American Journal of Space Science 1 (2): 145-149, 2013 ISSN: 1948-9927 © 2013 Science Publications doi:10.3844/ajssp.2013.145.149 Published Online 1 (2) 2013 (http://www.thescipub.com/ajss.toc)

Three Fundamental Masses Derived by Dimensional Analysis

Dimitar Valev

Department of Stara Zagora, Space Research and Technology Institute, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 73, 6000 Stara Zagora, Bulgaria

Received 2013-10-19; Revised 2013-10-30; Accepted 2014-04-01

ABSTRACT

Three new mass dimension quantities have been derived by dimensional analysis, in addition to the famous Planck mass $m_P \sim 10^{-8}$ kg. These masses have been derived by means of fundamental constants-the speed of light (c), the gravitational constant (G), the Plank constant (ħ) and the Hubble constant (H). The enormous mass $m_1 \sim 10^{53}$ kg practically coincides with the Hoyle-Carvalho formula for the mass of the observable universe. The extremely small mass $m_2 \sim 10^{-33}$ eV has been identified with the minimum quantum of energy, which seems close to the graviton mass. It is noteworthy that the Planck mass appears geometric mean of the masses m_1 and m_2 . The mass $m_3 \sim 10^7$ GeV could not be unambiguously identified at present time. Besides, the order of magnitude of the total density of the universe has been estimated by this approach.

Keywords: Planck Mass, Dimensional Analysis, Mass of The Universe, Minimum Quantum of Energy

1. INTRODUCTION

The Planck mass $m_{\rm p} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}}$ has been introduced from

Planck (1959) by means of three fundamental constantsthe speed of light in vacuum (c), the gravitational constant (G) and the reduced Plank constant (ħ). Since the constants c, G and ħ represent three very basic aspects of the universe (i.e., the relativistic, gravitational and quantum phenomena), the Plank mass appears to a certain degree a unification of these phenomena. The Plank mass have many important aspects in modern physics. One of them is that the energy equivalent of

Planck mass $E_p = m_p c^2 \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^5}{G}} \sim 10^{19}$ GeV appears

unification energy of the fundamental interactions (Georgi *et al.*, 1974). Also, the Planck mass can be approximately derived by setting it as a mass, whose Compton wavelength and Schwartzchild radius are equal (Bergmann, 1993).

The Plank mass formula has been derived by dimensional analysis using fundamental constants c, G and ħ. The dimensional analysis is a conceptual tool often applied in physics to understand physical situations

involving certain physical quantities (Bridgman, 1922; Kurth, 1972; Bhaskar and Nigam, 1990; Petty, 2001). It is routinely used to check the plausibility of the derived equations and computations. When it is known, the certain quantity with which other determinative quantities would be connected, but the form of this connection is unknown, a dimensional equation is composed for its finding. In the left side of the equation, the unit of this quantity q_0 with its dimensional exponent has been placed. In the right side of the equation, the product of units of the determinative quantities q_i rise to

the unknown exponents n_i has been placed $[q_0] \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n} [q_i]^{n_i}$

where n is positive integer and the exponents n_i are rational numbers. Most often, the dimensional analysis is applied in the mechanics and other fields of the modern physics, where there are many problems with a few determinative quantities. Many interesting and important problems related to the fundamental constants have been considered from (Levy-Leblond, 1977; Duff, 2002; Duff *et al.*, 2002; Barrow, 2002; Fritzsch and Stodolsky, 2009).

The discovery of the linear relationship between recessional velocity of distant galaxies and distance v =



Hr from Hubble (1929) introduces new fundamental constant in physics and cosmology-the famous Hubble constant (H). Even seven years before, Friedman (1922) derived his equations from the Einstein (1916) field equations, showing that the universe might expand at a rate calculable by the equations. Hubble constant determines the age of the universe H^{-1} , the Hubble distance cH^{-1} , the critical density of the universe $\rho_c = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G}$ (Peebles, 1971) and other large-scale

properties of the universe.

Because of the importance of the Hubble constant, in the present paper we include H in the dimensional analysis together with c, G and \hbar aiming to find the new mass dimension quantities $m_i \sim \prod_{j=1}^{3} q_j^{n_j}$, where every triad q_1,q_2,q_3 consists of three constants c, G, \hbar and H. Thus, the Hubble constant will represent the cosmological phenomena in new derived fundamental masses. According to the recent cosmology, the Hubble 'constant' slowly decreases with the age of the universe, but there are indications that other constants, especially gravitational and fine structure constants also vary with comparable rate during the expansion (Dirac, 1937; Wu and Wang, 1986; Webb *et al.*, 2001). That is why, the Hubble constant could deserve being treated on an equal level with the other three constants used by Planck.

2. THREE FUNDAMENTAL MASSES DERIVED BY DIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS

Below, we obtain a mass dimension quantity m_1 constructed from the fundamental constants-the speed of light (c), the Gravitational constant (G) and the Hubble constant (H) using dimensional analysis. A quantity m_1 having mass dimension could be constructed by means of the fundamental constants c, G and H:

$$m_1 = kc^{n_1}G^{n_2}H^{n_3}$$
(1)

where, n_1 , n_2 and n_3 are unknown exponents to be determined by matching the dimensions of both sides of the equation and k is dimensionless parameter of an order of magnitude of a unit.

As a result we find the system of linear Equation 2:

$$n_1 + 3n_2 = 0$$

-n_1 - 2n_2 - n_3 = 0 (2)
-n_2 = 1

Science Publications

The unique solution of the system is $n_1 = 3$, $n_2 = -1$, $n_3 = -1$. Replacing obtained values of the exponents in Equation 1 we find formula (3) for the mass m_1 Equation 3:

$$m_1 \sim \frac{c^3}{GH}$$
(3)

First of all, the formula (3) has been derived by dimensional analysis from Valev (2009). This formula practically coincides with the Hoyle formula for the mass of the observable universe $M = \frac{c^3}{2GH}$ (Kragh, 1999) and perfectly coincides with Carvalho (1995) formula for the mass of the observable universe, obtained by totally different approach.

Evidently, the Hoyle formula coincides with the mass of the Hubble sphere M_H , i.e., mass of the sphere having radius equal to the Hubble distance cH^{-1} and density equal to the total density of the universe $\bar{\rho} \approx \rho_c$ Equation 4:

$$M_{\rm H} = \frac{4}{3}\pi \frac{c^3}{{\rm H}^3} \frac{3{\rm H}^2}{8\pi {\rm G}} = \frac{c^3}{2{\rm G}{\rm H}} \tag{4}$$

The recent experimental values of c, G and H are used from Mohr and Taylor (1999): c = 299792458 m s⁻¹, G = 6.673×10^{-11} m³ kg⁻¹ s⁻² and H ≈ 70 km s⁻¹ Mps⁻¹ from Mould *et al.* (2000). Replacing this values in (3) we obtain m₁~ 1.76×10^{53} kg. Therefore, the enormous mass m₁ would be identified with the mass of the observable universe.

Analogously, by means of the fundamental constants c, \hbar and H, a quantity m₂ having dimension of a mass could be constructed:

$$m_2 = kc^{n_1}\hbar^{n_2}H^{n_3}$$
(5)

We determine the exponents n1 = -2, $n_2 = 1$, $n_3 = 1$ by the dimensional analysis again. Replacing the obtained values of the exponents in Equation 5 we find formula (6) for the mass m_2 Equation 6:

$$m_2 \sim \frac{\hbar H}{c^2} \tag{6}$$

Replacing the recent values of the constants c, \hbar and H in (6) we obtain $m_{2} \sim 2.70 \times 10^{-69}$ kg = 1.52×10^{-33} eV. This exceptionally small mass coincides with the minimal measurable gravitational self energy of a particle (Sivaram, 1982) which is accepted as minimum quantum of energy \hbar H $\sim 10^{-33}$ eV from Alfonso-Faus

(2012; Alfonso-Faus *et al.*, 2013). This quantity takes substantial place in the estimations of total information and entropy of the universe (Gkigkitzis *et al.*, 2013; Haranas and Gkigkitzis, 2013). Thus, the mass m_2 seems close to the graviton mass obtained by different methods (Woodward *et al.*, 1975; Gershtein *et al.*, 1997; Valev, 2005; Alves *et al.*, 2009). The mass m_2 is in several orders of magnitude smaller than the upper limit of the graviton mass, obtained by astrophysical constraints from (Goldhaber and Nietto, 1974). From Equation 6 we find that the reduced Compton wavelength λ_2 of this mass is equal to the Hubble distance cH^{-1} Equation 7 and 8:

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{\hbar}{m_2 c} = cH^{-1} \sim 1.3 \times 10^{26} m$$
 (7)

From formulae (3) and (6) we find an interesting relation (8):

$$\sqrt{m_1 m_2} = \sqrt{\frac{c^3}{GH} \frac{\hbar H}{c^2}} =$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} \equiv m_p = 2.17 \times 10^{-8} \text{kg}$$
(8)

Therefore, the Planck mass appears geometric mean of the Hubble mass and the mass of the observable universe. As the physical quantity mass is among the most important properties of the matter, the formula (8) hints at a deep relation of the micro particles and the entire universe.

Besides, the ratios (9) take place Equation 9:

$$\frac{m_{1}}{m_{p}} = \frac{m_{p}}{m_{2}} = \frac{cH^{-1}}{r_{p}} = \frac{H^{-1}}{t_{p}} = \frac{}{\sqrt{\frac{c^{5}}{G\hbar H^{2}}}} \sim 8 \times 10^{60}$$
(9)

where $r_p = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}}$ is the Planck length, t_p is the Plank time, cH^{-1} is the Hubble distance and H^{-1} is the Hubble time.

The third quantity m₃, having mass dimension could be constructed by means of the fundamental constants G, h and H:

$$m_3 = kG^{n_1}\hbar^{n_2}H^{n_3}$$
(10)

We determine the exponents $n_1 = -\frac{2}{5}$, $n_2 = \frac{3}{5}$, $n_3 = \frac{1}{5}$ by dimensional analysis again. Replacing the obtained

Science Publications

values of the exponents in Equation 10 we find formula (11) for the mass m₃ Equation 11:

$$m_3 \sim \sqrt[5]{\frac{H\hbar^3}{G^2}}$$
(11)

Replacing the recent values of the constants G, h and H, the mass m_3 takes value $m_3 \sim 1.43 \times 10^{-20}$ kg $\approx 8.0 \times 10^6$ GeV. This mass is a dozen of orders of magnitude lighter than the Planck mass and several orders of magnitude heavier than the heaviest known particles like the top quark $m_t \approx 174.3$ GeV (Mangano and Trippe, 2000). On the other hand, the energy $m_3c^2 \sim 8 \times 10^6$ GeV appears medial for the important GUT scale $E_{GUT} \sim 10^{16}$ GeV and electroweak scale $E_{EW} \sim 10^2$ GeV. Therefore, the mass/energy m_3 could not be unambiguously identified at the present time and it could be considered as heuristic prediction of the suggested approach.

Below, we demonstrate the heuristic power of the suggested approach approximately estimating the total density of the universe by dimensional analysis. Actually, a quantity ρ having dimension of density could be constructed by means of the fundamental constants c, G and H Equation 12:

$$\rho = k c^{n_1} G^{n_2} H^{n_3} \tag{12}$$

where, k is a dimensionless parameter of the order of magnitude of unit.

By the dimensional analysis, we have found the exponents $n_1 = 0$, $n_2 = -1$, $n_3 = 2$. Therefore Equation 13:

$$\rho \sim \frac{H^2}{G} \approx 7.93 \times 10^{-26} \text{ kg m}^{-3}$$
 (13)

The recent Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) observations show that the total density of the universe $\overline{\rho}$ is (Balbi *et al.*, 2000; De Bernardis *et al.*, 2000; Spergel *et al.*, 2003):

$$\overline{\rho} = \Omega \rho_c \approx \rho_c = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} a \sim 10^{-26} \text{ kg m}^{-3}$$
(14)

Evidently, the density ρ derived by means of the fundamental constants c, G and H coincides with formula (14) for the total density of the universe with an accuracy of a dimensionless parameter of an order of magnitude of a unit. Besides, the formula (13) could be derived by means of other triad of fundamental constants, namely G, \hbar and H.

3. CONCLUSION

Three new mass dimension quantities m_i have been derived by dimensional analysis, in addition to the $m_{\rm p} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} \sim 2.17 \times 10^{-8}$ kg. Four Planck mass fundamental constants-the speed of light in vacuum (c), the gravitational constant (G), the reduced Plank constant (\hbar) and the Hubble constant (H) have been involved in the dimensional analysis. The first derived mass dimension quantity $m_1 \sim \frac{c^3}{GH} \sim 10^{53}$ kg practically coincides with the Hoyle-Carvalho formula for the mass of the universe obtained by totally different approach. The exceptionally small mass dimension quantity $m_2 \sim \frac{\hbar H}{c^2} \sim 10^{-33}$ eV has been identified with the minimum quantum of energy, which seems close to the graviton mass. It is amazing that the Planck mass appears geometric mean of the masses m_1 and m_2 , i.e., $m_p = \sqrt{m_1 m_2}$. The third derived mass $m_3 \sim \sqrt[5]{\frac{H\hbar^3}{G^2}} \sim 10^7$ GeV could not be identified unambiguously at present time. The identification of the two derived masses reinforces the trust in the suggested approach. According to the big bang cosmology, the Hubble constant decreases with the age of the universe.

constant decreases with the age of the universe. Therefore, the mass of the universe $m_1 \sim \frac{c^3}{GH}$ increases, whereas the Hubble mass $m_2 \sim \frac{\hbar H}{c^2}$ and mass

 $m_3 \sim \sqrt[5]{\frac{H\hbar^3}{G^2}}$ decrease with time. Nevertheless, the

Planck mass remains a geometric mean of the Hubble mass and mass of the observable universe.

4. REFERENCES

- Alfonso-Faus, A., 2012. Universality of the self gravitational potential energy of any fundamental particle. Astrophys. Space Sci., 337: 363-365. DOI: 10.1007/s10509-011-0803-x
- Alfonso-Faus, A., M.J. Fullana and I. Alfonso, 2013. Cosmic Background Bose Condensation (CBBC).
 Astrophys. Space Sci., 347: 193-196. DOI: 10.1007/s10509-013-1500-8

- Alves, M.E.S., O.D. Miranda and J.C.N. De Araujo, 2009. Can massive gravitons be an alternative to dark energy. Phys. Lett. B, 700: 283-288. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2011.05.022
- Balbi, A., P. Ade, J. Bock, J. Borrill and A. Boscaler *et al.*, 2000. constraints on cosmological parameters from MAXIMA-1. Astrophys. J., 545: L1-L4. DOI: 10.1086/317323
- Barrow, J.D., 2002. The Constants of Nature: From Alpha to Omega. 1st Edn., Jonathan Cape, London, ISBN-10: 0375422218, pp: 352.
- Bergmann, P.G., 1993. The Riddle of Gravitation. 1st Edn., Dover Publications, New York, ISBN-10: 0486273784, pp: 234.
- De Bernardis, P., P.A.R. Ade, J.J. Bock, J.R. Bond and J. Borrill *et al.*, 2000. A flat Universe from highresolution maps of the cosmic microwave background radiation. Nature, 404: 955-959. DOI: 10.1038/35010035
- Bhaskar, R. and A. Nigam, 1990. Qualitative physics using dimensional analysis. Artificial Intelligence, 45: 73-111. DOI: 10.1016/0004-3702(90)90038-2
- Bridgman, P.W., 1922. Dimensional Analysis. 1st Edn., Yale Univ. Press, Yale, ISBN-10: 0-548-91029-4, pp: 128.
- Carvalho, J.C., 1995. Derivation of the mass of the observable universe. Int. J. Theor. Phys., 34: 2507-2509. DOI: 10.1007/BF00670782
- Dirac, P.A.M., 1937. The cosmological constants. Nature, 139: 323-323. DOI: 10.1038/139323a0
- Duff, M.J., 2002. Comment on time-variation of fundamental constants.
- Duff, M.J., L.B. Okun and G. Veneziano, 2002. Trialogue on the number of fundamental constants. J. High Energy Phys. DOI: 10.1088/1126-6708/2002/03/023
- Einstein, A., 1916. Die grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie. Annalen Der Physik, 354: 769-822. DOI: 10.1002/andp.19163540702
- Friedman, A., 1922. Uber die krummung des raumes. Z. Physik, 10: 377-386. DOI: 10.1007/BF01332580
- Fritzsch, H. and G. Stodolsky, 2009. The Fundamental Constants, a Mystery of Physics. 1st Edn., World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, ISBN-10: 9812818197, pp: 214.



- Georgi, H., H.R. Quinn and S. Weinberg, 1974. Hierarchy of interactions in unified gauge theories. Phys. Rev. Lett., 33: 451-454. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.33.451
- Gershtein, S.S., A.A. Logunov and M.A. Mestvirishvili, 1997. The upper limit on the graviton mass.
- Gkigkitzis, I., I. Haranas and S. Kirk, 2013. Number of information and its relation to the cosmological constant resulting from Landauer's principle. Astrophys. Space Sci. DOI: 10.1007/s10509-013-1581-4
- Goldhaber, A.S. and M.M. Nieto, 1974. Mass of the graviton. Phys. Rev. D, 9: 1119-1121. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.9.1119
- Haranas, I. and I. Gkigkitzis, 2013. Bekenstein bound of information number N and its relation to cosmological parameters in a universe with and without cosmological constant. Mod. Phys. Lett. A, 28: 1350077-1350079. DOI: 10.1142/S0217732313500776
- Hubble, E., 1929. A relation between distance and radial velocity among extra-galactic nebulae. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 15: 168-173. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.15.3.168
- Kragh, H., 1999. Cosmology and Controversy: The Historical Development of Two Theories of the Universe. 1st Edn., Princeton University Press, Princeton, ISBN-10: 069100546X, pp: 212.
- Kurth, R., 1972. Dimensional Analysis and Group Theory in Astrophysics. 1st Edn., Pergamon Press, Oxford, ISBN-10: 0080166164, pp: 235.
- Levy-Leblond, J.M., 1977. On the conceptual nature of the physical constants. Riv. Nuovo Cim., 7: 187-214. DOI: 10.1007/BF02748049
- Mangano, M. and T. Trippe, 2000. The top quark. Europ. Phys. J. C, 15: 385-391. DOI: 10.1007/BF02683451
- Mohr, P. and B. Taylor, 1999. CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants 1998.J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 28: 1713-1853. DOI: 10.1063/1.556049
- Mould, J.R., J.P. HuchraWendy, L. FreedmanLaura Ferrarese and J.A. Graham *et al.*, 2000. The hubble space telescope key project on the extragalactic distance scale. XXVIII. Combining the constraints on the hubble constant. Astrophys. J., 529: 786-794. DOI: 10.1086/308304

- Peebles, P.J., 1971. Physical Cosmology. Princeton Univ. 1st Edn., Press, Princeton, ISBN-10: 0691081085, pp: 296.
- Petty, G.W., 2001. Automated computation and consistency checking of physical dimensions and units in scientific programs. Software Practice Experience, 31: 1067-1076. DOI: 10.1002/spe.401
- Planck, M., 1959. The Theory of Heat Radiation. 1st Edn., Dover Publications, New York, ISBN-10: 1114813141, pp: 224.
- Sivaram, C., 1982. Cosmological and quantum constraint on particle masses. Am. J. Phys., 50: 279-279. DOI: 10.1119/1.12870
- Spergel, D.N., L. VerdeH. V. PeirisE. Komatsu and M.R. Nolta *et al.*, 2003. First-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: Determination of cosmological parameters. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Series, 148: 175-194. DOI: 10.1086/377226
- Valev, D., 2005. Neutrino and graviton mass estimations by a phenomenological approach.
- Valev, D., 2009. Determination of total mechanical energy of the universe within the framework of Newtonian mechanics.
- Webb, J.K., M.T. Murphy, V.V. Flambaum, V.A. Dzuba and J.D. Barrow *et al.*, 2001. Further evidence for cosmological evolution of the fine structure constant. Phys. Rev. Lett., 87: 091301-091301. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.091301
- Woodward, J.F., R.J. Crowley and W. Yourgrau, 1975. Mach's principle and the rest mass of the graviton. Phys. Rev. D, 11: 1371-1374. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.11.1371
- Wu, Y. and Z. Wang, 1986. Time variation of Newton's gravitational constant in superstring theories. Phys. Rev. Lett., 57: 1978-1981. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.57.1978

