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#### Abstract

Problem statement: In this study, we study the analytical construction of some exact solutions of a system of coupled physical differential equations, namely, the Complex GinzburgLandau Equations (CGLEs). CGLEs are intensively studied models of pattern formation in nonlinear dissipative media, with applications to biology, hydrodynamics, nonlinear optics, plasma physics, reaction-diffusion systems and many other fields. Approach: A system of two coupled CGLEs modeling the propagation of pulses under the combined influence of dispersion, self and cross phase modulations, linear and nonlinear gain and loss will be discussed. A Solitary Pulse (SP) is a localized wave form and a front (also termed as shock) refers to a transition connecting two constant, but unequal, asymptotic states. A SP-front pair solution can be analytically obtained by the modified Hirota bilinear method. Results: These wave solutions are deduced by a system of six nonlinear algebraic equations, allowing the amplitudes, wave-numbers, frequency and velocities to be determined. Conclusion: The final exact solution can then be computed by applying the Groebner basis method with a large amount of algebraic simplifications done by the computer software Maple.
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## INTRODUCTION

The Complex Ginzburg-Landau Equations (CGLEs) govern the dynamics of patterns in nonlinear dissipative media and arise in many disciplines, e.g., biology, chemical reactions, diffusion, hydrodynamics, optics, plasma physics and many other fields. The dynamics and propagation of the pulses are governed by the combined influence of dispersion, self and cross phase modulations, linear and nonlinear gain/loss. Many varieties of modes have been established, with the well known examples being (a) bright (or localized) solitary pulses, (b) dark pulses with minimum in intensity or holes, (c) kinks (also termed shocks or wave front solutions), transitions joining two constant, but unequal, asymptotic states. Comprehensive reviews have been given (Cross and Hohenberg, 1993; Arecchi et al., 1999; Ipsen et al., 2000; Aranson and Kramer, 2002).

The primary focus in the study is a system of two waveguides governed by two coupled CGLEs. Conditions for the presence of a shock/wave front in one channel and a bright Solitary Pulse (SP) in the other, will be elucidated. The words 'bright SP'/‘dark SP' are borrowed from optics and refer to a 'localized pulse'/'localized minimum in a constant intensity background' respectively. Most works in the existing literature focus either on the 'bright-bright SPs' situation
or a 'bright-dark SPs' pair. Hence the present configuration of 'bright SP-shock' in the two waveguides would be novel.

A brief review will provide additional motivation for the present work. CGLEs where the carrier wave packets possess a difference in group velocities can be discussed in the terminology of sources and sinks and may help in the understanding of spatiotemporal chaos (Hecke et al., 1999; Riecke and Kramer, 2000). Front solutions are also termed 'domain walls' in the literature. CGLEs with spatially dependent coupling coefficients will be relevant to rotating fluid flow in narrow annulus, or large aspect ratio system with poor heat conduction coefficients (Hecke and Malomed, 1997). In modeling convection and liquid crystals, fronts in CGLEs with resonant temporal forcing can result in 'tunable' mechanism for stabilizing these wave pulses (Crawford and Riecke, 2002).

Considerable analytical progress can be made if one of the two coupled CGLEs exhibits substantial simplifications, e.g., consisting of linear damping alone or displaying an absence of dispersion (Atai and Malomed, 1998). In the optical context, one such system of CGLEs models the 'nonreturn-to-zero' pulses by a superposition of two shock solutions. This dynamics is relevant to dual-core, erbium-doped, amplifier-supported fiber system. In contrast, we shall study two nonlinearly coupled CGLEs in this study.

Besides the search for analytical expressions for solitary waves, a crucial problem to address is the stability of the background. For an isolated CGLE, generalization of such modulation instability has been considered in recent reviews (Lega, 2001). For coupled CGLEs, where one component is linear and dissipative, precise stability boundaries have been mapped out. For linearly coupled CGLEs with fifth order (quintic) nonlinearities, doubly asymmetric solitary pulses and breathers are possible (Hong, 2008).

The structure of this study can now be explained. Solitons and fronts in isolated or uncoupled CGLE can be calculated by a modified Hirota bilinear operator. Another critical feature is that the conventional bilinear equations must be replaced by 'trilinear equations' to compute specialized exact solutions. This can be illustrated by a simple case where damping and gain are absent, i.e., CGLEs are reduced to the integrable, nonlinear Schrödinger (Manakov) equations. The nonlinearly coupled Ginzburg-Landau model is then introduced and the exact 'bright-front' pair is formulated. Finally, special exact solutions are presented.

## METERIALS AND METHODS

The method involving the use of Hirota bilinear operator has been well established in finding solitary and periodic pulses of nonlinear systems. Several modifications and improvements are at times necessary to obtain an even larger class of nonlinear waves. In the following an illustrative example will be given, namely, the modified Hirota operator by (Nozaki and Bekki, 1984) will first be introduced and the evolution equations recast as 'trilinear' forms will also be displayed.

The modified Hirota derivative (Nozaki and Bekki, 1984) is defined as Eq. 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mu, x}^{M}(G \cdot f)=\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\prime}}\right)^{M} G(x) \cdot f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{x=x^{\prime}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, M is a positive integer and $\mu$ can be complex.
The 'bright soliton-front' pair of CGLEs can be obtained by rewriting the partial differential equations as 'trilinear' forms with the Bekki-Nozaki modified Hirota operator. A concrete example is given in the following to illustrate the main idea. This is a simplified case where gain/loss are absent, i.e., CGLEs reduce to the coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations.

The Manakov system is the special, integrable set of coupled nonlinear Schrodinger Eq. 2:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \frac{\partial \mathrm{~A}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~A}}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}} \pm\left(\mathrm{AA}^{*}+\mathrm{BB}^{*}\right) \mathrm{A}=0  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{i} \frac{\partial \mathrm{~B}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~B}}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}} \pm\left(\mathrm{AA}^{*}+\mathrm{BB}^{*}\right) \mathrm{B}=0
\end{align*}
$$

And one set of exact periodic solutions in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions is known Eq. 3:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A}=\sqrt{6} \mathrm{rk}_{0}^{2} \operatorname{sn}(\mathrm{rx}) \operatorname{cn}(\mathrm{rx}) \exp \left(-\mathrm{i} \Omega_{1} \mathrm{t}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \mathrm{B}=\sqrt{6} \mathrm{rk}_{0} \operatorname{cn}(\mathrm{rx}) \operatorname{dn}(\mathrm{rx}) \exp \left(-\mathrm{i} \Omega_{2} \mathrm{t}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where, $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ are appropriate angular frequencies and $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ is the modulus of the Jacobi elliptic functions. The long wave limits of (3) are the (double humped for waveguide A) solutions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\sqrt{6} r(\tanh r x \operatorname{sech} r x) \exp \left(i r^{2} t\right) \\
& B=\sqrt{6} r\left(\operatorname{sech}^{2} r x\right) \exp \left(4 \mathrm{ir}^{2} t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To derive (3) from (2) by the Hirota method, the folloing trilinear formulations must be used Eq. 4-5b:
$A=\frac{G}{F}, \quad B=\frac{H}{F}$
$\mathrm{F}\left\{\left(\mathrm{iD}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{F}\right\}+\mathrm{G}\left\{\mathrm{GG}^{*}+\mathrm{HH}^{*}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} \mathrm{~F} \cdot \mathrm{~F}\right\}=0$
$\mathrm{F}\left\{\left(\mathrm{iD}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{F}\right\}+\mathrm{H}\left\{\mathrm{GG}^{*}+\mathrm{HH}^{*}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} \mathrm{~F} \cdot \mathrm{~F}\right\}=0$

The bilinear decomposition, e.g. setting the second bracket to be zero in ( 5 a and b), cannot be taken. However, for an uncoupled CGLE (p, q complex), we can still apply the trilinear form to obtain the shock/front solutions which are in agreement with formulas obtained earlier in the literature (Nozaki and Bekki, 1984).

## RESULTS

We are ready to present our major results, namely, the analytical construction of some exact solutions of the nonlinearly coupled complex Ginzburg-Landau model. We employ the terminologies of nonlinear optics for discussion. Slowly varying amplitudes of the electric fields A and B will typically be governed by the nonlinearly coupled CGLEs Eq. 6:
$i A_{t}+p_{1} A_{x x}+\left(q_{1}|A|^{2}+q_{2}|B|^{2}\right) A=i \gamma_{1} A$
$i B_{t}+p_{2} B_{x x}+\left(q_{1}|B|^{2}+q_{2}|A|^{2}\right) B=i \gamma_{2} B$

The interpretations and physical significance of the various terms can now be explained. The real parts of the coefficients $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ denote the group velocity dispersion and the imaginary parts, if any, are associated with the physical effects of 'bandwidth limited amplification'. The real parts of the complex coefficients $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ account for the self- and crossphase modulations respectively, while the imaginary parts measure the nonlinear gain/loss. The linear gain/loss of the optical waveguides is given by the real coefficients $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$.

To rewrite $(6,7)$ in terms of the operator (1), we take Eq. 8:
$A=\frac{G}{f^{m}}, B=\frac{\exp [i \xi x-i \Omega t] H}{f^{n}}$
where, $G$ and $H$ are complex-valued functions, but $f$ is real-valued, while $m$ and $n$ are complex numbers of the specific form (in which $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are real) Eq. 9:
$m=1+i \alpha, n=1+i \beta$
Using the modified Hirota's bilinear operator (1), the two trilinear reductions of $(6,7)$ are determined as follows Eq. 10 and 11:
$\left.\mathrm{f}\left\{\mathrm{iD}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{x}}^{2}-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{1}\right) \mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{f}\right\}$
$+G\left\{q_{1} G G^{*}+q_{2} H H^{*}-\frac{m(m+1) p_{1} D_{x}^{2} f \cdot f}{2}\right\}=0$
$\left.\mathrm{f}\left\{\mathrm{iD}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{x}}^{2}+2 \mathrm{p}_{2} \xi \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{x}}+\Omega-\mathrm{p}_{2} \xi^{2}-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{2}\right) \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{f}\right\}$
$+\mathrm{H}\left\{\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{HH}^{*}+\mathrm{q}_{2} \mathrm{GG}^{*}-\frac{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1) \mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} \mathrm{f} \cdot \mathrm{f}}{2}\right\}=0$
The ' $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ' (without the first subscript) refers to the ordinary Hirota derivative, or $\mu=1$ in (1). We shall search for localized modes in A and shock/front in B. Next we assume expressions of the forms (in which $k$ and $\omega$ are complex) Eq. 12-14:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G=g \exp [k x-\omega t]  \tag{12}\\
& H=h \exp \left[\left(k+k^{*}\right) x-\left(\omega+\omega^{*}\right) t\right]  \tag{13}\\
& f=1+\exp \left[\left(k+k^{*}\right) x-\left(\omega+\omega^{*}\right) t\right] \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Then by equating the proper powers of the exponentials, we finally obtain the target system of six nonlinear Eq. 15-20:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{q}_{2} \mathrm{hh}^{*}=\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{gg}^{*}-\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{~m}+1) \mathrm{p}_{1}\left(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{*}\right)^{2}  \tag{15}\\
& \mathrm{i} \omega=\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{k}^{2}-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{1}  \tag{16}\\
& \mathrm{p}_{1}(\mathrm{~m}-1)\left(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{*}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}-\mathrm{p}_{1}^{*}\right) \mathrm{k}^{* 2}-2 \mathrm{i} \gamma_{1}+\frac{\mathrm{q}_{2} \mathrm{hh}^{*}}{\mathrm{~m}}=0  \tag{17}\\
& \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{hh}^{*}=\mathrm{q}_{2} \mathrm{gg}^{*}-\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1) \mathrm{p}_{2}\left(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{*}\right)^{2}  \tag{18}\\
& -\mathrm{i}\left(\omega+\omega^{*}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{2}\left(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{*}\right)^{2}  \tag{19}\\
& +2 \mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{i} \xi\left(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{*}\right)+\Omega-\mathrm{p}_{2} \xi^{2}-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{2}=0 \\
& \mathrm{i}\left(\omega+\omega^{*}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{2}\left(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{*}\right)^{2}(\mathrm{n}-2) \\
& \quad-2 \mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{i} \xi\left(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{*}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{hh}^{*}}{\mathrm{n}}=0 \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

We can regard (15-20) as six complex algebraic equations for the unknowns $\xi$ (real), $\Omega$ (real), $\alpha$ (real, or $m$ defined by (9)), $\beta$ (real, or $n$ defined by (9)), $\mathrm{gg}^{*}$ (real), hh* (real), k (complex), $\omega$ (complex), whereas the parameters $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}$ (complex, dispersion and bandwidth limited amplification), $\mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2}$ (complex, self/cross phase modulation and nonlinear gain/loss), $\gamma_{1}$, $\gamma_{2}$ (real, linear gain/loss) are the six coefficients given by the original equations of (6,7). In principle, $g$ and $h$ can be complex, but the system $(6,7)$ is invariant up to a complex phase factor and thus effectively only $\mathrm{gg}^{*}$, hh* matter in the final solutions. Generally speaking, locating all families of solutions for (15-20) is a huge undertaking. Specifically if we impose special conditions on $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2}$, this certainly permits significant analytical progress. In terms of physical meanings we are going to investigate the solitary pulse and kink pair solution. Finding such exact solutions for a solitary pulse-kink pair will be our goal in the following.

## DISCUSSION

Separating the real and imaginary parts the six complex equations of $(15-20)$ gives rise to a system of 12 nonlinear real equations for the real unknowns ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}$, $\left.\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}, \omega_{\mathrm{r},} \omega_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{gg}^{*}, \mathrm{hh}^{*}, \alpha, \beta, \xi, \Omega, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$. We remark that $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are treated with purpose as unknowns for the system and we define $\mathrm{k}:=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{i} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}, \omega:=\omega_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{i} \omega_{\mathrm{i}}$ to simplify the writing further. Not surprisingly, the above system is still too complicated and we need to do some algebraic simplifications before we plug this into the software Maple and try to find any possible exact solutions symbolically. Before we get into the details of the simplifications, we may observe that the simplest solution can easily be found by choosing that $\mathrm{q}_{2}=\mathrm{q}_{1}$
and $\mathrm{p}_{2}=\mathrm{p}_{1}$. From $(15,18)$ and the requirement that m , n be complex numbers with real part unity, the implication is $m=n$, or equivalently $\alpha=\beta$. Unfortunately, this parameter regime only gives a plane wave in x and does not yield a spatially localized solution. In order to locate the non-degenerate case where $\alpha \neq \beta$ we thoroughly investigated the 12 real equations and eventually made the following assumptions in order to make the algebra tractable. Now we confine our attention to Eq. 21:
$\mathrm{q}_{1}=-\mathrm{q}_{2}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{iq}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{p}_{2} / \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{p}_{1}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{ip}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \neq 0$
where, s is real.
Equations (15) and (18) imply that Eq. 22:
$\alpha \beta=-2$ and $s=\alpha^{2} / 2>0$

This means that $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{2}$ must be related to each other by a real, positive multiple. By writing the real and imaginary parts of (18) explicitly, we have a homogeneous system of two unknowns (hh*-gg*) and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}$. In order to have nontrivial solutions, we deduce the condition Eq. 23:

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \alpha\left(p_{r} q_{r}+p_{i} q_{i}\right)-\left(2-\alpha^{2}\right)\left(p_{r} q_{i}-p_{i} q_{r}\right)=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition determines the possible values of $\alpha$ whenever $p_{r}, p_{i}, q_{r}, q_{i}$ are given. Note that the product of roots is -2 , being consistent with (22).

Elimination of the angular frequency parameters yields the system of four real equations with six real unknowns ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$, hh* $^{*}, \xi, \alpha, \gamma_{1}$ ) Eq. 24-27:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\alpha q_{\mathrm{r}}-2 \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{hh}^{*} & -2\left(\alpha \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}-2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(4+\alpha^{2}\right) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}  \tag{24}\\
& +2 \alpha \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(4+\alpha^{2}\right) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} \xi=0
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hh}^{*} & -2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}+\left(3+\alpha^{2}\right) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}\right)+4 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}  \tag{25}\\
& -2 \alpha\left(\alpha \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}+2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} \xi-2 \gamma_{1}=0
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
-\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hh}^{*} & +2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}-\left(\alpha \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}\right)  \tag{27}\\
& +2 \alpha\left(2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}-\alpha \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}-2 \gamma_{1}=0
\end{align*}
$$

We note that solving the nonlinear system of (2427) by employing suitable computer software is our next primary goal. In fact we may solve this system by using the Groebner basis method in the software Maple.

The software will output several sets of common zeros of Groebner basis. Each set of common zeros of the Groebner basis is equivalent to the set of common zeros of the original set of polynomials. After some simplifications, the final result is ( $\gamma_{1}$ being arbitrary) Eq. 28-33:

$$
\begin{align*}
(2 \lambda \alpha+3 \mu)^{2}=9 \mu^{2}+8 \lambda^{2}, \mu & :=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}  \tag{28}\\
\lambda & =\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{r}}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}=\frac{4 \gamma_{1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{d}^{2}}{\Theta}, \quad \mathrm{~d}:=\mu\left(4+2 \alpha^{2}+\alpha^{4}\right)+\lambda \alpha\left(2-\alpha^{2}\right)$
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}=\frac{\gamma_{1} \alpha^{2}\left[2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{d}+\alpha\left(4+\alpha^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{r}}+\alpha \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right)\right]^{2}}{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \Theta}$

$$
\xi^{2}=\frac{\begin{array}{c}
4 \gamma_{1}\left(4+\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}\left[2 p_{i} \mu-\alpha^{2}\left(p_{\mathrm{r}}+\alpha p_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \lambda\right. \\
\left.-\alpha q_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{31}
\end{array}}{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \alpha^{2} \Theta}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hh}^{*}=\frac{8 \gamma_{1} \alpha^{2}\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)\left(4+\alpha^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d}}{\Theta} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Theta=\Theta\left(\alpha ; p_{r}, p_{i}, q_{r}, q_{i}\right) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{10} \phi_{j}\left(p_{r}, p_{i}, q_{r}, q_{i}\right) \alpha^{j}$
where, $\Theta$ is an auxiliary polynomial. The coefficients $\varphi_{\mathrm{j}}$ are given in terms of lengthy expressions listed in the Appendix in (Yee and Chow, 2010).

Some remarks for the exact solution given by (28-33) are listed in the following six items:

- $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is not zero. In the intermediate calculations the factor $p_{i}$ appears in the denominator and thus $p_{i}$ bounded away from zero becomes critical
- Each of $\alpha, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}, \xi$ may assume two possible values, one positive and one negative. We will use the notations: $\alpha^{+}, \alpha, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{+}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{-}, \xi^{+}, \xi^{-}$depending on whether they are positive or negative
- Recall the explicitly written six unknowns in the solution (28-33), the other six unknowns ( $\omega_{\mathrm{r}}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}$, $g g^{*}, \beta, \Omega, \gamma_{2}$ ) can then be computed accordingly. In fact, the other six unknowns are determined by solving the respective equation. The details are as follows:
$\beta$ is determined by (22),
$\gamma_{2}$ is determined by Eq. 34:
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hh}^{*}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(16 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+8 \alpha \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} \xi+\alpha^{2} \xi^{2}\right)-\gamma_{2}=0$
$\omega_{\mathrm{r}}$ is determined by Eq. 35:
$2 \alpha^{2} p_{i} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+2 \alpha^{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} \xi-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \xi^{2}-\gamma_{2}-2 \omega_{\mathrm{r}}=0$
$\omega_{i}$ is determined by Eq. 36:
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}\right)+2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}-\omega_{\mathrm{i}}=0$
gg* is determined by Eq. 37:
$-q_{r}\left(h^{*}+g g^{*}\right)+4\left(\left(2-\alpha^{2}\right) p_{r}-3 \alpha p_{i}\right) k_{r}^{2}=0$
$\Omega$ is determined by Eq. 38 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \alpha^{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}-2 \alpha^{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} \xi-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}} \xi^{2}+\Omega=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The computations show that $\omega_{\mathrm{r},} \omega_{\mathrm{i}}, \gamma_{2}$ and $\Omega$ may have two different expressions. They are denoted by $\omega_{\mathrm{r}}^{(1)}, \omega_{\mathrm{r}}^{(2)}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}^{(1)}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}^{(2)}, \gamma_{2}^{(1)}, \gamma_{2}^{(2)}, \Omega^{(1)}, \Omega^{(2)}:$

- Given the values of $p_{r}, p_{i}, q_{r}, q_{i}$, we find that only one member of the family of solutions $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{ \pm}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{ \pm}, \omega_{\mathrm{r}}^{(1,2)}, \omega_{\mathrm{i}}^{(1,2)}, \mathrm{gg}^{*}, \mathrm{hh}^{*}, \alpha^{ \pm}, \beta^{ \pm}, \xi^{ \pm}, \Omega^{(1,2)}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}^{(1,2)}\right)$, where $\gamma_{1}$ is arbitrary, will satisfy the original equations
- Given the values of $p_{r}, p_{i}, q_{r}, q_{i}$, the positiveness of $g g^{*}, h^{*}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}, \xi^{2}$ will determine the sign of $\alpha$ and the sign of $\gamma_{1}$ in the exact solution. Note that although the sign of $\gamma_{1}$ is restricted, this does not affect the arbitrariness of $\gamma_{1}$ (it is still a free parameter in the exact solution)
- The exact solution can finally be deduced by verifying the family of solutions with the original equations

As an illustrative example and with the assumptions made in (21) we have $\mathrm{q}_{2}=-\mathrm{q}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{2}=\mathrm{sp}_{1}$ $=\left(\alpha^{2} / 2\right) p_{1}$, where Eq. 39:
$\mathrm{p}_{1}=-2+\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{q}_{1}=-1+\mathrm{i}$

It is shown that an exact solution with a linear gain ( $\gamma_{1}>0$ ) can be chosen Eq. 40:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=-\frac{\sqrt{89}-9}{2}, \quad \beta=\frac{\sqrt{89}+9}{2} \\
& \gamma_{1}>0 \text { (arbitrary), } \\
& \gamma_{2}=-\frac{22 \gamma_{1}(3179005 \sqrt{89}-29990673)}{q} \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{q}:=76393585 \sqrt{89}-720695637 \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{k}=\left( \pm 18 \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{1}(7217-765 \sqrt{89})}{\mathrm{q}}}\right)+ \\
& \mathrm{i}\left(\mp \frac{\sqrt{89}-9}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{1}(7032969-745493 \sqrt{89})}{\mathrm{q}}}\right) \text {, } \\
& \omega=\left(\frac{90 \gamma_{1}(\sqrt{89}-9)^{2}(83 \sqrt{89}-783)}{\mathrm{q}}\right)+ \\
& \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{2 \gamma_{1}(59121955 \sqrt{89}-557755407)}{\mathrm{q}}\right) \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{gg}^{*}=\frac{1080 \gamma_{1}(191907 \sqrt{89}-1810447)}{\mathrm{q}}, \\
& {\left[h^{*}=\frac{270 \gamma_{1}(7217-765 \sqrt{89})(\sqrt{89}-9)^{2}}{\mathrm{q}},\right.}  \tag{40}\\
& \xi= \pm 4 \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{1}(3890169-412357 \sqrt{89})}{(\sqrt{89}-9)^{2} \mathrm{q}}}, \\
& \Omega=-\frac{4 \gamma_{1}(17697425 \sqrt{89}-166957173)}{q}
\end{align*}
$$

The above numerically represented exact solution is given by:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\alpha \approx-0.21699, \beta \approx 9.21699, \gamma_{1}>0 \quad \text { (arbitrary }\right), \\
\gamma_{2} \approx-1.66057 \gamma_{1}, \\
\mathrm{k} \approx\left( \pm 0.75805 \sqrt{\gamma_{1}}\right)+\mathrm{i}\left(\mp 0.19906 \sqrt{\gamma_{1}}\right), \\
\omega \approx\left(0.13855 \gamma_{1}\right)+\mathrm{i}\left(0.8 \gamma_{1}\right), \\
\mathrm{gg}^{*} \approx 7.3440 \gamma_{1}, \\
\mathrm{hh} * \approx 0.09018 \gamma_{1}, \\
\xi \approx \pm 5.34903 \sqrt{\gamma_{1}}, \\
\Omega \approx-0.8 \gamma_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $\gamma_{1}<0$, similar analysis can be performed and the corresponding analytical solutions can also be computed, but details will not be pursued here.

## CONCLUSION

A two-waveguide system including the gain/loss is governed by the coupled CGLEs and one model of nonlinear coupling is investigated in this study. A combination of phase locked 'localized pulse/front' solution has been investigated and such a pair is presented here via the use of trilinear equations with the Bekki-Nozaki modified Hirota operator (Nozaki and Bekki, 1984). Sets of algebraic equations defining the amplitude, phase, wave number and frequency of the bright (localized) soliton/kink pair are established, in conjunction with the basic properties of the nonlinear dissipative media, i.e., coefficients of the coupled CGLEs. The closed-form representations of the exact solutions, for the case where the dispersion coefficients are of same signs, are obtained analytically. Further sets of exact solutions, for the case where the dispersion coefficients are of different signs, can also be found.

Besides the search for analytical expressions for solitary waves, another crucial problem is the stability of the background. The stability of wave profiles is of crucial importance, since it determines if such patterns can be observed in an experiment. The stability of the theoretical solutions found will be studied by numerical simulations of perturbed wave profiles. To verify the numerical simulations, as well as to provide a deeper insight of the underlying physics, an order-ofmagnitude balance will be examined too. The simulations will hopefully provide a reasonable description of the nonlinear dynamics and simple scenarios for stability and instability of the pulse-front and front-front solutions will be studied. Future works along this approach will definitely be fruitful.
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