ERCP Related Sepsis
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Abstract: Endoscopic procedures performed worldwide have increased considerably in recent years. In addition to diagnosis, many cases previously necessitating surgery now often can be carried out by endoscopic techniques. However, endoscopy has introduced its own infection risk. Most reports of gastrointestinal endoscopy-associated infection describe sepsis after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) in patients with biliary tract obstruction. During this study, which was conducted by Infection Control Committee of Ankara University Hospital, 13 out of 1147 (1.1%) and 17 out of 922 patients (1.8%) had ERCP related sepsis in 2002 and 2003 respectively, at the ERCP Unit of Gastroenterology Department. Sepsis-caused mortality were 23.1 and 29.4% in 2002 and 2003 respectively. The first four causative agents were *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas spp*, *Klebsiella spp* and *Enterobacter spp*. Type and frequency of the causative agents remind that both endogenous and exogenous mechanisms are together responsible for the infections emerging from ERCP Unit. Besides, increasing isolation of *Pseudomonas* spp. brings out the strong need for re-evaluation of infection control measures, mainly effective cleansing and disinfection of endoscopes.
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INTRODUCTION

The considerable increase in endoscopic procedures performed worldwide in recent years has also introduced serious infection control issues. Endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography (ERCP) is the most risky diagnostic and therapeutic gastrointestinal endoscopic procedure. As techniques develop, endoscopes and accessories become more complicated, resulting in augmentation of problems concerning cleansing and disinfection of the equipment\(^\text{1-3}\). Unfortunately, there are no studies analyzing the individual data about ERCP related infections of several ERCP units in Turkey. The aims of this study are to assess the patients having ERCP related sepsis after the procedure during the hospitalization period in Gastroenterology Department of Ankara University Hospital, also concerning the causative agents and to re-evaluate infection control measures in the light of the findings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

One-thousand one hundred and forty-seven and 922 procedures have been performed in ERCP Unit of Gastroenterology Department of Ankara University Hospital during 2002 and 2003 respectively. Patients hospitalized in the same institution were observed for hospital infection.

It’s known that, sepsis occurring 48-72 hours after admittance to the hospital or after any procedure is accepted nosocomial\(^\text{4-5}\). Diagnosis of ERCP related sepsis was based on this fact.

Microbiological analysis were fulfilled in Central Bacteriology Laboratory at the campus and isolated microorganisms were identified by using standard methods\(^\text{6}\).

RESULTS

During this study which was conducted by Infection Control Committee of Ankara University Hospital, 13 out of 1147 (1.1%) and 17 out of 922 patients (1.8%) had ERCP related sepsis in 2002 and 2003 respectively, at the ERCP Unit of Gastroenterology Department.

Individuals diagnosed ERCP related sepsis (having no other infection) were recorded as a subgroup of primary bacteremia in the surveillance.

Causative agents are listed in the Table 1. As two patients in 2002 had polimicrobial bacteremia, total number of isolation is 15.

Three patients in 2002 and 5 patients in 2003 died because of serious infections. That is, mortality rates arising from ERCP related sepsis were 23.1% in 2002 and 29.4% in 2003.

DISCUSSION

Surveillance of the subjects undergoing endoscopy is important in order to disclose the risk of infection. However, out-patient-basis of the procedure and long incubation period of certain infections (hepatitis B and tuberculosis), creates difficulties in follow-up and causal relationship, resulting in underestimate of real
proportion of several infections. Bacterial infections with swift and dramatic outcome (such as ERCP related sepsis) are diagnosed easily and more, but large trials concerning ERCP related infections are limited. A study indicated that 9 of 179 patients (5.2%) had sepsis, bacteremia mostly accompanying therapeutic procedures and bile duct obstruction[7].

Another trial consisting 2010 individuals undergoing ERCP indicated that 51 (2.5%) of them had sepsis. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* being the most isolated agent. Alvey[n]^\(^[8]\) concluded that the wide range of sepsis complicating ERCP (between 0.16%-16%) was a result of different sampling and culturing methods[^9].

In this study, 13 out of 1147 (1.1%) and 17 out of 922 (1.8%) patients undergoing ERCP during 2002 and 2003 respectively in Gastroenterology Section of Ankara University had ERCP related sepsis.

Endoscopy / ERCP related infections may be endogenous and may exogenously spread through improperly cleansed or disinfected endoscopes as well. Endogenous source is the intestinal flora and generally *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococci* are isolated. Bacteria that can easily proliferate in moist, such as *Pseudomonas*, *Klebsiella* and *Enterobacter* species, are frequently the causal agent of exogenous infections[1-4,10,11]. As shown in the Table 1, dissemination of the agents points at both exogenous and endogenous mechanisms. On the other hand, increase in the number of active endoscopes to carry out the daily activity were emphasized[10,13]. Among these, following effective mechanical cleansing, immersing the endoscope into 2% glutaraldehyte solution for 20 minutes to achieve disinfection was stressed[16]. Besides, sterilization of catheters by ethylene oxide and immersion of biopsy forceps into glutaraldehyte solution for a certain time period after effective cleansing were suggested[16].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causative microorganisms</th>
<th>Patients (n) - 2002</th>
<th>Patients (n) - 2003</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas</em> spp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella</em> and <em>Enterobacter</em> spp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Methicillin resistant S. aureus</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterococcus</em> spp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coagulase negative staphylococci</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another striking result is that *Methicillin resistant S. aureus* (MRSA) is the fourth frequent agent isolated. All the four patients with MRSA had no other infection, previous operation or another invasive procedure. As a result, sepsis was accepted ERCP related, having MRSA as causal agent. As the number of staff participating ERCP procedure was low and didn’t change for a long time and there was not any cumulation (long time periods in between the cases), the staff was not evaluated in terms of MRSA carrier-state. In future years, during MRSA isolation periods, assessment of the unit staff for the same purpose is planned.

The absence of any fungal agent seems something beneficial, but this might change when the number of the subjects increase.

In the light of these results, the vital role of infection control measures during and after ERCP, that may cause serious complications and mortality, should be emphasized. Main problems mentioned in the literature are inadequate and/or improper cleansing and disinfection (narrow and long tubular structures of the equipment makes it difficult), unsuitable disinfectants and biofilm layer produced by some microorganisms[^14-8,11]. As many institutes in Turkey confront the same issues, we’d like to share our experience (as ICC of Ankara University Hospital). Driven by data obtained, several suggestions have been made to the department. First, the need of adequate room for disinfection of the endoscopes as well as the optimum number of active endoscopes to carry out the daily activity were emphasized[^12,13]. Among these, necessary coordination for these precautions was fulfilled by the ICC.

**ENDOSCOPY / ERCP related infections may be endogenous and may exogenously spread through improperly cleansed or disinfected endoscopes as well.**
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