The Lipid-Lowering Efficacy of Switching Within Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors in HIV-Infected Patients
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Abstract: The objective of present research is to evaluate the lipid lowering efficacy and safety of switching within non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI) in HIV-infected patients. This is a multicenter, retrospective study utilizing a comprehensive electronic patient registry to identify all adult HIV-infected patients seen from October 1, 1998 through October 1, 2006, who substituted efavirenz for nevirapine (EFV\textsuperscript{®} NVP) or vice-versa (NVP\textsuperscript{®} EFV), without change in other antiretrovirals. Lipid profiles before and after the switch were analyzed. A total of 124 patients were identified with 14 male (EFV\textsuperscript{®} NVP, n = 9; NVP\textsuperscript{®} EFV, n = 5) patients meeting the strict criteria for inclusion. An EFV\textsuperscript{®} NVP switch resulted in significant reductions in TC -16% and non-HDL -25% (p \leq 0.02) and a trend towards a reduction in LDL-C -12%, TG -27%, TC/HDL -23%, TG/HDL -48% and an increase in HDL-C +15% without any changes to BMI, viral or immunological control. However, a NVP\textsuperscript{®} EFV switch appeared to result in a non-significant worsening of LDL-C +29%, HDL-C -8%, TG +36%, non-HDL +28%, TC/HDL +57% and TG/HDL +46%. Lastly, more patients achieved their lipid goals when switched from EFV to NVP. These data suggest that switching from EFV to NVP-based HAART is associated with lipid improvement, however, switching from NVP to EFV-based HAART is associated with worsening of serum lipids.
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INTRODUCTION

The widespread use of combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) has drastically improved the prognosis of patients with Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV). This improved prognosis has led to long-term use of antiretroviral agents, which have been associated with significant metabolic complications including dyslipidemia. Protease inhibitors (PI) have historically been considered the major cause of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART)-associated dyslipidemia\textsuperscript{[1]}. However, there is growing body of evidence associating clinically significant hyperlipidemia with non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based therapy, particularly with efavirenz (EFV)-based regimens\textsuperscript{[2-5]}. Prospective comparisons of nevirapine (NVP) and EFV have demonstrated that EFV-based HAART is associated with greater elevation in total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), triglycerides (TG) and non-HDL cholesterol (non-HDL-C) as well as increase in high-density lipoprotein (HDL-C) of lesser magnitude when compared to NVP-based HAART\textsuperscript{[4-6]}. Antiretroviral switch strategies, in addition to traditional lipid-lowering treatment approaches, have proven to be useful in select patients with PI and thymidine analogue-associated dyslipidemia\textsuperscript{[1,7-11]}. Two studies have shown improvements in lipids when switching EFV to...
NVP\(^{[12,13]}\). However, it is not known whether switching from NVP to EFV may worsen lipids. It is also unknown if intra-NRTI switching translates into an increased number of patients achieving their patient specific lipid goals per the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP)/Adult Treatment Panel III (ATP III) guidelines in a real-world clinical practice setting\(^{[13]}\). The present study was conducted to determine the effect of intra-NRTI class switching on serum lipid and virologic parameters in HIV-infected patients on stable NRTI-based HAART and to determine if this intervention translates into improvements in achievement of lipid goals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design, objectives and participants: This multicenter retrospective study evaluated serum lipid parameters in HIV-infected patients following a switch from one NRTI-based regimen (either EFV or NVP) to another NRTI-based therapy. The study was approved by all the Institutional Review Boards of the participating institutions.

Two electronic patient registries that contained comprehensive medical records were used to identify all HIV-infected patients seen at the Dallas and Houston Veterans Affairs Medical Centers during an eight-year period (October 1998 through October 2006). Patients were included if they were ≥18 years of age, on a stable NRTI-based HAART regimen for ≥4 weeks prior to an isolated switch from EFV to NVP or from NVP to EFV and subsequently maintained on the second NRTI-based regimen for ≥4 weeks. They also had to have an on-treatment documented lipid profile obtained within a 6 month period prior to the switch and a follow-up lipid profile obtained within a 6 month period after switching to the new NRTI-based HAART. In an attempt to control for confounders strict exclusion criteria were used during screening. Patients were excluded if they had any concomitant changes in the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) backbone agents, any dosage change, additions or deletions of lipid-lowering medications or other medications known to alter serum lipid parameters, any documented evidence of significant changes in diet, alcohol-consuming behavior, exercise patterns or significant changes in diabetes control (defined as a change in HbA1c >1%). Patients with new-onset or uncontrolled thyroid disease or nephrotic syndrome were also excluded. All patients were risk stratified per NCEP/ATP III guidelines to determine whether or not patients achieved lipids goals pre and post switch.

Study endpoints: Changes in serum lipid profiles before and after the intra-NRTI class switch were investigated as the primary efficacy measure while CD4 cell counts, HIV viral load and liver enzymes [aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT)] data were collected at baseline and following the switch to evaluate the safety of the switch. Secondary endpoints included investigation of changes in the total cholesterol to high-density lipoprotein ratio (TC/HDL) and the triglyceride to high-density lipoprotein ratio (TG/HDL) from baseline. We also assessed the frequency of NCEP/ATP III lipid goal attainment, both pre and post NRTI switch.

Data analysis: At the time of protocol development, no data existed on the effects of any within NRTI switch on the lipid profile and achievement of patient specific goals. Due to the limited nature of this intervention in this special population and available number of subjects, all patients in both HIV registries who meet the strict criteria for inclusion were included in this analysis. Median changes in serum lipids and CD4 counts from baseline were computed using the Wilcoxon Signed ranks test for paired continuous non-normally distributed data. Frequency of NCEP/ATP III goal attainment and frequency of undetectable viremia were analyzed using McNemar's test. A two-sided alpha of 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance. All statistical analysis were performed using SPSS for Windows version 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 124 patients were identified that had taken both NVP and EFV during an eight-year period with a total of 14 patients meeting the strict criteria for inclusion. Reasons for exclusion were based on a priori criteria and included incomplete lipid data, change of an NRTI backbone agent, change in lipid lowering medication and addition of a medication known to alter lipid parameters. The majority of patients had undetectable HIV viral loads (defined as <50 copies mL\(^{-1}\)) at baseline (n =12/14) and only 1/14 patients had a CD4 count <200 copies mL\(^{-1}\). Reasons patients were switched from EFV to NVP included adverse CNS side effects (n = 4), dyslipidemia (n = 2), positive tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) screen (n = 1) and reason unknown (n = 2). Patients were switched from NVP to EFV for pill burden reduction (n = 2) or for other unknown reasons (n = 3). Baseline lipid data and other baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1.
Table 1: Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>EFV to NVP (n = 9)</th>
<th>NVP to EFV (n = 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>52 (41-56)</td>
<td>49 (45-58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males [n (%)]</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV RNA Viral Load &lt; 50 copies mL⁻¹ [n (%)]</td>
<td>8 (89)</td>
<td>4 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median CD4+ counts (cells mm⁻³)</td>
<td>506 (319-621)</td>
<td>517 (295-622)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>28 (25-29)</td>
<td>25 (24-26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of HIV (years)</td>
<td>9 (3-14)</td>
<td>9 (6-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration on baseline NNRTI prior to switch (months)</td>
<td>23 (5-42)</td>
<td>10 (8-13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymidine analogue use in NRTI backbone [n (%)]</td>
<td>5 (56) D4T (n = 1) AZT (n = 4)</td>
<td>3 (60) D4T (n = 1) AZT (n = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to follow-up lipid profile after NNRTI switch (weeks)</td>
<td>9 (4-16)</td>
<td>14 (11-20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comorbidities: [n (%)]**
- Diabetes: 1 (11) 1 (11)
- Lipodystrophy: 1 (11) 0
- Hyperlipidemia: 4 (44) 2 (40)
- Coronary artery disease: 1 (11) 0
- Hypothyroidism: 1 (11) 0
- Use of lipid-lowering medications: 2 (22) 0

**Lipid profile (mg dL⁻¹)**
- TC: 193 (165-223) 158 (117-166)
- LDL-C: 116 (96-124) 64 (50-78)
- HDL-C: 40 (31-53) 38 (29-56)
- TG: 113 (76-181) 146 (81-353)
- Non-HDL-C: 156 (113-182) 102 (79-137)
- TG/HDL: 4.4 (1.7-9.1) 3.8 (2.1-15.1)
- TC/HDL: 4.9 (3.5-6.6) 3.0 (2.8-5.7)

All data reported as median ±IQR unless otherwise stated. TC = Total Cholesterol, LDL = Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL = High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, non-HDL = Non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG = Triglycerides.

Patients on EFV-based ART at baseline that were subsequently switched to and maintained on NVP-based ART experienced overall improvements in serum lipids with statistically significant reductions in TC and non-HDL from baseline values (Fig. 1A). Conversely, patients on NVP-based therapy who were switched to and maintained on EFV-based treatment experienced an overall worsening of lipid profiles, although this difference was not statistically significant (Fig. 1B).

In the EFV→NVP group, the number of patients meeting the NCEP/ATP III goal increased from 4 (44%) to 6 (67%) for LDL-C and from 5 (55%) to 8 (89%) for HDL-C and non-HDL cholesterol. However, there was no change from baseline in the number of patients meeting NCEP/ATP III lipid goals in the NVP→EFV group.

Regarding the safety of such an intervention, there were no significant changes in immunologic control. When switching from EFV to NVP there was no significant change in median CD4 count from 506 cells mm⁻³ (IQR, 319-621) at baseline versus 489 cells mm⁻³ (IQR, 329-681) at follow-up, p = 0.93. This was also true for those switching from NVP to EFV; CD4 count changed from 517 cells mm⁻³ (IQR, 295-622) at baseline to 492 cells mm⁻³ (IQR, 220-731) at follow-up, p = 0.50. In addition, there were no changes in the percent of patients with undetectable HIV-RNA (87% at baseline vs. 93% at follow-up; p = 1.00) or hepatic transaminases >2.5 times the upper limit of normal (0%) following a switch from EFV to NVP or from NVP to EFV.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the alterations in lipid parameters following a switch from both EFV to NVP and from NVP to EFV. Present results suggest that overall improvements in lipids occur when switching from EFV to NVP, but not when switched from NVP to EFV. We found a statistically significant 16% reduction in TC and 25% reduction in non-HDL-C cholesterol and an overall improvement in TG, HDL-C, LDL-C and TC/HDL and TG/HDL, although the latter did not reach statistical significance. In either switch arm, there was no apparent compromise of virologic or immunologic control, at least in our population who had relatively good viral control at baseline.

These findings are consistent with previous reports that EFV-based regimens may be associated with more adverse metabolic effects and hyperlipidemia than NVP-based regimens[4-6]. This observation is also consistent with PI to NNRTI switch studies which demonstrate that switching from PI-based regimens to NVP-based therapy may offer greater lipid improvements than switching the PI component to EFV[1,7,9,14-17]. Taken together, these data highlight the important differences in the magnitude of dyslipidemia associated with EFV and NVP and would also suggest that the potential for an intra-NNRTI switch strategy for improvement of EFV-associated dyslipidemia may...
Lipid changes following EFV to NVP switch (n = 9)
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Lipid changes following NVP to EFV switch (n = 5)
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Fig. 1A and B: Changes in lipid parameters from baseline values following an intra-NNRTI switch. TC = Total cholesterol, LDL = Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL = High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, non-HDL = Non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG = Triglycerides

warrant further attention. Previous antiretroviral switch studies have also demonstrated maintenance of virologic and immunologic control if patients were well controlled on pre-switch antiretroviral regimens.[6-11] Our observations are consistent with one report that evaluated the lipid effects in patients who had experienced psychiatric side effects and were switched from EFV to NVP.[12] This retrospective study also found an overall improvement in TC, LDL-C, HDL-C and TG of -9, -5, +7 and -33% respectively.[12] The reduction of LDL-C noted in this study is also consistent with the report by Parienti et al.[13] They observed a modest reduction in LDL-C in patients who were switched from EFV-based therapy to NVP, when compared to patients who continued an EFV-based regimen.[13]

Unlike the aforementioned studies that only explored a switch from EFV to NVP, we also evaluated the effect of switching from NVP to EFV. We observed a generalized worsening in lipid parameters and TC/HDL and TG/HDL in patients switched from NVP to EFV, which help to support that any changes in lipids following the switch from EFV to NVP (or vice versa) are likely due to the switch and not potential confounding variables. A further investigation of the effect of an intra-NNRTI switch strategy on the attainment of NCEP goals was also conducted. While not statistically significant, a switch from EFV to NVP allowed an additional 2 patients (22%) to attain their LDL-C goal and an additional 3 patients (33%) to reach their HDL-C and non-HDL-C goals.

Some limitations to our study include its retrospective study design, small sample size and absence of females in our study population and inability to validate whether lipid profiles were obtained in the fasting state. However, this study used a comprehensive real-time database which allowed for controlling for numerous confounders that might affect the primary lipid outcomes, evaluating the effects on lipid parameters in both intra-NNRTI switches and the reporting the effect of such interventions on the attainment meaningful goals per current standards of care. In addition, it was based on real-world clinical practice from more than one health care center. Lastly, our study was conducted with a small sample size due to our strict criteria for inclusion and the limited number of patients who qualify for such a specific antiretroviral switch. However, this is also a limitation in much of the data in HIV-infected patients with dyslipidemia on HAART.

CONCLUSIONS

While targeting HAART-associated dyslipidemia has become increasingly important among HIV-infected patients as evidence accumulates demonstrating the high cardiovascular risk in this patient population, management of these lipid risk factors is often complicated and may require more than one intervention in order to attain lipid goals.[1,2,18] Consistent with other reports, these observations suggest that an intra-NNRTI switch from EFV to NVP is associated with global improvement of lipids, beyond decreases in LDL, without any readily apparent compromise in virologic or immunologic control. The ability of such a switch to impact lipid goal attainment may warrant further attention in order to determine if these lipid changes might also impact cardiovascular risk to an extent that is clinically meaningful.
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