Isolation and Characterization of Potential Probiotic Escherichia coli Strains from Rat Faecal Samples
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Abstract: Problem statement: Gastrointestinal disorders mainly diarrhea is the most common cause of morbidity and mortality among infants and children in the developing countries occurs due to infection by enteropathogens. Control of these pathogens could be achieved by nonpathogenic Escherichia coli producing bacteriocins.

Approach: Primary aim of this study was to isolate E. coli strains which inhibit enteropathogens. For this purpose, E. coli strains were isolated and tested for probiotic properties such as antimicrobial activity against enteropathogens, antibiotic susceptibility and resistance to low pH, absence of virulence traits, susceptibility to proteolytic activity and detection of colicins type.

Results: Approximately 280 E. coli strains were collected from rat faecal samples at different ages and were screened for their antimicrobial activity. Out of these, 47 isolates showed antimicrobial effect against E. coli DH5α and BL21 strains and 16 were effective against different pathogens viz. Salmonella sp., Klebsiella pneumoniae, Staphylococcus aureus and Enterobacter sp. These 16 isolates were susceptible to the common Antibiotics and most of them better survived at pH 3 and a few survived even at pH 2. Colony PCR of these isolates with colicinogenic primer demonstrated the presence of multiple colicin types. Out of 16 isolates, 12 had multiple pore forming colicins of E1 and 1a/1b type. In addition to the pore forming E1 and 1a/1b colicins, four E. coli strains 16, 2P and 14, 20 had Emix (nuclease type)/BD type (translation blocker) colicins, respectively.

Conclusion: This study showed that E. coli isolates 10, 14 and 14 P possess good probiotic properties and could be effective against enteropathogens.
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INTRODUCTION

The gastrointestinal tract is a biologically diverse and complicated system which contains around 10^{14} bacterial cells and up to 1000 species\textsuperscript{[1]}. The dominant microflora in faecal samples is obligatory anaerobic (Bacteroides spp., Eubacterium spp., Bifidobacterium spp., Lactobacilli) and anaerobic (Cocci and Clostridium spp.). In addition, facultative anaerobic organisms such as Escherichia coli, Enterococci and Streptococci are also present\textsuperscript{[2]}. The microbial population consists of commensurate bacteria and opportunistic pathogens. Some of the commensurate bacteria with beneficial effects to the host have been employed as probiotics.

Probiotics are live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amount confer health benefits to the host. The major groups are Lactobacilli, Bifidobacteria and some minor groups are Saccharomyces, Streptococcus, E. coli Nissle 1917 and E. coli H22 strains have been reported as potential therapeutic agents\textsuperscript{[3]}. Probiotic bacteria possess the ability to survive in the host depending on their metabolic activity, resistant to gastric acidity, adhesion to the mucosal surface, friendly to the host and protect the host against infection\textsuperscript{[4]}. Antimicrobial substances include short chain fatty acid, hydrogen peroxide and bacteriocins. Bacteriocins relatively narrow killing spectrum and are only toxic to bacteria closely related to the producing strain\textsuperscript{[5]}. According to Klaenhammer, 99% of all bacteria may make at least one bacteriocin\textsuperscript{[4,6]}. Mode of action of bacteriocin produced from gram positive and gram negative microorganism differ and the immune responses activation in host against gram positive and gram negative also vary\textsuperscript{[3,7]}. Consortium of organisms might be more effective than the application of a single strain.
Probiotics were mainly *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacteria*, however enteric *Escherichia coli* isolates were relatively less investigated. A nonpathogenic strain has been well established in human medicine since 1917\(^8\). This strain was used successfully under the brand name Mutaflo\(r\) for treating various gut-related diseases, e.g., chronic constipation, ulcerative colitis, Crohn’s disease, or pouchitis. In addition, the strain prevented colonization of the intestine with microbial pathogens in new born infants\(^9\). In Germany, *E. coli* strain Nisse 1917 is authorized under the brand name Ponsonco\(l\) for the prophylaxis of neonatal calf diarrhea and has been marketed since 2001. *E. coli* H22 produces several antimicrobial compounds with inhibitory capabilities against pathogenic *Enterobacter* spp. and inhibited the gastrointestinal enteric infections\(^9\). Bacteriocins inhibit pathogens within the closely related species such as *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter* and pathogenic *E. coli*, which are the most common cause of gastrointestinal illnesses. We report the isolation of potential probiotic of *E. coli* strains from rat faecal matter which could eliminate gram negative pathogens.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Isolation of *Escherichia coli* from Rats faecal samples:** Faecal samples were collected from Charles Foster rats of different age groups. Samples were dissolved in 10 mL of 0.85% NaCl and agitated vigorously. Serial dilutions of the resulting suspensions were spread on MacConkey lactose agar (HiMedia Laboratories) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. Approx 10-15 lactose-positive colonies from each sample were selected to perform IMViC tests. Isolates confirmed IMViC positives were finally transferred to HiCrome\(^7\) Coliform Agar (HiMedia Laboratories). Isolated strains confirmed by above tests as *E. coli* were used to screen for their probiotic ability.

**Testing for Antimicrobial activity:** For detection of antimicrobial activity, agar spot tests were performed\(^10\). Indicative strains were grown in Luria broth at 37°C and approximately 5×10\(^7\) cells were inoculated into 4 mL of soft agar containing 0.7% agar and poured over the plate on which the producer was spotted. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Inhibitory activity was demonstrated by a clear zone around the spots.

**Testing for resistance to antibiotics:** *E. coli* strains were examined for resistance to amikacin (30 µg), amoxyclov (30 µg), ampicillin (10 µg), ceftazidine (30 µg), cephoxamine (30 µg), co-trimoxazole (25 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), cefuroxime (30 µg), furazolidone (100 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), nitrofurantoin (300 µg), nalidixic acid (30 µg), netilin (30 µg), ofloxacin (10 µg) and tetracycline (30 µg) and tobramycin (10 µg) using commercial discs (HiMedia Laboratories). *E. coli* strain BL21 sensitive to all the antibiotics was included for quality control. Characterization of strains as susceptible, resistant or having reduced susceptibility was done in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions on sizes of inhibition zones around each disc, which matched the interpretive criteria recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).

**Tolerance to acidic pH values:** *E. coli* strains were grown in Luria broth (HiMedia) at 37°C overnight, subcultured into fresh Luria broth and incubated till the culture was grown up to 0.6 OD at 550nm. The cultures were centrifuged at 5000×g for 10 min. The pellets were washed in sterile Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS) pH 7 and resuspended in PBS. Initial count was determined with appropriate dilution. Each strain was diluted 1/100 in PBS at pH 1, 2 and 3. Incubation times were 2, 4 and 6 h. Bacterial cultures were then transferred to Luria agar plates and incubated at 37°C overnight\(^11,12\). The number of colonies was counted to obtain the viable counts at each time interval. Survival percentage of strains to different pH values was then calculated as percentage survival = (viable counts after acid exposure/initial viable counts) × 100\(^10\).

**Detection of pathogenic strains:** Pathogenic detection of *E. coli* isolates was done by using eight primers as reported by Toma et al\(^11\).

**Characterization of the antimicrobial agent:** Samples of culture supernatants, pellets and crude extract were examined for susceptibility to proteolytic enzymes. The following enzymes were used: Proteinase K (5 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) and Trypsin (2 mg mL\(^{-1}\))\(^12\). The crude extract was treated with enzymatic solutions and incubated at 37°C for 1 h, after which the remaining activity was determined by the agar spot technique\(^10\).

**Detection and identification of Colicin:** Culture was grown overnight and freshly inoculated 50 µL in 5 mL in Minimal media containing 0.6% casamino acid and 1% glucose and grown till 0.6 OD. This culture was split into two parts and one part was induced with Mitomycin C (200 ng mL\(^{-1}\)). After 4 h of incubation at 37°C (for induced culture), the samples were centrifuged at 15000 g/10 min/4°C. Supernatant was filtered with
cellulose acetate filter (0.2 µm filter) and 5 µL was spotted on the indicator organism i.e., *Salmonella* sp., *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterobacter asburiae*. The pellet was suspended in 0.05 M Phosphate buffer pH 7.0 and sonicated 30-60 sec and centrifuged at 15000 g/10 min/4°C, lysis culture was filtered with cellulose acetate filter (0.2 µm filter) and 5 µL was spotted on the indicator organism. PCR-based method was used for identification of 18 different colicins using nine primers[14].

**RESULTS**

**Isolation of *E. coli* strains from rat faecal matter:** On the Hichrome coliform agar plates, rat faecal samples contained *E. coli* (4.79±1.204)x10^8 (Cfu gm⁻¹ wet faecal samples) after weaning and (2.32±1.021)x10^6 (Cfu gm⁻¹ wet faecal samples) at adults stage.

**Screening of *E. coli* for antimicrobial activity:** In primary screening the antimicrobial activity of pure isolates were determined by agar spot method against *E. coli* (DH5α and BL21) on Luria agar (LA). A total of 47 of 288 isolates of *E. coli* produced zone of inhibition against *E. coli* DH5α and BL21 strains. Secondary screening was performed by induction of 47 isolates with mitomycin C. Antimicrobial activity of the culture filtrates against the test organisms *Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter asburiae*, *Klebsiella sp.*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella typhi* and *Salmonella abony* is shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1. Culture filtrates which showed antimicrobial activity towards pathogens were treated with proteinase K and protease which resulted in lost of antimicrobial effect thus suggesting that the antimicrobial compound is a protein. Sixteen out of 47 isolates showed better inhibitory activity against different pathogens.

**Antibiotic susceptibility of rat faecal *E. coli* isolates:** Most isolates did not show multi-drug resistance and the isolates which showed low multiple resistance were eliminated in initial screening with commercial antibiotics (data not shown). Sixteen isolates were finally screened by using commercial antibiotics discs (HiMedia Laboratories) Table 2.

**Acid tolerance assay:** Acid tolerance assay was performed at different pH-1.0, 2.0 and 3.0 for the eight antibiotic sensitive strains. Isolates *E. coli* strains 10, 20 and 16 showed higher acid tolerance whereas *E. coli* strains 3, 44, 45, 14 and 17 showed poor acid tolerance at pH 2.0. But at pH 3.0, all the isolates showed good acid tolerance up to 6 h. However, none of the isolates showed acid tolerance at pH 1.0 (Table 3).

**Detection of pathogenic strains by Multiplex PCR of indicator genes:** Positive controls were generated by obtaining amplicons for seven indicator genes: aggR, est, aspU, CVD432, elt, eae and stx. The sizes of the amplicons were similar to the reported pathogenic genes. Hence, the primers were used to determine the presence of the pathogenic genes in the *E. coli* isolates. No amplicons were obtained from the genomic DNA of all the *E. coli* isolates.

---

**Table 1: Antimicrobial activity of *E. coli* against Enteropathogens**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E. coli cultureNo.</th>
<th>Enteropathogens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10, 14, 14P</td>
<td><em>Enterobacter asburiae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9, 10, 14, 17, 20, 14P</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 9, 14, 35, 44, 2P, 8P</td>
<td><em>Salmonella typhi</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10, 14, 16, 14P</td>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9, 14, 2P, 8P</td>
<td><em>Salmonella abony</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *E. coli* isolates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture No.</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>Ac</th>
<th>Tb</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Ce</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Nf</th>
<th>Ak</th>
<th>Cf</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Of</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2P</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8P</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14P</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 1: Supernatant of *E. coli* isolates showed antimicrobial activity in the presence of mitomycin C induction.
During the transition to the adult stage, the microflora is found to be more diverse and decrease development of animals. In the initial stages of microflora, colicins have been found to occur during the development of colicins. Isolates 14 and 20 contained E1, 1a/1b and B/D type; isolates 16 and 2P contain E1, 1a/1b and Emix type; and isolates 3, 9, 10, 35, 44, 8P and 14P contained E1 type. Isolates 3, 9, 10, 35, 44, 8P and 14P showed in Fig. 2; isolates 17 contained E1 type; isolates 14 and 20 had 1a/1b and Emix type; and isolates 14 and 20 contained E1, 1a/1b and B/D type colicins.

**DISCUSSION**

*Escherichia coli* count in the rat faecal matter decreased from weaning to the adult stage by about hundred fold. Variation in the abundance and diversity of microflora has been found to occur during the development of animals. In the initial stages of microflora, colicins are found to be more diverse and decrease during the transition to the adult stage. In addition, the composition of the intestinal microflora is influenced by nature of the diet, antibiotic treatment and infection of exogenous opportunistic pathogens. The results of the present study demonstrated that some of the *E. coli* strains (isolated of fecal samples) showed antimicrobial activity against the members of *Enterobacteriaceae* family viz., *Enterobacter asburiae*, *Klebsiella* sp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella typhi* and *Salmonella abony*. These isolates were susceptible to the most of antibiotics tested and low resistance was observed in some cases which were eliminated in the screening. Abundance of antibiotic resistant and sensitive microorganisms found to vary in animals. Multiplex PCR studies demonstrated that these *E. coli* strains do not contain any pathogenic traits. Antibiotic sensitivity and non-pathogenic traits are essential for probiotic organisms.

Antimicrobial activity of these *E. coli* strains was enhanced by Mitomycin C induction. Mitomycin C is known to induce the production of colicins. Antimicrobial activity of *E. coli* strains 10, 14 and 14P demonstrated broad host range. Majority of the *E. coli* strains contained colicins E1 and 1a/1b in contrast to the combination of Microcin H47 with microcin M reported by Gordon *et al.* These colicins belong to pore forming type. Interestingly, four *E. coli* strains along with these pore forming colicins produced other colicins. Isolates 16 and 2P possessed E mix which belong to nuclease type whereas isolates 14 and 20 had B/D types which are translation blockers.

Before reaching the intestinal tract, probiotic bacteria must first survive transit through the stomach. Preliminary experiments showed that these colicin producing *E. coli* strains also had good but variable acid tolerance at pH 3.0. *E. coli* can survive at a pH as low as 2.0 upon induction of acid resistant genes.

**CONCLUSION**

Present study demonstrates the isolation of *E coli* strains from rat faces with effective probiotic properties. These strains have the ability to reach the small intestine and colon. In addition, most strains were susceptible to antibiotics tested, which belonged to the major classes of antibiotics used in human clinical therapy. The absence of virulence traits and antibiotic resistance can be considered a positive trait for bacteria...
used in probiotic. Some isolated strains were able to inhibit the growth of enteropathogenic bacteria and the antibacterial compounds were identified as colicins. Among strains, *E. coli* 10, 14 and 14P showed good probiotic properties which suggest their possible use in the therapeutic purpose against certain enteropathogens. Demonstration of their effectiveness *in vivo* against enteropathogens will establish their beneficial effects.
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