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Abstract: This paper presents a new approach to composite surface characterization using Image Histogram Analysis as a function of Gradient Field. Image sequences are weighed and combined in order to present a pattern change in the thermal response of a tested composite structure. Reaction Injection Molding samples used and subjected to thermal energy to characterize their surface uniformity and any existing damage. A threshold value is used for the purpose of segmenting and separating damaged from undamaged areas in the tested composite structure. Gradient field analysis established the critical time at which the surface started to show damage and segmented the tested images into areas of concern. The resulting histograms cover four main regions of interest according to the gradient intensities as a function of time. Each region is divided into eight subsections according to the corresponding limit value, resulting in thirty two subsections. Correlation between region gradient field and its histogram resulted in uncovering of surface deformities as a function of surface area thermal storage. The process is modeled mathematically.

Keywords: Histogram Equalization, Histogram Specification, Gradient Norm, Edge Detection, Gray Level Mapping, Information Entropy, Segmentation

Introduction

Image enhancement focuses on reducing image noise, removing artifacts and preserving details. Its purpose is to highlight certain image features for analysis, diagnosis, characterization and decision making. Histogram and Gradient analysis plays a crucial role in image processing applications for the purpose of better image visualization and details enhancements in order to achieve objectives such as segmentation and edge detection (Suganya et al., 2013; Zhou and Yicong, 2016; He Wen and Li, 2016; Cheolkon and Yang, 2016; Qiong et al., 2016).

Edge detection is popular technique used in digital image processing. The process comprises identifying and locating sharp discontinuities in an image. The boundaries of object surfaces discontinuities characterize boundaries of objects in an object. Edges in an image are a result of sudden change of multiple characteristics at pixel level. Such changes are observed as a function of color, texture, shade or light absorption (Huang et al., 2013; Nikolova et al., 2013; Nikolova and Steidl, 2014; Faridul et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2015). These characteristics enable estimating the size, orientation, depth and surface features in an image.

Histogram Analysis (HA) and Histogram Equalization (HE) algorithm is a known image enhancement algorithm. It affects the gray levels of an image according to the Probability Distribution Function (PDF) and Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) of the image and expands the dynamic range of the gray level distribution to enable better visualization and provide important statistical analysis.

HE assigns the intensity values of pixels in the input image such that the output image contains a uniform distribution of intensities. It alters the image contrast to obtain a uniform histogram. This technique can be used on different parts of an image. The HE technique usually increases the overall contrast of any image, depending on how close the image pixel values. Through this adjustment, the intensities can be distributed on the histogram as a function of the input intensities and the applied transformation function.
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HE accomplishes its task by spreading out the most frequent intensity values. The method is useful in images with backgrounds and foregrounds that are both bright or both dark. In particular, the method can lead to better visualization of structures.

Composites are now extensively being used for structural support. The overall properties of the composites are superior to those of the individual constituents. Advantages of composites over their conventional counterparts are the ability to meet diverse design requirements with significant weight savings as well as strength-to-weight ratio. Composite properties (e.g. stiffness, thermal expansion etc.) can be varied continuously over a broad range of values under the control of the designer. Common fiber reinforced composites are composed of fibers and a matrix. Fibers are the reinforcement and the main source of strength while matrix glues all the fibers together in shape and transfers stresses between the reinforcing fibers. The fibers carry the loads along their longitudinal directions. Sometimes, filler might be added to smooth the manufacturing process, impact special properties to the composites. Due to this, it is essential to illustrate and record the properties of these composites. The property of the fiber depends on its structure, changes in the morphology of fibre before and after the composite is subjected to external factors. Therefore it is important to have and analyze images of composites to establish their structural health and fitness for function (Usmantiagar et al., 2013; Ma and Liu, 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2016; Ashwini and Yuvaraju, 2016; Iskandarani, 2017).

Object appearance and shape can often be characterized by the distribution of intensity gradients or edge directions using Gradient Analysis (GA) without the need for accurate data regarding edge positions. Gradient strengths vary over a wide range owing to local variations in illumination and foreground-background contrast, so effective local contrast normalization turns out to be essential for good performance. The main objective in this feature extraction stage is to capture the shape of some structures. Local shape information can be described through gradient intensity and orientations. Histograms of gradients basically provide information about the occurrence of gradient orientations in a localized region of the images. Hence, they are able to characterize shapes in regions of concern (Santhi and Wakeha Banu, 2015; Randa and Rabab Farouk, 2015; Lidong et al., 2015; Honglie et al., 2015; Kuldeep et al., 2015; Xiangzhi, 2015; Wenda et al., 2014; Jing and Nor Ashidi, 2014; Mohammad Farhan et al., 2014b; 2014a; Kuldeep and Rajiv, 2014a; Chien-Cheng et al., 2014).

In this work, the process is applied to the thermally pulsed composite structure output images over periods of time, obtaining histograms of gradient directions or edge orientations over the pixels of each image. The use of gradient distribution specification intensity histogram for composite structure surface characterization is proposed instead of intensity histogram, which is proved previously to be invariant across many different types of images.

**Materials and Methods**

Reaction Injection Molding (RIM) composite components, which represents an enhanced type of fiberglass composite structures that can be tailored to meet the need of a specific application are shaped into rectangles (130×150 mm). Images obtained for all tested components pre and post impact using PVT technique. HA and GA analysis techniques are employed for feature extraction and characterization.

The resulting feature maps for tested samples are used to derive mathematical expression governing the behavior of the tested components under PVT. The resulting maps encoded into arrays of data to correlate Thermal Flow features with HA and GA features. The reference encoding feature map is shown in Fig. 1.

For Pulse Video Thermography (PVT) the equipment used consisted of heat source and thermal imaging system. The heat source is adequately fast pulse. Pulse obtained by discharging several Kilojoules of energy through each of two Xenon flash tubes, which are directed at the component under test. The thermal imaging part of the experiment was carried out using an advanced thermal camera. Each thermal event was recorded directly on a specific storage.

The main objective is to realize image segmentation through edge detection to obtain region growing and intensity information in order to detect structural irregularities. The used process comprised the following:

- Acquisition of a thermal image
- Conversion to Grey
- Histogram Generation
- Histogram Generation of Gradient Fields

**Fig. 1:** Encoding reference map
Results

Figure 2-5 show histogram mapping for a composite component subjected to thermal pulse with images taken over period of time to monitor thermal propagation and sample surface uniformity. The intervals are taken 5 minutes apart with the time interval between the first and second sampling point is 9 min as it took time for the sample to start discharging its thermally stored energy and hence to see any irregularities on the surface of within the structure. Figure 6-9 show histogram mapping for associated gradient fields, presenting the main gradient components of sampled thermal images.

Fig. 2: Histogram of a PVT image after 5 min

Fig. 3: Histogram of a PVT image after 14 min

Fig. 4: Histogram of a PVT image after 19 min
Fig. 5: Histogram of a PVT image after 24 min

Fig. 6: Histogram of gradient of PVT image after 5 min

Fig. 7: Histogram of gradient of PVT image after 14 min
Analysis and Discussion

Figure 10-17 show the detailed octet analysis of the time dependent thermal response of a composite structure. By analyzing the plots and cross-correlating the detailed thermal responses with gradient histograms, the results indicated that the critical time response that proves the presence of surface non-uniformity and/or surface damage is 14 min. At 14 min, an apparent segmentation and edge started to appear between the left and right sides of the sample due to thermal storage. This is proved through the accumulative array values in Table 1, where an edge is recorded at 14 min for arrays 3 through to 6, while surrounded by two arrays of increasing and two arrays of decreasing pixel populations. This is significant evidence that proves that the component has a problem such as damaged fiber-matrix and/or fiber-matrix density variation.

In addition and by cross-correlation between the analyzed histogram data and the gradient data in Fig. 6 to 9 and Table 2, segmentation is confirmed; whereby a gradient amplitude redistribution occurs, forming edges at t = 14, indicating the existence of two main thermally charged regions.

The results show modeling the dynamic response of the tested composite structure of the mentioned type as capacitive circuits with four main testing time points:

1. t = 5 min
2. t = 14 min
3. t = 19 min
4. t = 24 min
Fig. 10: Array 1 pixel distribution analysis

Fig. 11: Array 2 pixel distribution analysis

Fig. 12: Array 3 pixel distribution analysis

Fig. 13: Array 4 pixel distribution analysis
Fig. 14: Array 5 pixel distribution analysis

Fig. 15: Array 6 pixel distribution analysis

Fig. 16: Array 7 pixel distribution analysis

Fig. 17: Array 8 pixel distribution analysis
Table 1: Array-Pixel distribution as function of time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Array</th>
<th>5 min</th>
<th>14 min</th>
<th>19 min</th>
<th>24 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>4960</td>
<td>8406</td>
<td>11404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>10054</td>
<td>19851</td>
<td>25155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1657</td>
<td>6375</td>
<td>5108</td>
<td>1253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>4781</td>
<td>2437</td>
<td>1013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1495</td>
<td>3438</td>
<td>1519</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2484</td>
<td>2673</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3562</td>
<td>2393</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>30885</td>
<td>8526</td>
<td>3059</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Edge detection using gradient field data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gray level</th>
<th>Value at t = 5</th>
<th>Value at t = 14</th>
<th>Value at t = 19</th>
<th>Value at t = 24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>13488</td>
<td>11234</td>
<td>12600</td>
<td>13594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>2389</td>
<td>1384</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two main effects realized:

1. Thermal capacitive storage within the tested component
2. Segmentation and region forming (at 14 min) due to non-uniform composite structure and presence of damage within fiber-matrix system

This leads to the formation of capacitive areas charging-discharging in parallel and feeding each other, until all of the regions finally come to balance, with two separated regions representing two thermally capacitive sources that will start to discharge through the component over a period of time $T$.

Equation 1 describes the overall behavior of pixel distribution as a function of capacitive regions:

$$P_{\text{Total}} = \sum_{j=1}^{Q} P_{\text{region}_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Each region can be described by sub-arrays of pixel distribution as presented in Equation 2:

$$P_{\text{Total}} = \sum_{k=1}^{L} P_{(\text{Array}_k)\text{region}_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where:

$L$: Number of arrays containing gray level intensities and in this case, we have 8 arrays each comprising of 32 levels, giving 256 grey levels

$Q$: Number of capacitive regions formed upon testing within the composite structure and in this case two main segmented regions observed at $t = 14$ min and totally separated at $t = 19$ min

Until total charge or total discharge of thermal energy, each region will suffer dynamic and time related change in pixel population, which affects the expression in Equation 1 and results in Equation 3:

$$P_{\text{Total}} = \sum_{j=1}^{Q} \left( \frac{\Delta P_{\text{region}_j}}{\Delta t} \right) p(t)_{\text{region}_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

From Equation 1, Equation 3 becomes:

$$P_{\text{Total}} = \sum_{k=1}^{L} \left( \frac{\Delta P_{(\text{Array}_k)\text{region}_j}}{\Delta t} \right) p(t)_{(\text{Array}_k)\text{region}_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Using Equations 1 to 4 and obtained data, the following matrix is realized:

$$P(t)_{(\text{Array}_k)\text{region}_j} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1,1} & P_{1,2} & P_{1,3} & P_{1,4} \\ P_{2,1} & P_{2,2} & P_{2,3} & P_{2,4} \\ P_{3,1} & P_{3,2} & P_{3,3} & P_{3,4} \\ P_{4,1} & P_{4,2} & P_{4,3} & P_{4,4} \\ P_{5,1} & P_{5,2} & P_{5,3} & P_{5,4} \\ P_{6,1} & P_{6,2} & P_{6,3} & P_{6,4} \\ P_{7,1} & P_{7,2} & P_{7,3} & P_{7,4} \\ P_{8,1} & P_{8,2} & P_{8,3} & P_{8,4} \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where, $P_{n,m}$: pixel distribution in Array n, region m

Substituting the collected data values, normalizing by dividing with data values at $t = 14$ min, leads to the expression in 6:

$$P(t)_{(\text{Seg}) (\text{Array}_k)\text{region}_j} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.26 & 1.00 & 0.80 & 0.39 \\ 0.32 & 1.00 & 0.51 & 0.26 \\ 0.44 & 1.00 & 0.44 & 0.30 \\ 0.93 & 1.00 & 0.52 & 0.34 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
The values in 6 can be approximated and the segmentation band narrowed as shown in 7:

\[
p(t_{\text{seg}})_{\text{array, region}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.30 & 1.00 & 0.80 & 0.40 \\ 0.30 & 1.00 & 0.50 & 0.30 \\ 0.40 & 1.00 & 0.40 & 0.30 \end{bmatrix}
\] (7)

Removing the edge factors at \( t = 14 \) min results in the characterization matrix for the type and composition of the tested structure as given in Expression 8:

\[
p(14\text{min})_{\text{characterization}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.30 & 0.80 & 0.40 \\ 0.30 & 0.50 & 0.30 \\ 0.40 & 0.40 & 0.30 \end{bmatrix}
\] (8)

Removing the factors at \( t = 19 \) min at which time total separation of regions occurs results in Expression 9:

\[
p(19\text{min})_{\text{characterization}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.30 & 1.00 & 0.40 \\ 0.30 & 1.00 & 0.30 \\ 0.40 & 1.00 & 0.30 \end{bmatrix}
\] (9)

The noted values and realized symmetry along both diagonals and middle line is clear. It is a function of fiber matrix structure and indicates the presence of thermal storage areas, which points towards the existence of structural deformation.

**Conclusion**

The new technique is an excellent beginning to correlate both histogram analysis and gradient fields to uncover problems within composite structurers. Using Pulse Video Thermography and such techniques will lead to a reliable Non-Destructive Technique that can be applied across a wide range of industries, stating with automotive and ending with aerospace.
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