Optimum Design and Cost Characteristic of Small Conveyor
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ABSTRACT

Although there are many works dealing with the economic analysis of a long conveyor, the information about a short conveyor is insufficient. This study, thus, deals with the short conveyor by studying the effect of belt width, belt length, convey capacity, and conveyor inclination angle on the cost characteristic of short conveyor. The study was done by calculating the conveyor belt widths and their supports that could service a given convey capacity, conveyor length, and inclination angle. The cost of conveyor corresponding to each belt width was, later, determined. After the total cost of each conveyor had been already calculated, the lowest total cost of conveyor for a given convey capacity, conveyor length, and inclination angle was found. The relationships between the belt widths, belt length, convey capacity, inclination angle and the lowest total cost was, finally, analyzed. In addition, the increase of the lowest total cost, when an overdesign of convey capacity was made, was also investigated. The study was done by case study of conveyor of convey capacity of 50-500 ton h\(^{-1}\), the belt width of 400-1500 mm, the conveyor length of 10-100 m and conveyor inclination angle of 0-30°. The results showed that the lowest total cost was found, for all given values, at the belt width range of 400-500 mm. The lowest total cost increased with the increase of the belt length. For normal convey capacity of 50 ton h\(^{-1}\), an overdesigned value of 50 ton h\(^{-1}\) caused the lowest total cost to increase more than 7% compared with the lowest total cost in case of normal convey capacity. For normal convey capacity more than 100 ton h\(^{-1}\), an overdesigned value of 50 ton h\(^{-1}\) made the increase of the lowest total cost less than 2%.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Analyzing the total cost of the engineering system has to be done together with engineering design because designers will be able to set any sizes and shapes as long as they are proper for the engineering use. However, the various sizes and shapes contribute to different costs. As a result, the cost tells which size or shape is suitable to be applied. In other words, the optimum sizes and shapes are those that can contribute to the lowest total cost. There is a lot of research studying the effect of the total cost on the optimum size and shape in terms of engineering. (Jinghua et al., 2009; Ozel and Pihtili, 2007; Bolatturk, 2006; Soylemez and Unsal, 1999; Soponpongpipat et al., 2010; Yeunyongkul et al., 2010)

Conveyor design has been studied for a long time and there has been development on its design technique. For example, Tsalidi and Dentsoras (1997) illustrated the design of conveyor by applying design parameters space search technique. Fonseca et al. (2004) developed knowledge-based system for conveyor equipment selection. Moreover, there is study on conveyor characteristics and dynamic characteristics of conveyor belts (Hou and Meng, 2008). Zhang and Xia (2011) studied the energy efficiency optimization of
belt conveyors. In addition, the economic aspects for designing the conveyor were also described (Wheeler, 2008). The previous research presented economic analysis of the very long conveyor whose convey capacity was 500-3000 ton h$^{-1}$. However, small and medium industrial factories use the convey capacity of 50-500 tons/h with the belt length of 50-200 m and there have been few studies on the cost of this small conveyor. In addition, these small and medium industrial factories usually plan to increase their production capacity, which results in the preparation for increasing convey capacity to support future growth in production capacity. The cost analysis for the overdesign value of the convey capacity is different from the cost analysis for the usual case. As a result, this research showed the cost analysis of the small conveyor and the change of the lowest total cost because of effects from conveyor length and energy value running the conveyor. The research also shows cost characteristic for the overdesign value of convey capacity to support future growth in production capacity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Engineering Design of the Conveyor

The type of conveyor belt studied in this research was cotton/rayon staple. Steady-state design theory was applied to analyze conveyor tension, the number of ply and the size of driver set. Figure 1 shows the calculation process to design the conveyor. The calculation started from inputting convey capacity, conveyor length, properties of conveyed material, conveyor’s inclination angle, angle of support wheel, detail of installation and properties of belt. After that, there was the calculation on each belt width to find out the number of ply, the conveyor driver power and the number of support wheel. The result from the calculation of each belt width was applied to analyze the total cost.

2.2. Calculation of the Conveyor Cost

The conveyor cost was calculated from the price and expenses of conveyor components which were designed from Fig. 1. The conveyor cost in this study was divided into 6 groups:

- Energy cost
- Installation cost

Lifetime of the conveyor was determined from the component of which lifetime was longest, which was conveyor frame. The number of conveyor’s components that were used for the whole lifetime of conveyor was determined by the quotient of the conveyor’s lifetime and the lifetime of its components. The lifetime of each component is shown in Table 1.

As a result, the total cost of conveyor can be determined by Equation 1:

$$C = \sum s_i S_i + \sum d_i D_i + F + bB + E + I$$  (1)

Where:
- $C$ = The total cost of conveyor
- $S_i$ = The number of components used for the whole lifetime in the group of belt support and components.

![Fig. 1. The engineering calculation flow chart of the conveyor design](image-url)
Table 1. The lifetime of conveyor’s components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conveyor components</th>
<th>Slight abrasion</th>
<th>Moderate abrasion</th>
<th>Serious abrasion</th>
<th>Most serious abrasion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor belt</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier roller</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return bracket</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head pulley</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail pulley</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearing for head pulley</td>
<td>&gt;8.00</td>
<td>&gt;7.00</td>
<td>&gt;6.0</td>
<td>&gt;5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearing for tail pulley</td>
<td>&gt;8.00</td>
<td>&gt;7.00</td>
<td>&gt;6.0</td>
<td>&gt;5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear box</td>
<td>&gt;8.00</td>
<td>&gt;7.00</td>
<td>&gt;6.0</td>
<td>&gt;5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive pulley</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>&gt;5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven Pulley</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>&gt;5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulley belt</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyor frame</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S_i = The price of components in the group of belt support and components
d_i = The number of components used for the whole lifetime in the group of driver set
D_i = The price of components in the group of driver set
F = The price of conveyor frame
b = The number of belts used for the whole life expectancy
B = The cost of belt
E = The cost of energy to run the conveyor
I = The installation cost

This research studied the belt that had the length of 10-100 m, the width of 400-1500 mm and the inclination angle of 0-30°. The convey capacity was 50-500 ton h⁻¹, which was found in small conveyor.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Optimum Belt Width in Terms of Engineering-Economics

Figure 2 shows the relationship between the total cost of the conveyor and the belt width. The vertical axis shows the conveyor total cost (baht), while the horizontal axis shows the belt width (mm). The convey capacity shown in the Fig. 2 is 50-500 ton h⁻¹. Figure 2a-2b show the calculation for the conveyor with the inclination angle of 0°, whose length is 10 and 100 m respectively, while Fig. 2c-2d show the calculation for the conveyor with the inclination of 20°.

It was found from Fig. 2a-2b that for every belt width and every conveyor inclination angle, with the convey capacity of 50 ton h⁻¹, the belt width contributing to the lowest total cost of the conveyor was 400 mm. It was also found that when the belt width increased, the total cost of the conveyor increased. When the convey capacity was higher than 50 ton h⁻¹, the belt width contributing to the lowest total cost was 500 mm. The total cost of conveyor tended to decrease when the belt width was increased from 400 to 500 mm, while the total cost tended to increase when the belt width was larger than 500 mm. This cost characteristic could be found for every conveyor length and inclination angle of 50 ton h⁻¹ conveyor.

3.2. The Effect of the Conveyor Length on the Lowest Total Cost

Figure 4 shows the relationship between the conveyor length and the conveyor’s lowest total cost for the convey capacity of 100 ton h⁻¹. The horizontal axis shows the belt length (m), while the vertical axis shows the conveyor’s lowest total cost (baht).

3.3. The Effect of the Overdesign of Conveyor Capacity on the Change of the Conveyor’s Lowest Total cost

Figure 6a-6b show the relationship between the lowest total cost increasing and the normal convey capacity-the convey capacity before an overdesigned value of 50 ton h⁻¹ was added. The vertical axis shows the increasing rate in percentage of the lowest total cost in case of the overdesigned capacity compared to the lowest total cost in case of the normal convey capacity. The horizontal axis shows the normal convey capacity.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Optimum Belt Width in Terms of Engineering-Economics

If the lowest total cost of conveyor was defined as the optimum point for engineering-economics aspect of belt width design, it can be seen from Fig. 2 that the optimum belt width could be divided into 2 categories. The first one was the optimum belt width without the turning point that occurred when the convey capacity was 50 ton h⁻¹ and the other one was the optimum belt width with the turning point that occurred when the convey capacity was higher than 50 ton h⁻¹. This was because of the effect from the cost of the energy used to run the conveyor. The evidence of explanation above could be seen in Fig. 3. The Figure shows the various costs of the conveyor with the convey capacity of 50 and 100 ton h⁻¹ respectively. Both conveyors were 10 m long with the inclination angle of 0°.
**Fig. 2.** The relationship between the total cost of conveyor and the belt width (a) belt length: 10 m; inclination angle: 0°, (b) belt length: 100 m; inclination angle: 0°, (c) belt length: 10 m; inclination angle: 20°, (d) belt length: 100 m; inclination angle: 20°

**Fig. 3.** The various costs for the belt length of 10 m with the inclination angle of 0° (a) convey capacity: 50 ton h⁻¹ (b) convey capacity: 100 ton h⁻¹
Fig. 4. The effect of the conveyor length on the lowest total cost at various inclination angles for the conveyor with the convey capacity of 100 ton h$^{-1}$.

Fig. 5. The relationship between the various costs of conveyor and the belt length for the conveyor with the convey capacity of 100 ton h$^{-1}$ and the inclination angle of 0°.
Fig. 6. The relationship between the lowest total cost increasing and the normal convey capacity when there was the overdesign value of 50 ton h⁻¹. (a) belt length: 10 m; inclination angle: 0° (b) belt length: 50 m; inclination angle: 0° (c) belt length: 50 m; inclination angle: 30° (d) belt length: 100 m; inclination angle: 30°
It could be seen that when the convey capacity was 50 ton h$^{-1}$, the decreasing rate of the energy cost of the conveyor with belt width range from 400 to 500 mm was lower than the increasing rate of other costs. As a result, the total cost of the conveyor increased with the increasing width of the belt and the total cost was lowest at the belt width of 400 mm that was the lowest width used for calculation. When the convey capacity was higher than 50 ton h$^{-1}$, the decreasing rate of the energy cost of the conveyor with belt width range from 400 to 500 mm was higher than the increasing rate of other costs, while the decreasing rate of the energy cost was lower than the increasing rate of other costs when the belt width was higher than 500 mm. As a result, the turning point of the total cost was found at the belt width of 500 mm, causing the belt width of 500 mm to become the lowest total cost of the conveyor.

It could be concluded that the optimum belt width of conveyor could be classified into 2 cases. The first one was the optimum belt width without the turning point of the total cost that occurred when the convey capacity was 50 ton h$^{-1}$. For this case, the optimum belt width that made the lowest total cost was 400 mm. The other one was the optimum belt width with the turning point of the total cost that occurred when the convey capacity was higher than 50 ton h$^{-1}$. The lowest total cost of the conveyor occurred at the turning point of the total cost and it was the belt width of 500 mm for every length and inclination angle. This was because of the effect of the energy cost.

4.2. The Effect of the Conveyor Length on the Lowest Total Cost

Before further discussion was conducted, it should be recognized that the lowest total cost occurred only at the optimum belt width. From the Fig. 4, it could be seen that the conveyer’s lowest total cost increased in linear relationship with the increasing conveyor length. This was because when the conveyor was longer, the various cost of conveyor tended to increase linearly, as can be seen in the conveyor with the convey capacity of 100 ton h$^{-1}$ and the inclination angle of 0° shown in Fig. 5.

It was found that when the conveyor length increased, the conveyer’s lowest total cost increased linearly.

4.3. The Effect of the Overdesign of Convey Capacity on the Change of the Conveyor’s Lowest Total Cost

For the small conveyor, the user might need to increase the convey capacity in the future or sometimes need to temporarily increase the convey capacity. For this situation, it may be preferred to overdesign the convey capacity. This part analyzes the change of the lowest total cost when an overdesign of the convey capacity was conducted. It could be seen from the Fig. 6a-6b that when an overdesigned value of 50 ton h$^{-1}$ was added to the normal convey capacity design of 50 ton h$^{-1}$, it caused the conveyer’s lowest total cost to increase more than 7%. With an overdesigned value of 50 ton h$^{-1}$ added to normal convey capacity of 100 ton h$^{-1}$ upward, the lowest total cost increased not more than 2%. This kind of tendency could be found in other inclination angles as well, as shown in Fig. 6c-6d.

5. CONCLUSION

This research studies the optimum conveyer design in terms of engineering-economics and the cost characteristic of conveyer. Besides, it studies the increase of the lowest total cost in case of the conveyer’s overdesign value of 50 ton h$^{-1}$ was added. The results are shown as follows.

The optimum belt width of conveyer could be classified into 2 cases. The first one was the optimum belt width without the turning point of the total cost that occurred when the convey capacity was 50 ton h$^{-1}$. For this case, the optimum belt width that made the lowest total cost was 400 mm. The other one was the optimum belt width with the turning point of the total cost that occurred when the convey capacity was higher than 50 ton h$^{-1}$. The lowest total cost of the conveyer occurred at the turning point of the total cost and it was the belt width of 500 mm for every length and inclination angle. This was because of the effect of the energy cost.

When the conveyer length increased, the conveyer’s lowest total cost increased linearly.

When an overdesigned value of 50 ton h$^{-1}$ was added to the normal convey capacity design of 50 ton h$^{-1}$, it caused the conveyer’s lowest total cost to increase more than 7%. With an overdesigned value of 50 ton h$^{-1}$ added to normal convey capacity of 100 ton h$^{-1}$ upward, the lowest total cost increased not more than 2%.
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