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ABSTRACT

Current applications and systems contain the software components as the basic elements and Component Based Software Development (CBSD) has been successful in building applications and systems. However, the security of CBSD for the software component is still lacking. This study highlights the results of a survey pertaining to the embedding of security features in the CBSD process. The main objective of this survey is to investigate the awareness of embedding security features in the CBSD process in the Malaysian context. For this purpose, experts from industry as well as from the academic community were interviewed. Moreover, an online survey was formulated and e-mailed to the experts and potential candidates. The results show that the embedding of security features in the software lifecycle is crucial because the incorporation of security activities in CBSD will minimize vulnerabilities in the software system, thus reducing system cost.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Component-Based Software Development (CBSD) is an emerging technology that focuses on building systems by integrating existing software components. The idea is to assemble software applications from reusable software codes, thereby simplifying software development in terms of time and budget constraints. CBSD offers a range of benefits, such as managing increasing complexity (Chen et al., 2011; Kumari and Bhasin, 2011; Sozer et al., 2011), improving the capability to reuse components (Lin, 2007; Fredriksson, 2008; Carvalho et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011), improving efficiency (Salmi and Ioualalen, 2012; Yang et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2012), decreasing the time and effort needed to develop software (Alhazbi and Jantan, 2007; Kaur et al., 2007; Ahmed et al., 2012), improving the quality of the system (Capretz, 2005; Mohanty et al., 2011), reducing production costs through software reuse (Chen et al., 2012; Barnawi et al., 2012), reducing maintenance costs (Li et al., 2011; Sommerville, 2011), increasing development productivity (Barnawi et al., 2012; Aris and Salim, 2007; Shang et al., 2011), ensuring a greater degree of consistency (Crnkovic, 2003; Ganguly and Bhattacharyya, 2011; Brada, 2011), providing a wider range of usability (Selvi et al., 2008; Jun et al., 2012) and supporting the effective use of specialists (Sommerville, 2011; Cann et al., 2004; Kaur and Mann, 2010). However, despite the wide adoption of CBSD in the software industry and the tremendous number of publications about it in academic research, CBSD still lacks essential formal foundations for the specification, composition and verification of security requirements. Therefore, current CBSD practices do not provide the essential requirements for developing secure systems. Several studies have reported different challenges involved in the use of CBSD. According to Moradian and Håkansson (2010), the interdependencies among software components create problems at the integration phase. Therefore, security features of software components must be considered and evaluated earlier in the CBSD lifecycle. This study
highlights this issue by investigating the need to consider security features in the CBSD process.

1.1. Motivation

The existing CBSD processes presented in literature concentrate on the common activities involved in developing component-based software with emphasis on integrating and reusability by acquiring existing component from the repository with unknown security properties. Therefore, the current component-based development process appears to lack the capacity to develop secure systems. Given that security breaches are largely caused by vulnerable software, producing software in a secure manner is important because individuals and organizations mostly depend on software (Jain and Ingle, 2011). Likewise, there is great risk involved in constructing, deploying, operating and using software components that has not been developed with security awareness (Allen et al., 2008; Kahtan et al., 2012).

Software system design should consist of both functional and non-functional requirements. In component specification, the non-functional requirements refer to security attributes. However, current CBSD lacks non-functional support (Zschaler, 2010). In component or application, the non-functional requirements are equally important as the functional ones (Zschaler, 2010). Furthermore, non-functional requirements should be addressed at the early stage of the CBSD to avoid any costly failures in the future (Zschaler, 2010).

According to Talib et al. (2010), the lack of a suitable set of guides on the CBSD life cycle will lead to faults in the requirements, design, or codes of the software, which, in turn, will result in major security vulnerabilities. Meanwhile, Karen (2007) mentioned that a component, in its entire lifetime, may use different applications running in many types of environments and perform several tasks. The security provided by outside vendor software components usually does not provide the security features of all possible software system styles in all environment executions. A component might verify security in single application in a specific operating system, but that component might not do so in a completely different application. Moreover, according to Atan et al. (2007), the capability to deliver secure, high quality software applications within the allotted time and budget remains a challenge to most software development companies. Any faults in the software or delay in its delivery will cause problems for many individuals involved.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A survey was conducted to investigate the awareness of the embedding of security features in the CBSD process in the Malaysian context. The interviews were conducted with experts from different industries and the academic community to obtain insights into the awareness of the embedding of security features in the CBSD process. The interviewees were also allowed to comment on other aspects of the research depending on their knowledge or interests. In addition to the interviews, an online survey was also formulated and e-mailed to potential candidates. A total of 360 candidates were involved in the survey and mainly included industry experts (i.e., managers, software developers, engineers), academic staff in the software engineering department of local universities and PhD and Master’s degree students who are conducting research in related fields. The following criteria were considered in the selection of expert participants:

- Must work as a software architecture engineer or a software system designer or developer with a minimum of five years of experience
- Must have experience and expertise in using state-of-the-art CBSD model and technologies
- Must have experience and expertise in using state-of-the-art software security
- Must be willing to act as neutral assessor
- Willingness to provide valuable analysis and interpretation based on his/her experience

The questionnaire contains questions based on the CBSD processes and software security features. Respondents were required to mark their expert opinion on the given statements in the form of questions. The survey consisted of 23 questions of various types, including multiple-choice, short answer and ratings. The questionnaire is thus divided into three sections:

- Section A-Profile
- Section B-Desired features on Component-Based Software Development (CBSD)
- Section C-Software Security

A Likert scale of 1 to 5, as shown in Table 1, was used to obtain participant preferences or degree of agreement. The online survey developed can be referred to at http://inforec.uitm.edu.my/perseus/se.ashx?s=0B7FD90F2EAAFB80.
3. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows that 53% of the respondents strongly agree that the availability of a component repository is beneficial for them to store all information about the developed components, followed by 35% who agree and 12% are fair. By contrast, none of the respondents disagree that the availability of the component repository is beneficial for them. Figure 2 shows the pie chart related to the importance of CBSD. The chart indicates that 50% of the respondents agreed that CBSD is important because it promotes reusability to higher levels of abstraction. However, only 4% of the respondents disagree on the importance of CBSD.

According to Figure 3, 96.7% of the respondents do not use a formal CBSD process for developing software system. Meanwhile, 3.3% of the respondents considered the use of a formal CBSD process for developing software systems. Figure 4 presents that 65% of the respondents totally agreed (combination of strongly agree and agree) that security features are neglected during the lifecycle process in the industries. However, only 23% disagree with this issue. Figure 5 indicates that 41% of the respondents strongly agree that component designers lack a security background, followed by 33% who agree, 9% for fair and 17% who disagree. Based on Figure 6, 56% of the respondents strongly agree that dealing with an external component is risky because such component is ambiguous in terms of security context. By contrast, only 1% of the respondents disagree about the risk of dealing with an external component in terms of security.

Moreover, 63% of the respondents agree on the concept of embedding security activities to the software development life cycle to minimize the number of security flaws. By contrast, only 9% of respondents disagree on embedding the security activities in the life cycle of software development. These results are represented by Figure 7. In addition, the benefits of incorporating the security activities to CBSD is reflected in Figure 8. The benefits include minimizing the vulnerabilities and threats in the software and reducing the system cost by finding faults during upfront analysis.

### Table 1. Likert scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Absolutely disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 1. Benefit of component repository](image1)

![Fig. 2. Importance of CBSD](image2)

![Fig. 3. Use of formal CBSD](image3)
4. DISCUSSION

Based on the survey results, CBSD promotes the effective use of specialists who can focus on developing reusable components within the scope of their knowledge instead of application specialists conducting the same work on different projects. Thus, software system developers can take advantage of existing structures and components, which improve the efficiency of software development. At the same time, CBSD creates a repository of components, which supports software system development by providing reusable and tested components. Therefore, CBSD is essential for achieving successful software reuse.

However, the survey results revealed that there is a risk when dealing with Commercial Off The Shelf
The development and deployment of components in binary form are outside the control of component users. The risk associated with the component selection with indefinite security properties is not acceptable. When such component is selected, the results might be catastrophic. Thus, the software component security assessment has become an increasingly important activity to guarantee the reliability of reusing software components.

Aside from that, the survey results highlighted that the current development of software is not supported by a formal model for CBSD. This is due to, programmers are highly educated people and prefer to develop software from scratch. They may feel that incorporating the work of others will limit their creativity. However, sharing is the key to successful CBSD and failure to do so will totally eliminate the opportunity for CBSD.

Moreover, the survey results discovered that the managements tend to focus more on risk from external vulnerabilities. However, many internal problems emerge from the ignorance of the developer instead of external vulnerabilities, which is equally risky because accidental failures could have a large impact. In addition, software developers who are unfamiliar with security features create software designs with no security consideration. Software developers lack information on how to develop software security. However, they are often asked to certify that their components are of trusted quality. Likewise, security issues are either neglected, added as an afterthought, or minimized due to cost or efficiency conditions in the development life cycle of software.

Therefore, the survey results confirmed that the security features must be presented and assessed at the earliest phases of the CBSD life cycle in order to achieve a predictable, repeatable process for engineering high-quality software components. Moreover, embedding security features to the software development lifecycle will mitigate the vulnerabilities and reduce the cost of maintenance.

5. CONCLUSION

This study presents the results of a survey on the awareness of embedding the security features in the CBSD process. The results show that CBSD is important in software production. Numerous organizations do not relatively consider the formal CBSD process for developing software system. Thus, a secure component to CBSD process is an urgent need. However, the survey indicates that security features seem to be neglected during the lifecycle process in industries. Indeed, embedding security activities to the software development life cycle is crucial to minimize the number of security flaws, thus reducing the cost as well.

This study has contributed to highlight the needs and the motivations to consider the security features in the CBSD process. Moreover, the survey results have revealed several motivations that contribute to a person’s willingness to employ in such research both in the academic field (i.e., lecturers, students and researchers), as well as at the industry field (i.e., managers, software developers, engineers).

This study limited to 360 respondents and the questionnaire contains questions based on the CBSD processes and software security features only. Details questions on the software component requirements, design, implementation and testing throughout the CBSD process were not considered. Moreover, software security attributes are beyond the scope of this paper.

Future studies could start by identifying the security attributes that need to be embedded into the software components to mitigate the vulnerabilities. In addition, proposing a guideline for eliciting, analysing, specifying and composing the security attributes of the component based software development.
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