Corn Response to Nitrogen Timing and Rate under Strip Tillage and Low-Yield Environment in Southeastern Coastal Plains
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Abstract: Problem statement: Insufficient rainfall under low yield environment may affect nitrogen management, plant growth indices and grain yields of corn (Zea mays L.). Approach: The objective of this study was to determine the effects of two N application timings (all at planting and as split application with N applied at planting and V6 stage) and five N fertilizer rates (0, 45, 90, 135 and 180 kg N ha⁻¹) on strip-tilled, dryland corn growth and yields under low-yield environmental conditions near Blackville SC, from 2007-2009. Plant growth measurements included plant height, ear height, relative chlorophyll content (SPAD), Leaf Area Index (LAI) and normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI). Results: Plant LAI at V8, NDVI at V8 and R1, SPAD at R1, plant height at V8 and grain yield generally increased with increasing N application rates. Due to most likely insufficient precipitation, the N application timing did not affect corn growth or yield. Despite relatively low grain yields, corn yield was increased by 1.6 Mg ha⁻¹ with increasing N application rate of 100 kg ha⁻¹. Grain yield was positively correlated with plant leaf area index (LAI) at R1 (r = 0.27, p≤0.05) and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) at V8 and R1 (r = 0.33 and 0.29, p≤0.01, respectively) and plant height at V8 stage (r = 0.42, p≤0.001). With N applied at planting, there was a 0.55 and 0.49 Mg ha⁻¹ yield increase with 0.1 increases in plant NDVI at V8 and R1, respectively. Conclusion: Under strip tillage and low yield environment conditions, plant growth and yields may not be affected by timing of N application mainly due to insufficient rainfall. Plant NDVI (for treatments with all N applied at planting) at V8 and R1 can help to estimate potential of corn grain yield, which may be reduced due to low nitrogen use efficiency.
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INTRODUCTION

Plant N use efficiency can be improved by matching application rate and timing with plant demands (Ferguson et al., 2002). Russelle et al. (1983) showed that corn N uptake is affected by time of fertilizer application. Split application of N may help growers make better decision on N application (Feinerman et al., 1990), though sometimes producers are opting to apply N to corn in a single application due to increase fuel prices (Viswakumar et al., 2008). There are no general conclusions regarding the effect of split applications of N for various environmental conditions. Yield may increase using split application method when using irrigation (Randall et al., 2003; Gehl et al., 2005) or may not differ compared to one-time application under dryland conditions (Randall et al., 1997).

Remote sensing, a technology based on nondestructive light reflectance, has been used to estimate the crop N status in the field during the growing season (Osborne et al., 2002). The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) optical sensing technology measures the photosynthetic size of the crop canopy (Wiegand et al., 1991). Higher positive NDVI
values indicate greater proportion of green vegetation and are associated with greater N uptake and hence higher yield (Raun et al., 2002; Moges et al., 2004). Additionally, chlorophyll (SPAD) meters have been used to measure chlorophyll in leaves. Although plant Leaf Area Index (LAI) meters have not been widely used due to difficulties of measuring LAI in the field, they can provide useful information on plant canopy status. However, plant LAI is influenced by nutrient deficiency. Jordan-Meille and Pellerin (2004) observed that corn had smaller LAI when potassium was deficient.

Tillage is one of the many factors influencing soil productivity (Licht and Al-Kaisi, 2005). In the southeastern U.S., strip tillage is the most common conservation tillage system. This system uses a seedbed preparation implement with in-row subsoil shanks, multiple coulters and ground-driven crumblers that till about 30 cm wide band (Johnson et al., 2001). However, conservation tillage systems present a challenge for integrating an efficient fertilizer program for corn production (Kwaw-Mensah and Al-Kaisi, 2006).

Relatively little research focuses on nitrogen utilization in low-yield environments. In the Southeastern Coastal Plain, corn is mostly grown under dryland conditions, where soils have low water and nutrient holding capacity and precipitation is unpredictable. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the effects of timing and rate of N application on corn growth and yield under dryland conditions with strip tillage in the Southeastern U.S.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was initiated on Dothan loamy sand (fine loamy, kaolinitic, thermic Plinthic Kandudult) at Clemson University, Edisto Research and Education Center near Blackville, SC, (33°21' N, 81°19' W) under dryland conditions in the fall of 2006. Electrical Conductivity (EC) of soil was measured using a Veris soil EC 3100 meter (Veris Technologies, Salina, KS). Trimble GPS (Trimble Sunnyvale, CA) was used to identify the variation of soil texture across the field. Experimental blocks were grouped by EC readings to minimize soil variation within blocks. At the initiation of this study, the average soil EC was 5.3 (based on Veris 3100 measurements) and soil pH was 6.2. The soil K, P, Mg, Ca and organic matter content in the upper 15 cm were 59, 29, 88, 325 and 16 mg kg\(^{-1}\). Corn was planted in the summer prior to the experiment in 2006. Winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) cover crop was planted on 8 December 2006, 21 November 2007 and 26 November 2008 and killed by spraying glyphosate at a rate of 1.1 kg a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) on 26 February 2007 and 6 March in 2008 and 2009. The previous crop, prior to planting wheat cover crop, was corn. Daily precipitation and air temperature were recorded using an automated weather station located near the experimental site.

The experiment design was a split-plot arrangement in a randomized complete block with four blocks. The plot size was 3.9 m wide by 6.1 m long consisting of four corn rows. The treatments included two timings of N application (all at planting and as split application) and five N rates (0, 45, 90, 135 and 180 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)). The split application included 35 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) applied at planting and the remaining N applied at V6 stage as sidedressing. A Univerferth Ripper-Stripper (Univerferth Mfg. Co., Inc., Falida, OH) implement was used to prepare seedbeds under strip-till in the spring prior to planting. Pioneer 31G65 corn (Pioneer Hi-Bred Intern. Inc., Johnston, IA), with a relative maturity of 119 days, was planted at 69,200 seeds ha\(^{-1}\) in rows spaced 0.97 m apart using a John Deere 1700 MaxEmerge XP vacuum planter (John Deere Co., Moline, IL) on 14 March 2007 and a John Deere 7300 MaxEmerge II vacuum planter on 18 and 23 March in 2008 and 2009, respectively. Liquid fertilizer was surface applied in the form of urea-ammonium sulfate using a Reddick 4-row fertilizer applicator (Reddick Equip. Co., Inc., Williamson, NC) to selected plots following planting and as a sidedress N application. Weed control was based on the South Carolina Extension recommendations. Corn grain was harvested from the entire length of two center rows by hand on 29 and 30 August in 2007 and using an Almaco plot combine (Almaco, Nevada, IA) on 22 and 18 August in 2008 and 2009, respectively.

Plant Leaf Area Index (LAI), Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), chlorophyll and height were measured from the two adjacent middle rows of each plot. Plant LAI and NDVI were measured within a 4-m segment of adjacent two rows and about 1 m above each row for NDVI at V8 and R1 corn growth stages using a LAI-2000 (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE) and handheld GreenSeeker™ (NTech Industries, Inc. Ukiah, CA) instruments, respectively. Chlorophyll content was measured in the corn ear leaves between the base and leaf tip and halfway between leaf margin and midrib at R1 corn stage using a Minolta SPAD-520 meter (Konica Minolta Sensing, Inc., Japan). Plant height was measured to the tip of the whorl at V8 and tip of the tassel prior to harvest based on 10 randomly selected plants from each plot. Grain weight and moisture from hand-harvested plots was determined after shelling corn samples. All grain samples from the hand
and combine harvested plots were tested for moisture using a Burrows Model 70 Digital Moisture Computer (Seedburo Equip. Co., Chicago, IL) and grain yield was converted to 155 g kg\(^{-1}\) moisture content.

The MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS V. 9.2, SAS Inst., Cary, NC) was used for all analyses. Application timing was the main plot and N rate was a split-plot. The MIXED procedure of SAS with the LSMEANS PDIFS option was used to compare the effects of application timing and N rate. Means were separated using PDIFS only when the tests were significant (\(p \leq 0.05\)). Single degree-of-freedom contrasts were used to evaluate linear and quadratic effects of N rate on corn. When a contrast indicated that there was a significant (\(p \leq 0.05\)) linear or quadratic response, a linear or quadratic regression model was fit using PROC REG (SAS V. 9.2, SAS Inst., Cary, NC). Pearson correlation coefficients (r) were calculated between corn grain yield and plant LAI, NDVI, SPAD and height using the CORR procedure of SAS.

RESULTS

Monthly mean temperature and total precipitation and average from the previous 20 year average are shown in Table 1. The mean air temperature during the corn growing season in each year was mostly similar to the 20 year average, except in March 2008 and 2009 when temperature was 3.4 and 3.6°C lower, respectively. Monthly and total precipitation in the growing season varied between years and influenced plant growth. Total precipitation was lower in 2007 and 2008, but greater in 2009. The greatest deficit of precipitation was recorded for March, May and August in 2007, June in 2008 and June and August in 2009. Excessive precipitation was observed in April and May, 2009.

Nitrogen application rate influenced plant LAI at V8 stage, NDVI at V8 and R1 stage and SPAD chlorophyll values at R1 stage. Significantly higher plant LAI at V8 was recorded from treatments with applications of 45 and 90 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) although there was no significant difference between 90 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) and 0, 135 and 180 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). Nitrogen application did not affect plant LAI at R1 growth stage. Plant NDVI at V8 stage was smaller without N application compared to other application rates. Plant NDVI at R1 increased with N rate at a rate of 0.11 per 100 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) increase (Fig. 1). We observed a positive relationship between plant LAI and NDVI at both V8 and R1 stages (Fig. 2). For every one unit change in plant LAI, NDVI changed by 0.14 at V8 and 0.06 at R1. Further analyses showed that NDVI and LAI were strongly correlated at V8 and R1 (r = 0.56, p<0.001 and r = 0.54, p<0.001, respectively). Relative chlorophyll content (SPAD) value at R1 was greater at the two highest N rates of 135 and 180 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) compared to other N rates (Table 2). A positive relationship was also observed between SPAD chlorophyll readings and plant NDVI (Fig. 3). Based on this relationship, when SPAD increased by 10, plant NDVI increased by approximately 0.13. Nitrogen application timing had no effect on any of the vegetation indices. Nitrogen application significantly influenced plant height at V8; however, not at either R1 or prior to harvest.

![Fig. 1: Influence of N application rate on corn Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) at R1 stage near Blackville SC, from 2007-2009](image1)

![Fig. 2: Relationship between Leaf Area Index (LAI) and plant Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) at V8 and R1 corn growth stages near Blackville SC, from 2007-2009](image2)
Table 1. Monthly mean air temperature and total precipitation near Blackville SC, from 2007-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Temperature, °C</th>
<th>Precipitation, mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>March</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-yr avg.</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>76.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>284.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-yr avg.</td>
<td>106.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Influence of N rate on plant vegetation indices (Leaf Area Index (LAI) and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)), relative chlorophyll content (SPAD) and plant height at V8 or R1 stage near Blackville SC, from 2007-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N rate (kg N ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>LAI V8</th>
<th>NDVI V8</th>
<th>SPAD V8</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.38 b†</td>
<td>0.56 b</td>
<td>36.1 c</td>
<td>128 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.69 a</td>
<td>0.70 a</td>
<td>38.3 c</td>
<td>146 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.48 ab</td>
<td>0.60 a</td>
<td>38.7 bc</td>
<td>142 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>1.40 b</td>
<td>0.65 a</td>
<td>41.7 a</td>
<td>135 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>1.35 b</td>
<td>0.66 a</td>
<td>41.7 ab</td>
<td>144 ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† LSMEANS within a column followed by the same letter are not different at the 0.05 probability level

Plants at V8 were taller with application of 45, 90 and 180 kg N ha⁻¹ compared to the control with no N application (Table 2). Plant NDVI at V8 was positively correlated with plant height at V8 corn stage (Fig. 4). When plant NDVI at V8 increased by 0.1 unit, plant height increased by about 18 cm.

Corn yield increased by about 1.6 Mg ha⁻¹ for every 100 kg N ha⁻¹ (Fig. 5). Grain yield was positively correlated with plant leaf area index (LAI) at R1 (r = 0.27, p<0.05) and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) at V8 and R1 (r = 0.33 and 0.29, p<0.01, respectively) and plant height at V8 stage (r = 0.42, p<0.001). Also, a positive relationship was observed between grain yield and plant height at V8 (Fig. 6) and plant NDVI at V8 and R1 corn stages (Fig. 7). Corn grain yield increased by 0.35 Mg ha⁻¹ with increasing plant height by 10 cm. Also, we could expect approximately 0.55 and 0.50 Mg ha⁻¹ yield increase with increasing plant NDVI by 0.1 at V8 and R1 corn stages, respectively. The timing of N application did not have an effect on grain yield.
DISCUSSION

Plant NDVI at V8 and R1 increase with higher N was due to increased growth and/or greener plants, because NDVI reflectance in the NIR and red wavelengths is related to plant parameters like canopy cover and chlorophyll concentration (Shanahan et al., 2001). This could also explain the positive relationship between plant LAI and NDVI and SPAD chlorophyll and NDVI in our study. However, greater water deficit at R1 in 2 out of 3 years, due to insufficient precipitation might have impacted the effect of N rate on plant NDVI at V8 compared to R1. Russelle et al. (1983) also noted that rate of N uptake is affected by variation of weather, planting time and time of N application and generally greatest uptake is from the eight leaf collar stage (V8) to silking (R1). Our study showed that timing of N application, either all N at planting or as split application, did not influence plant LAI and NDVI at either V8 or R1 stage or SPAD at R1. Strachan et al. (2002) indicated that corn growth is a function of N availability and water and mid-season water deficits would override the effect of N, if there were no other limiting factors present. In our study, low precipitation during V8 and R1 stages in 2 out of 3 yrs and especially water deficit at R1 in these yrs had the effect of N application on NDVI. Overall, plant NDVI increased at a relatively greater rate relative to N application rate at R1 than V8. This could be due to faster N uptake after V8 stage (Russelle et al., 1983). The results on plant height at V8 agree with Idikut et al. (2009), who reported that N fertilization increased plant height. In our study, increased plant height at V8 compared to R1 and prior to harvest could have been due to higher monthly rainfall during early growing season compared to June precipitation in 2 out of 3 year (Table 1). However, plant height, either at V8 and R1 stages or prior to harvest, was not influenced by timing of N application to corn most likely due to insufficient midseason rainfall.

Increase yields with N application agree with Idikut et al. (2009); however, the maximum yield in their study was obtained at 250 kg N ha$^{-1}$ when compared to treatments with 0 and 125 kg N ha$^{-1}$. A positive relationship between plant NDVI and grain yield agrees with Raun et al. (2001), who reported that grain yield of winter wheat determined from NDVI had a strong relation to actual grain yield. The effect of N application time on yield is not conclusive in the literature. Some researchers have reported that corn yield increased with split or sidedress N applications (Gehl et al., 2005; and Viswakumar et al., 2008), but others reported no yield difference between the two application methods in some years due to dry conditions throughout the growing season (Viswakumar et al., 2008), or reduced yield with split N applications (Randall et al., 1997). In our study, insufficient precipitation might be the reason for no yield difference between N applied at planting and through split applications. Due to most likely insufficient precipitation, overall relatively low grain yields were recorded, especially in 2008 and 2009 (3.1 and 2.8 Mg ha$^{-1}$, respectively), compared to 2007 (4.5 Mg ha$^{-1}$) when the precipitation in June was slightly above the 20 year average (Table 1). However, the most detrimental effect on corn yield may have been a drought period in
June of 2008 and 2009 when corn was pollinating and most sensitive to water stress. This is in agreement with reports that mid-season water deficits would override the effect of N, even in a short duration (Strachan et al., 2002) and dry conditions during a growing season could result in low corn yield (Viswakumar et al., 2008; Haghighi et al., 2010), especially drought during silking period. Kim et al. (2008) also noted that the amount of N fertilizer needed to produce a unit of grain yield is related to the yield loss due to water stress. They observed higher N use efficiency in the high than moderate water regime. Generally, the results from our study indicated that corn grain yield mostly depended on plant height early in the season, which was affected by N utilization and also plant growth as shown using plant LAI and NDVI.

CONCLUSION

This study investigated the effects of timing and rate of N fertilizer application and rate on corn growth and yield in low-yield environment under water-stressed conditions. Plant LAI at V8, NDVI at V8 and R1, SPAD and plant height at V8 generally responded positively to N application rates. Also, grain yields were strongly affected by plant LAI at R1 and NDVI at V8 and R1 and height at V8. Under our low-yield environment, we could expect about 1.6 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) in grain yield increase with applying 100 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) to corn. Measurements of plant NDVI at V8 and R1, for treatments with all N applied at planting, showed that grain yield increased by 0.55 and 0.50 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) with each increase in plant NDVI by 0.1, respectively. Due to most likely irregular and especially insufficient rainfall, timing of N application did not affect corn growth or yields.
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