external EGR. Also in this case, the choice of the new location of the pilot injector is favourable since it allows a reduced EGR rate and therefore the thermal efficiency decay becomes less dramatic.

[Fig. 4. The lean premixed combustor]
Such encouraging experiences, together with the preliminary results in Fig. 2, suggested the authors to extend their study to the combustion analysis of biofuels, in particular of the liquid type, as discussed in the following section.

**The CFD Simulation of the Liquid Fuelling Cases**

The cases with the liquid fuel supply aim at the comparison of the combustion development and pollutant formation induced by a conventional fuel like the kerosene and a product of renewable sources like the bio-ethanol (Glaude et al., 2010; Moliere et al., 2010;
Crayford et al., 2010); in addition the possibility of supplying the main LPP line with bio-ethanol and the pilot injector with kerosene was considered. As well known, the bio-ethanol represents a widely diffused component in liquid fuel mixtures obtained by the biomass treatment (Glaude et al., 2010). In the following, the pure ethanol fuelling is considered in order to establish a baseline level of comparison with the employment of conventional fuels. The additional option of the mixed fuel supply would be helpful in some particular operating conditions like at part-load or during the start-up and shutdown of the micro-gas turbine. The main properties of the liquid fuels are summarized in Table 1, which puts into evidence the main parameters that affect the fundamental processes, like the droplet atomization and the reactant mixture quality in the different regions of the chamber. The air and fuel flow rate data refer to the base rating operation that implies a firing temperature of nearly 1220 K at 3.8 bar. The authors’ papers (Cameretti et al., 2006; 2007; 2008; 2009; 2010) provide a detailed description of the numerical simulation set up for the analysis of the flow and thermo chemical processes inside the tubular combustor of the micro-turbine. Therefore, only the most significant aspects are here recalled:

- The FLUENT® code is addressed to the analysis of both 2D, axisymmetric and fully 3D domains. The turbulent flow solution founds on the standard k-ε model
- The reacting, multiphase flow simulation includes the prediction of the droplet atomization process with the TAB model
- The final rate-eddy dissipation concept (Magnussen and Hjertager, 1977) is adopted for the fuel oxidation. The kinetic mechanisms is based on the following scheme:

\[
\begin{align*}
1) & C_8H_{16} + 17.75O_2 \Rightarrow 12CO_2 + 11.5H_2O \\
R_1 &= 2.587*10^6[C_8H_{16}]^{1.5}O_2^{1.256/5}e^{-1.128/5}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
2) & C_6H_{12}O + 3O_2 \Rightarrow 2CO_2 + 3H_2O \\
R_2 &= 8.435*10^5[C_6H_{12}O]^{0.15}[O_2]^{0.6}e^{-1.286/5}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
3) & CO_2 \Rightarrow CO + 1/2O_2 \\
R_3 &= 5*10^6[CO_2][O_2]^{0.25}e^{-1.37/5}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
4) & CO + 1/2O_2 \Rightarrow CO_2 \\
R_4 &= 2.239*10^8[CO][O_2]^{0.25}e^{-1.625/5}
\end{align*}
\]

- The inlet and boundary conditions (i.e., mass flow rates and temperatures), together with the combustor outlet pressure, are derived from a preliminary thermodynamic analysis of the system
- For each type of fuel supply, the calculations refer to both the standard and the modified pilot location
- An initial droplet diameter of 50 µm was assumed for both main and pilot injection, with a velocity of the liquid phase of 30 m/s

Table 2 and 3 provide an immediate overview of the results of the CFD based simulations in a two-dimensional domain. Basically, such tables give a further confirmation of the advantages that can be derived from an appropriate choice of the pilot injector location. Actually, in all the cases examined the modified pilot location leads to a noticeable reduction of the nitric oxides, without affecting the combustion efficiency. Actually, the combustor exit temperatures are left practically unchanged when shifting the pilot location and no relevant increase is observed in carbon monoxide and unburned hydrocarbons.

Table 1. Kerosene and ethanol data employed for the MGT combustion simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base rating. Fully recuperated cycle (Xb = 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHV, kJ/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f_st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(OFR) st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic viscosity, kg/(ms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Tension, N/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaporiz. Temp. (1.013bar), K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustor Inlet Temp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidant mass flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel mass flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel % to pilot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall equiv. ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPP line equiv. ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot line equiv. ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Volume averaged and outlet properties from CFD based simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel/Pilot</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Outlet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\overline{\phi_2}$, %, mol</td>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$, K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene/Standard</td>
<td>17.38</td>
<td>2758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene/MP #3</td>
<td>17.62</td>
<td>1941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-ethanol/Standard</td>
<td>17.64</td>
<td>2629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-ethanol/MP #3</td>
<td>17.88</td>
<td>1806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-eth. + ker./Standard</td>
<td>17.57</td>
<td>2712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-eth. + ker./MP #3</td>
<td>17.81</td>
<td>1815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Volume-averaged and maximum of reaction and nitric oxides rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel/Pilot</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Thermal NO rate, kmole/(m$^3$s)</th>
<th>Fuel oxidation rate, kmole/(m$^3$s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene/Standard</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$6.11 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5.00 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$4.52 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.423$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene/MP #3</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$1.77 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$5.04 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$3.93 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.134$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-ethanol/Standard</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$2.07 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.75 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$1.16 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$8.133$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-ethanol/MP #3</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$4.64 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.79 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$3.39 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$6.751$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-eth. + ker./Standard</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$3.93 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.52 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$3.31 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$7.463$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-eth. + ker./MP #3</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>$7.05 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$2.52 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>$4.30 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$6.455$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results are strictly consequent from the drastic cut-off of the temperature peaks and therefore of the NO formation rates. Conversely, the average fuel oxidation rates do not undergo reductions in the average levels and this preserves an efficient combustion development.

If looking at the comparison between the kerosene and the ethanol supply, the latter fuel exhibits a more favourable response in terms of reduced production of nitric oxides because of the lower flame temperatures. A certain increase can be observed in the unburned species: Despite the UHC amount is still negligible at...
full load operation, more challenging problems could arise at part-load. Such a consideration gives reason of the third option, say the ethanol supply to the lean-premixed line with the kerosene addressed to the pilot injector for aiding the mixture ignition under low fuel/air ratios conditions. The related results generally present intermediate levels between those obtained with the two pure fuels.

The contours in Fig. 8-15 allow detection of the main effects induced by the different pilot locations, together with the basic variations produced by the kind of fuel supplied. When the modified position of the pilot injector is assumed, the high temperature region within the primary zone completely disappears (Fig. 8-10) with a consequent abatement of the nitric oxide formation rates. The extent of this region appears to be significantly smaller with the pure ethanol fuelling and this explains the reduced NO levels that have been already observed in Table 2 and 3. It is also worth-noting that all cases with the new pilot location exhibit a much smoother temperature distribution, so allowing the MILD regime to be fairly approached.

Fig. 9. Temperature distributions for different pilot locations

Fig. 10. Temperature distributions for different pilot locations
Fig. 11. CO2 distributions for different pilot locations

Fig. 12. Oxygen distributions for different pilot locations
Fig. 13. Liquid particle distribution for different locations of the pilot injector

Fig. 14. Oxygen/Fuel Ratio distributions for different pilot locations
A deeper insight to the phenomena that take place within the primary zone of the chamber is helpful for appreciating the real effect of the optimal pilot position. In Fig. 11, the carbon dioxide contours confirm, first of all, that the employment of an ethanol-based fuel can contribute to the reduction of the emission of greenhouse gases. Regarding the attempt to realize a nearly flameless combustion, the same figure shows that the pilot jet reaches an area with richer contents in inert species, when the pilot is shifted to the new location. This assertion is confirmed by the fuel jet patterns in Fig. 13. Similarly, an oxygen defect can be clearly observed within the same area (Fig. 12), so that the conditions for a leaner development of the primary combustion are strictly achieved, like already demonstrated by the temperature distributions in Fig. 8-10.

A further proof of the effects related to the choice of the pilot location can be found in Fig. 13 and 14. The first one displays the different trajectories of the pilot jet and it also demonstrates that the main fuel jet is captured by the primary vortex, as already outlined in Fig. 7. As a consequence, the oxygen/fuel ratio distributions (Fig. 14) result from both the fuel vapour formation and the oxygen presence in the several regions (Fig. 13). The new location addresses the pilot jet in a region of lower OFR levels, while the stoichiometric region, that exists when the standard location is assumed, disappears.

The most interesting consequence of the variations in property and species distributions discussed above is the drastic reduction in the nitric oxide formation rates (Fig. 15), whose maximum levels are attained in the regions of highest OFRs and where the temperature peaks occur. The decrease by five orders of magnitude is consistent with the one reported in Table 3 and confirms the effectiveness of the proposal for the pilot injector position.

Finally, some axial distributions along a straight line from the lean premixing channel provide an impressive proof of the effect of the pilot shift. The temperature profiles are much more smoother (Fig. 16a) but the level at the combustor outflow remains unchanged, since the fuel oxidation rates undergo only slight variations (Fig. 16b), so preserving a satisfactory combustion efficiency. The temperature peak cut off affects directly the nitric oxide formation rates, like observed in Fig. 15, so that the related molar fraction distributions along the same axial path are significantly reduced (Fig. 16c).

To the sake of a more comprehensive analysis of the actual feasibility of this approach, a CFD simulation in the 3D domain reported in Fig. 6 was carried out.
Fig. 16. Axial distributions of temperature and species

Fig. 17. Droplet distribution in the primary region of the combustor
The calculations were performed in a 60° periodical sector for the case with pure ethanol fuelling with modified pilot location and the results offer a more realistic overview of the spatial distributions of both droplets and flow properties. For example, the droplet trajectories from both pilot and main injectors are clearly influenced by the swirled air motion so that a more intense interaction occurs between the several jets. The droplet diameters that result from the collision and breakup phenomena and from the gas-liquid interaction are reported in Fig. 17.

Fig. 18. Contours of ethanol vapour mass fraction in meridional and orthogonal planes

Fig. 19. Contours of oxygen mass fraction in meridional and orthogonal planes
As a consequence of the liquid droplet distribution, the fuel evaporation takes place in the regions highlighted in Fig. 18 both in a meridional and in an orthogonal plane, the latter located just downstream of the pilot injector. This figure shows that the pilot injection role is correctly played since a zone with high fuel vapour concentration can be clearly detected along the pilot line. On the other hand, the contours in the cross section put into evidence that only a limited region is involved in the highest fuel mass fraction. Similarly, the oxygen contours confirm that actually the fuel evaporation takes place in regions with a relevant oxygen lack (Fig. 19). The resulting temperature distributions in Fig. 20, to be compared with those in Fig. 9 for the 2D domain, confirm that a very lean combustion is developing, since the 2000 K threshold is violated only in very limited regions. At the same time, such diagrams prove that a correct self-ignition is however occurring and the smother fuel oxidation behaviour does not penalize the combustion completion.

**Conclusion**

The authors have addressed their work to a comprehensive analysis of the possibilities that are offered by bio-fuel supplying to a micro-gas turbine, together with the attempting of a nearly zero-emission operation. Regarding to the first aspect, the response of a fuel like ethanol, which can also be found with high concentrations in bio-mass derived fuels, seems to be satisfactory. Actually, the whole combustor process develops correctly without increasing the fuel/air equivalence ratio from the pilot line. Therefore, the results in terms of combustion efficiency are fairly similar to those obtainable with conventional kerosene fuelling. In addition, lower levels of nitric oxides are observed, due to the reduced flame temperatures.

The emission levels can be further decreased by selecting a different location of the pilot injector that addresses the fuel jet to an inert rich region, so allowing the flameless regime to be approached. The latter solution leads to much reduced NOx emission by simply exploiting a sort of “internal EGR”, so avoiding the activation of the external exhaust recirculation.

The latter method, like already demonstrated by the authors, would be helpful at part-load operation when the intensity of the pilot flame needs to be increased. Also in this case, choosing a modified pilot location will allow operation with reduced rates of the external EGR, so limiting the consequent efficiency decay of the MGT based energy system.
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