Wireless multi-hop relay networks have become very significant technologies in mobile communications. These networks ensure data rate transfer and coverage extension with a low cost. In this study two types of relay are studied; Fixed Relay Node (FRN) and Moving Relay Node (MRN). Where system analyses for uplink and downlink transmission are derived in this study. Moreover the optimal relay location of FRN was proposed to provide a maximum achievable rate at user in cell edge region. Finally, a new algorithm was suggested to balance and control on the transmitted power of MRN over cell size to provide the required SNR and throughput at the users inside vehicle with reducing the consumption transmitted relay power. Numerical results indicate an enhancement in received signal strength for users at the cell edge from (-90 to -65) dBm and 40% increment from all cell size after deploying FRN at proposed locations. As well as, the results revealed that there is saving nearly 75% from transmitted power in MRN after using proposed algorithm. ATDI simulator was used to verify the numerical results, which deals with real digital cartographic and standard formats for terrain.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Long-Term Evolution-Advanced (LTE-A) is the enhancing of the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) LTE, which is improves LTE features in terms of coverage and throughput (Jaafar et al., 2013; Kumaran et al., 2013). The relay is one of the major innovations of LTE-A, which extends the coverage and enhances throughput at the users within cell size. The basic idea of relaying is that the relay received the signals from source and forwarded these signal after amplification to the destination node.

On relaying scenarios, there are two types of relaying architectures: Fixed Relay Node (FRN) and Moving Relay Node (MRN). Where FRNs are deployed near cell edge to increase the coverage and enhancing the throughput at the users in this region. However, this improvement in coverage and throughput based on the relay placement which is provides fairness distribution of coverage within cell size as shown in Fig. 1.

MRN is same kind of functionality than the FRN but with the difference that they offer it while moving with the users. MRN is new innovation to improve the throughput for vehicular users at LTE-A networks where it can be deployed flexibly to increase the throughput for passengers in buses or trains over rural area in cases where FRNs are not available or not economically justifiable and the weak received signal from BSs (Bulakci, 2012; Gandhi and Narayanasamy, 2011).

MRN is installed on vehicle and connected wirelessly with the BS via relay link and with passengers via access links, so the MRN and passenger are called group mobility (Peters and Heath, 2009) as shown in Fig. 1. In fact, group mobility can be provided anywhere a large number of users are moving together during is using cellular network services.
The MRN makes these services more reliable, with the assumption that the relay link has a much better channel than regular UEs. The relay link antennas are high and thus have fewer obstacles in the path of the radio waves than regular UE-antenna (Katiyar and Bhattacharjee, 2010).

Moving relays are connected to external power source via a battery charger or have their own power supply unit. This allows MRNs to have a relatively high access to processing capabilities and to constant higher transmission powers.

Using MRN in cellular systems is still under discussion in the 3GPP LTE (Sui et al., 2012). Studies have shown that through deploying symmetrical and cooperative relays on top of trains, the Quality-of-Service (QoS) of a UE inside the vehicle can be significantly improved.

Most promising MRN such as relays on trains have a high capacity of passengers and most of them use mobile service and some even mobile broadband through movement.

Each car in the train can be installed with its individual relay node and these relay nodes can be interconnected with each other. The number of relay based on the relay node capability infrastructure. One of the key which is making the design of the MRN in trains simpler is the fact that the train movement can be predicted great easily. The train track information can be made available to the LTE-A-network (Prabha et al., 2014).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the description of proposed system mode with deriving of the multi-user of both FRN and MRN. Section 3 explains the Balancing Algorithm of transmitted power of MRN. Section 4 discusses the results and section 5 is the conclusion.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Half Duplex (HD) transmission mode at the relay node proposed in this scheme as shown in Fig. 2, where in general the received signal at each node is explained by (Sadek et al., 2010; Siraj and Bakar, 2012) Equation (1):

\[ Y =HX + n \]  

(1)

where, \( X \) is the transmitted symbol from BS, \( H \) is the coefficient channel between the source and the destination and \( n \) is the AWGN in the corresponding channels with variance \( \sigma_n \), i.e., \( n \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_n) \). Therefore the system performance could be explained by two schemes, fixed and mobility schemes.

2.1. Fixed Node Scheme

In this scheme all nodes; BS, FRN and UEq, are as fixed as where set of UEq is \( \{UE_1, UE_2, ..., UE_q\} \). The relay in HD mode cannot simultaneously transmit and receive. Thus, in time slot \([t_1]\) the relay receives information from both the BS and UEs, as shown in Fig. 2. Therefore the received signal, \( y_{RN}[t_1] \) can be written as Equation (2):

\[ y_{RN}[t_1] = H_k X[t_1] + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} H_{k,q} X[t_1] + n_{RN} \]  

(2)
where, \( q = 1, 2, 3 \ldots Q \), \( Q \) is the total number of UE and \( n_{RN} \) is the AWGN with variance \( \sigma_o \) as well as, the received signal at UE from BS via a direct link is Equation (3):

\[
y_{UE,q}[t] = H_{c,q}X[t]_q + n_{o}[t]
\]

(3)

While at the second slot \([t_2]\), the BS and UE\(_q\) receives the amplified signals transmitted from FRN as \(X_{RN}[t_2]\), with amplification factor \(\Psi\). In order to evaluate the value of this amplification factor, the signals in/out of relay will be derived, as following equations.

Firstly, for simplified let system consisted from FRN, UE and BS and all noise is equally, where \( n_{RN} = n_{UE} = n_{BS} = N_o \), therefore the received signals in relay at first time slot \(t_1\) is:

\[
y_{RN,t_1} = H_{A}X[t]_1 + H_{a}X_{UE}[t_1] + N_o
\]

(4)

Then the output signal from relay after amplification is Equation (5a and b):

\[
X_{RN,t_2} = \Psi y_{RN,t_1} + N_o
\]

(5a)

By substitution Equation (4), the resulting is:

\[
X_{RN,t_2} = \Psi(H_{A}X[t_1] + H_{a}X_{UE}[t_1] + N_o)
\]

(5b)

Taking Expectation function for two sides (Rizinski and Kafedziski, 2011) Equation (6 to 10):

\[
E[X_{rn,t_2}] = E[\Psi(H_{A}X[t_1] + H_{a}X_{UE}[t_1] + N_o)]
\]

(6)

\[
P_{rn} = \Psi^2[E[H_{A}X[t_1]]^2 + E[H_{a}X_{UE}[t_1]]^2 + E[N_o]^2]
\]

(7)

\[
P_{bn} = \Psi^2[H_{a}E[X[t_1]]^2 + H_{a}E[X_{UE}[t]]^2 + E[N_o]^2]
\]

(8)

\[
P_{sn} = \Psi^2[H_{A}E[X[t_1]]^2 + H_{a}E[X_{UE}[t]]^2 + E[N_o]^2]
\]

(9)

\[
\Psi = \sqrt{\frac{P_{rn}}{P_{bn}P_{sn}}} + P_{sn}
\]

(10)

To study the performance of system in detail, the uplink and downlink performance must be analysis, so the uplink transmitted signal from the relay to the Base Station (BS) can be represented as:

\[
y_{RN,t_2} = \Psi \Xi_{o} y_{BN,t_1} + N_o
\]

(11)

By substitution Equation (2) in Equation (11), the resulting is:

\[
y_{RN,t_2} = \Psi \Xi_{o} [H_{A}X[t_1] + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{Q}{Q} H_{a,q}X_{q,t_1} + n_{RN} + n_{BS}]
\]

(12)

At the uplink the BS receives two signals; via relay link and direct link, then the BS combines these signals from multi users and relay, therefore the Equation (12) will be as Equation (13):

\[
y_{RN,t_2} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{Q}{Q} H_{a,q}X_{q,t_1} + \Psi \Xi_{o} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} H_{a,q}X_{q,t_1} + \Psi \Xi_{o} n_{RN} + n_{BS}
\]

(13)
While downlink received signal at each q-UE via relay and direct link can be represented as:

\[ y_{\text{UE},q}[t] = H_{c,q}X[t] + \Psi H_{b,q}X[t] + \left( \Psi H_{b,q}H_{b,q}X[t] \right) + \Psi H_{b,q}n_{RN} + n_{\text{UE}} \]  

(14)

By substitution Equation (2) in Equation (14), the result is Equation (15):

\[ y_{\text{UE},q}[t] = H_{c,q}X[t] + \Psi H_{b,q}X[t] + \left( \Psi H_{b,q}H_{b,q}X[t] \right) + \Psi H_{b,q}n_{RN} + n_{\text{UE}} \]  

(15)

Each source node processes and cancels the self-interface term from the received signal (Chun and Park, 2012). Therefore, the resulting signals at BS and UE\(_{q}\) can be rewritten as Equation (16):

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{y}_{\text{BS}}[t] &= \left( \sum_{q=1}^{Q} H_{c,q}X_{q}[t] \right) + \Psi H_{b,q}X_{q}[t] + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \Psi H_{b,q}n_{RN_{q}} + n_{\text{BS}} \\
\hat{y}_{\text{UE}_{q}}[t] &= H_{c,q}X_{q}[t] + \left( \Psi H_{b,q}H_{b,q}X_{q}[t] \right) + \Psi H_{b,q}n_{RN_{q}} + n_{\text{UE}_{q}}
\end{align*}
\]

(16)

In addition, the signal at each q-user can be expressed as Equation (17):

\[ \hat{y}_{\text{UE}_{q}}[t] = H_{c,q}X_{q}[t] + \Psi H_{b,q}X_{q}[t] + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \Psi H_{b,q}n_{RN_{q}} + n_{\text{UE}_{q}} \]  

(17)

Assuming that the noise at all sources is equal No = n_{RN} = n_{BS} = n_{UE} = N_{0}, based on the above analysis, we can evaluate the instantaneous SNR in two ways (downlink and uplink) respectively as follows Equation (18 and 19):

\[ \rho_{\text{UE}_{q}} = \frac{P_{\text{BS}}|H_{c,q}|^{2}}{N_{0}} + \Psi \frac{P_{\text{BS}}|H_{b,q}|^{2}|H_{b,q}|^{2}}{\left( \psi |H_{b,q}|^{2} + 1 \right)N_{0}} \]  

(18)

\[ \rho_{\text{BS}} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{P_{\text{UE}_{q}}|H_{c,q}|^{2}}{N_{0}} + \Psi \frac{P_{\text{UE}_{q}}|H_{b,q}|^{2}}{\left( \psi |H_{b,q}|^{2} + 1 \right)N_{0}} \]  

(19)

Inserting Equation (10) in Equation (18), we can get the instantaneous SNR at UE\(_{q}\) as Equation (20):

\[ \rho_{\text{UE}_{q}} = \frac{P_{\text{UE}_{q}}|H_{c,q}|^{2}}{N_{0}} + \frac{P_{\text{BS}}|H_{c,q}|^{2}|H_{b,q}|^{2}}{P_{\text{BS}}|H_{c,q}|^{2} + 2P_{\text{BS}}|H_{b,q}|^{2} + N_{0}N_{0}} \]  

(20)

The achievable bit rates of the multi-hop node at each q\(^{th}\)-user is represented as Equation (21):

\[ R_{\text{UE}_{q}} = \frac{1}{2} \log_{2}(1 + \rho_{\text{UE}_{q}}) \]  

(21)

### 2.2. Optimum Relay Location at Cell

Outage is defined as the event in which the received signal to noise ratio SNR falls below a certain threshold \( \rho_{\text{th}} \). The received signals at relay via relay and access links are expressed as the following Equation (22 and 23):

\[ Y_{r,\text{RL}} = H_{c}X[t] + N_{0} \]  

(22)

\[ Y_{r,\text{UE}} = H_{c}X[t] + N_{0} \]  

(23)

The SNR at the relay link is Equation (24):

\[ \rho_{\text{RL}} = \frac{P_{r}|H_{c}|^{2}}{N_{0}} \]  

(24)

The SNR at the access link is Equation (25):

\[ \rho_{\text{access}} = \frac{P_{\text{UE}}|H_{c}|^{2}}{N_{0}} \]  

(25)

The outage probability of these links is defined as Equation (26 and 27):

\[ P_{r,\text{RL}} = P_{r}(\rho_{\text{RL}} < \rho_{\text{th}}) = \frac{\rho_{\text{th}}}{\rho_{\text{RL}}} \]  

(26)

\[ P_{r,\text{access}} = P_{r}(\rho_{\text{access}} < \rho_{\text{th}}) = \frac{\rho_{\text{th}}}{\rho_{\text{access}}} \]  

(27)

The outage probability for a multi-hop link can be expressed as Equation (28):

\[ P_{r,\text{MH}} = \frac{N_{0}}{P_{r}|H_{c}|^{2}} \left( \frac{N_{0}}{P_{r}|H_{c}|^{2}} + \frac{N_{0}}{P_{r}|H_{c}|^{2}} \right) \]  

(28)

The SNR is affected by the channel environment such as the distance between the transmitter and receiver, the fading state of the channel and noise and interference. Thus, the channel coefficient between the source and the destination can be defined as:

\[ |H|^{\alpha} = L(d)^{-\alpha} \]  

(29)

where, \( L = G_{t} h_{t}^{2} h_{r}^{2}, \) \( G_{t}, h_{t} \) and \( G_{r}, h_{r} \) are the gains and heights of the transmitter and receiver antennas,
respectively, whereas \( d \) is the distance between the source and destination, \( \alpha \) (typically \( \in [2-5] \)) is the path-loss exponent, which is dependent on the environment (Jaafar et al., 2013):

\[
P_{c,_{MHL}} = \frac{N_p \rho_n}{P_L d_n^{-\alpha}} + \frac{N_p \rho_n}{P_L N (R - d_n)^{-\alpha}} \tag{30}
\]

where, the \( R \) is radius of cell and \( d_{RN} \) is relay location from BS. The optimum location of the relay to all cell boundaries with respect to the SNR of two multi-hop links can be obtained by using the mathematics of convex optimization (Kumaran et al., 2013). Thus, taking the first derivative of Equation (30) with respect to relay location \( (d_{RN}) \) and setting it to zero, then Equation (31):

\[
\frac{\Delta P_{c,_{MHL}}}{\Delta d_{RN}} = \frac{N_p}{P_L} (R - d_{RN})^{(\alpha - 1)} - \frac{N_p}{P_L N} d_{RN}^{(\alpha - 1)} \tag{31}
\]

We can obtain the optimum location as Equation (32):

\[
d_{opt} = \frac{R (P_L)^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}}}{(P_L)^{\frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)}} + (P_L N)^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}}} \tag{32}
\]

### 2.3. Mobility Nodes Scheme

In the section, we proposed a mobility model with a HD mode. All UEs in vehicle are moving across of cell at different velocities. The performance and impact the group mobility in terms of SNR were analyzed within a two-way relay.

Based on the derived formulas of instantaneous SNR at direct and relay links in Section A, the group mobility for MRN and user has been derived in order to evaluating the system performance and reducing the transmitted power of MRN. Therefore both UEs and MRN are moving as group mobility across BS.

Typically, the instantaneous SNR changes according to environment of channel, such as the distance between the transmitter and receiver and fading state of the channel.

The user in vehicle receives two signals; via direct link and from MRN via relay link, therefore the combined SNR at UE is:

\[
\rho_{_{UX,q}}^{_{CM}} = \rho_{_{UX,q}}^{_{DL}} + \rho_{_{UX,q}}^{_{MRN}} \tag{33}
\]

By inserting Equation 29 in Equation 33 the result is Equation (34 and 35):

\[
\rho_{_{UX,q}}^{_{DL}} = \frac{P_L (d_n)^{-\alpha}}{N_O} \tag{34}
\]

\[
\rho_{_{UX,q}}^{_{MRN}} = \frac{P_L d_n^{-\alpha} |H_{k,q}^2|}{[P_L (d_n)^{-\alpha} + 2P_{EN} |H_{k,q}^2| + N_O]N_O} \tag{35}
\]

Intuitively the distance is function of velocity and time so Equation (36 to 39):

\[
\rho_{_{UX,q}}^{_{DL}} = \frac{P_L (V_{UE,T_{UE}})^{-\alpha}}{N_O} \tag{36}
\]

\[
\rho_{_{UX,q}}^{_{MRN}} = \frac{P_L (V_{MRN,T_{MRN}})^{-\alpha} |H_{k,q}^2|}{[P_L (V_{MRN,T_{MRN}})^{-\alpha} + 2P_{EN} |H_{k,q}^2| + N_O]N_O} \tag{37}
\]

\[
R_{_{UX,q}}^{_{MRN}} = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 (1 + \rho_{_{UX,q}}^{_{MRN}}) \tag{38}
\]

\[
R_{_{UX,q}}^{_{DL}} = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 (1 + \rho_{_{UX,q}}^{_{DL}}) \tag{39}
\]

where, \( d_n, d_{c,q} \) is the distance between BS to each MRN and UE\(_q\) respectively, while \( V_{UE}, T_{UE} \) is the velocity and time of UE\(_q\) at direct link, \( V_{MRN}, T_{MRN} \) is the velocity and time of MRN at relay link (i.e., vehicle velocity and time) and \( \rho_{_{UX,q}}^{_{MRN}}, \rho_{_{UX,q}}^{_{DL}} \) is SNR of UE within relay link and direct link respectively. \( R_{_{UX,q}}^{_{MRN}} \) is the achievable bit rate through group mobility. Equation (35) explains the impact SNR with variation of velocity for group mobility (MRN, UE\(_q\)).

### 2.4. Simulation Analysis

The propagation of a radio wave is a complex and less predictable process if the transmitter and receiver properties are considered in channel environment calculations. The process is subjected by reflection, diffraction and scattering, the intensities of which vary under different environments at different instances (Korowajczuk, 2011).

ATDI simulator was used to demonstrate the mathematical results for relay link improvement therefore the propagation model for this simulator between the nodes can be expressed as the following Equation (40):
Where:

\[ P_t = P + G_t + G_r - L_{\text{prop}} - L_t - L_{re} \quad [\text{dB}] \quad (40) \]

\[ L_{\text{prop}} = L_{\text{fsd}} + L_d + L_{\text{sp}} + L_{\text{gas}} + L_{\text{rain}} + L_{\text{clu}} \quad (41) \]

Where:

- \( L_{\text{fsd}} \) = Free space distance loss
- \( L_d \) = Diffraction loss
- \( L_{\text{sp}} \) = Sub path loss
- \( L_{\text{gas}} \) = Attenuation caused by atmospheric gas
- \( L_{\text{rain}} \) = Attenuation caused by hydrometeor scatter and
- \( L_{\text{clu}} \) = Cutter attenuation

This equation explains the link budget. The link budget is determined by all the gains and losses in the path, which is opposite the transmitted signal to reach the receiver. A link is created by three related communication entities: Transmitter, receiver and a channel between them. The medium introduces losses caused by suction in the received power, as shown in Fig. 3.

2.4. Power-Balancing Algorithm of MR in LTE-A Cellular Networks

The SNR at Equation (36 and 37) depends on the transmitted power and path loss between both transmitter and receiver, so, the proposed algorithm is balanced and controlled on the transmitted power of MRN over cell radius to achieve the required SNR and throughput at the users with mitigate the consumption in transmitted relay power.

Typically the coverage distribution close to BS is best than boundaries, therefore no need to consume additional power when the vehicle (i.e., train, bus, metro) pass near BS where there is a good SNR as shown in Fig. 4. The proposed algorithm depends on this idea as explained in Fig. 5.

Two constrain are proposed in algorithm:

\[ \text{minimize } P_{\text{SNR}} \]
\[ \text{subject } 0 < P_{\text{RN}} \leq P_{\text{max}} \]
\[ \rho_{\text{UE,q}} < \rho_{\max} \]
\[ \rho_{\text{UE,q}} \geq \rho_{\text{th}} \]

where, \( \rho_{\text{th}}, \rho_{\max} \) is the threshold and maximum required SNR at the UE.
Fig. 4. Train travelling across a one cell size

Fig. 5. Flowchart of proposed balancing power algorithm
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we present the numerical results of the two scenarios of FRN and group mobility of MRN which are formulated in sections 2 and section 3. The system parameters were chosen based on Table 1 (3GPP, 2010). Figure 6 shows the bit rate at the downlink versus the SNR at the direct and multi-hop links. The multi-hop link enhanced and increased the bit rate and SNR at the downlink than direct transmission, That’s where the relay strengthens the signal transmitted to the user as shown in Fig. 6.

Figure 7 illustrates the received signal strength at user with variation distance from BS. The proposed optimal location of FRN is 68% from cell radius. This location achieved the enhancing in received signal strength from -87 to -65 dBm, which provides increasing the number of active users at cell edge region as shown in Fig. 7.

The results in Fig. 8 explain the transmitted power saving for MRN when moves across cell size, where there is saving power nearly 60% without deploy FRN and nearly 75% after deployment six relays each one with (5) watt at distance 68% from cell radius (i.e., 1700 m from BS).

Figure 9 explains the throughput enhancement at users in vehicle with different velocities of vehicle. This figure demonstrates the enhancement in throughput at users with FRN deployment around central BS. On other hand this enhancing will be better with using MRN above vehicle. However, increasing the velocity of vehicle reduces throughout in group mobility as illustrated in Fig. 9.

The coverage area distribution over real map with terrains is illustrated in Fig. 10, by using ATDI Radio planning software with 20 m map resolution, where is clear that the coverage area at the centre is better than cell boundary, also the improvement in the coverage area by deployment six FRN at 1700 m from BS, near the cell edge as shown in Fig. 10.

Table 1. Simulation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrier frequency</td>
<td>2G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band width</td>
<td>2M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of BS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna height of the BS</td>
<td>25(m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS antenna gain</td>
<td>17 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Tx. power of BS</td>
<td>46 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path loss exponent (α)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of FRNs</td>
<td>1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna height of the relay</td>
<td>3 m (above train or bus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for MRN and 25 m for FRN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN-UE antenna gain</td>
<td>5 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN-BS antenna gain</td>
<td>7 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise figure of RN</td>
<td>5 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna height of the UE</td>
<td>1.5 m (not mobility)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna gain of UE</td>
<td>0dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise figure of UE</td>
<td>6 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_\text{th} )</td>
<td>30 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_\text{max} )</td>
<td>45 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{\text{RN,max}} )</td>
<td>40 dBm,10w</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 6. Bit rate and SNR enhancements between direct and relay links](image-url)
Fig. 7. Improvement in received signal strength for proposed FRN Location

Fig. 8. Reducing the power consumption of MRN
Fig. 9. Throughput enhancement with changing velocity of vehicle

Fig. 10. Coverage extension of downlink signal distribution of BS and six FRN deployed around BS within first tier (six BSs around main BS)

4. CONCLUSION

In this study, we introduced performance analyzes two scenarios for FRNs and MRN with a half-duplex mode in a cell. We studied the performance of multi-user with FRN deployment with uplink and downlink styles. Moreover, the optimal location of FRN within cell has been derived in order providing maximum achievable rate within cell boundaries. Finally, we proposed a balancing power algorithm for MRN which is balance of
the transmitted power over cell size to achieve the required SNR and throughput at the users with reducing the consumption transmitted relay power. The numerical results explained there are enhancement in received signal strength and throughput at users after FRN deployment according to proposed location. In addition there is saving nearly 75% from transmitted power by relay after using proposed algorithm.
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