Phenanthrene Contaminated Soil Biotreatment Using Slurry Phase Bioreactor
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Abstract: Problem Statement: Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) are suspected toxins that accumulate in soils and sediments due to their insolubility in water and lack of volatility. Slurry-phase biological treatment is one of the innovative technologies that involve the controlled treatment of excavated soil in a bioreactor. Due to highly soil contamination from petroleum compounds in crude oil extraction and also oil refinery sites in Iran, this research was designed based on slurry phase biotreatment to find out a solution to decontamination of oil compounds polluted sites. Approach: Soil samples were collected from Tehran oil refinery site and Bushehr oil zones. Two compositions of soils (clay and silt) were selected for slurry biotreatment experiment. Soil samples were contaminated with three rates of phenanthrene (a 3 ring PAH), 100, 500 and 1000 mg kg$^{-1}$ and mixed with distilled water in solid concentration of 30% by weight after washing out with strong solvent (hexane) and putting in to the oven. Bacterial consortium was revived in culture medium which consisted of Mineral Salt Medium (MSM) based on phenanthrene concentrations and ratio of C/N/P in the range of 100/10/2. Prepared soil samples were mixed with distilled water, nutrient and bacterial consortium together in the 250 mL glass Erlenmeyer and putted in the shaker incubator with 200 rpm revolutions and 25°C for 7 weeks (45 days). Samples were analyzed for residual phenanthrene, bacterial population every week. For statistical analysis, general linear model with repeated measures (type III) analysis was applied. Results: The concentration of 100 mg L$^{-1}$ of phenanthrene in clayey and silty soils reached to non detectable limit after 5 and 6 weeks, respectively. While concentration of 500 mg L$^{-1}$ of phenanthrene both in clayey and silty soils reached to non detectable limit after 6 weeks. But concentration of 1000 mg L$^{-1}$ both in clayey and silty soil samples has not met this limitation after 7 weeks. Due to presence of Pseudomonas strains in clayey soil samples and their ability in breaking down of benzene rings, the removal efficiency of phenanthrene in our slurry bioreactor in clayey soil was a little more than silty soil samples over time. There was a significance relationship between initial concentrations of phenanthrene and type of soil with time of biotreatment (p<0.001). Conclusion: Therefore, this technology may be applied to remediation of small foot print oil contaminated sites, e.g., gas station, oil extraction and refinery sites in Iran, in the case of urgency. Thus this study concludes that the remediation of phenanthrene with concentration up to 1000 mg kg$^{-1}$ in the oil contaminated sites can be removed to the acceptable limits using slurry based system.
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INTRODUCTION

The fate of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in nature is of great environmental concern due to their toxic, mutagenic and carcinogenic properties. A major decomposition process of PAHs in the environment is microbial degradation$^{[1,2]}$. PAHs are relatively persistent and recalcitrant in soils and are more difficult to be degraded than many other organic contaminants under natural conditions. There is an increasing interest in the cleaning of soil contaminated with organic compounds using bioremediation$^{[3]}$. 
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Bioremediation has become an intensive area for research and, as result; rapid progress has been made in developing effective microbial bioremediation processes\(^4\).

Individual microorganisms can metabolize only a limited range of hydrocarbon substrates, so assemblages of mixed populations with overall broad enzymatic capacities are required to bring the rate and extent of petroleum biodegradation further. Microbial populations that consist of strains that belong to various genera have been detected in petroleum-contaminated soil or water\(^5\). This strongly suggests that each strain or genera have their roles in the hydrocarbon transformation processes.

There are several treatment methods for PAHs contaminated soils including: incineration, fixation, thermal desorption, electro-kinetics, stabilization/solidification, solvent extraction wet oxidation, landfill and bioremediation. Bioremediation is the only practical consideration for complete degradation of organic contaminants\(^6\).

Aqueous slurry is created by combining soil, sediment, or sludge with water and other additives. The slurry is mixed to keep solids suspended and microorganisms in contact with the soil contaminants. Upon completion of the process, the slurry is dewatered and the treated soil is disposed off\(^7\).

In this study the focus was on phenanthrene soil remediation using slurry phase bioreactor in bench scale.

**Background:** Slurry-phase biological treatment is one the innovative technology that involves the controlled treatment of excavated soil in a bioreactor. The excavated soil is the first processed to physically separate stones and rubbles. The soil is then mixed with water to a predetermined concentration dependent upon the concentration of the contaminants, the rate of biodegradation and the physical nature of the soil. In some processes, concentrations of the contaminants occurring by pre-wash the soil. Then, clean sand may be discharged, leaving only contaminated fines and wash water for biotreatment. Typically, the slurry contains 10-40% solids by weight\(^7\). Slurry-phase bioreactors have been successfully used to remediate soils and sludge contaminated with explosives, petroleum hydrocarbons, petrochemicals, solvents, pesticides, wood preservatives and other organic chemicals. Slurry-phase bioreactors are favored over in situ biological techniques for heterogeneous soils, low permeability soils, areas where underlying groundwater would be difficult to capture, or when faster treatment time is required\(^7,8\).

In slurry phase bioreactor the contaminated soil is maintained in suspension in a reactor vessel and mixed with nutrients. If necessary, an acid or an alkali may be added to control pH. Microorganisms also may be added if a suitable population is not present. When biodegradation is complete, the soil slurry is dewatered. Dewatering devices may include clarifiers, pressure filters, vacuum filters, sand drying beds, or centrifuges\(^8\).

Treatment costs using slurry reactors range from $130-$200 per cubic meter. Costs ranging from $160-$210 per cubic meter are incurred when the slurry-bioreactor off-gas has to be further treated because of the presence of volatile compounds\(^8\).

The following factors may limit the applicability and effectiveness of the process:

- Excavation of contaminated soils is required
- Sizing of materials prior to putting them into the reactor can be difficult and expensive; nonhomogeneous soils can create serious materials-handling problems
- Dewatering soil fines after treatment can be expensive
- An acceptable method for disposing of non-recycled wastewaters is required

Slurry-phase bioreactors may be classified as short-to medium-term technologies. The duration of operation and maintenance is dependent on the following conditions:

- Concentration of contaminants
- Temperature of reactor
- Nutrient concentration
- Proper aeration (for aerobic bioreactors)\(^7\).

There are many environmental and health concerns when dealing with soil remediation. When soil is contaminated, it can not be used for any purposes, therefore the focus will be on ways to either remove or significantly reduce the amount of pollutant.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Initial microbial isolation from contaminated soils was carried out using two oil contaminated soil samples which were collected from: (1) Tehran oil refinery site and (2) Bushehr oil zones. Samples were collected in the range of 3-4 kg from surface and 10 cm deep layer of petroleum contaminated soil. Prior to conducting any analysis on collected soils, the coarse pieces e.g., stones
and debris were separated and the remaining were mixed well. The sub samples were kept cold (3-5)°C for isolation of microorganisms. Microbial analyses were conducted within 24-48 h after sampling.

Soil sieve analysis carried out based on Unified Classification\(^9\). As the collected soil samples had a different composition, therefore, two soil compositions were selected for this research (i.e., clay and silt).

Initial mix consortiums isolation was done by mixing of 1g soil with 10 mL of sterile Na\(_2\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\) solution with 0.025 M (2.8 g L\(^{-1}\)) in 50 mL sterile Erlenmeyer which was mixed by a magnetic shaker for 2h in 250 rpm\(^10\). The soil particles were allowed to settle for 30 min. The supernatant was diluted and plated on solid media. Microbial analysis was conducted both for fungi and bacteria.

After isolation and dilution of the contaminated specimens by 10\(^{-3}\)-10\(^{-5}\) times with sterile distilled water, they were plated on solid media, e.g., HPC (Heterotrophic Plate Count Agar) for bacterial analysis. Bacterial populations were detected as CFU (Colony Forming Unit) per gram of soil\(^{11}\). For identification and isolation of individual bacteria confirmative series tests and also Analytical Profile Index (API) kit tests were applied\(^{12}\). In this study phenanthrene (C\(_{14}\)H\(_{10}\)) was selected as a PAH representative compound.

An HPLC with UV detector and PAH analytical column (Ultra Sep ES PAH QC Speica, 60×2 mM ID) was employed for the analysis of residual phenanthrene in the contaminated soils\(^{13}\). Samples were extracted by acetonitrile in the Ultrasonic bath cleaner (modification of EPA methods of 3550, 8310)\(^{14,15}\).

Extraction of phenanthrene was conducted using ultrasonic machine. In this procedure 1 g of the contaminated soil was dried at air temperature and after sieving (using sieve with mesh #50), was suspended in 10 mL of acetonitrile and extracted by ultrasonic bath at 40-50°C for 1hr. Extracts were settled for 10 min and then centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 15 min. Elutes were filtered by 25 mM in diameter, 2\(\mu\)m in pore size, PTFE membrane filter and submitted to HPLC-UVD analysis\(^{16}\).

After enrichment of isolated bacteria consortium from two contaminated soil samples, two different mixed cultures were adapted to different concentrations of phenanthrene (e.g., 10, 50 and 100 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Enrichment procedure was repeated for 8 times. After a period of two months, bacterial population numeration and phenanthrene residual concentrations were analyzed. Results showed a reasonable reduction of phenanthrene with bacterial population of 10\(^5\)-10\(^8\) CFU g\(^{-1}\) in all samples.
Table 1: Initial characteristics of contaminated soil samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Tehran oil refinery</th>
<th>Bushehr oil zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial phenanthrene rate (mg kg(^{-1}))</td>
<td>60.000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbial population (CFU)</td>
<td>8.5×10(^4)</td>
<td>3×10(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel (%)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td>90.000</td>
<td>91.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>6.400</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.800</td>
<td>7.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon (%)</td>
<td>7.890</td>
<td>7.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density (g mL(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniformity coefficient (Uc)</td>
<td>3.700</td>
<td>1.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of curvature (Cc)</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective size (mM)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of soil *</td>
<td>SP-SC</td>
<td>SP-SM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SP-SC: Clayey-sand, poorly graded sand-clay mixture SP-SM: Silty-sand, Poorly graded sand-silt mixture

Fig. 2: Removal of phenanthrene in clayey contaminated soil over time

Removal of phenanthrene with different concentrations in clayey and silty contaminated soils in slurry phase bioreactor over time is depicted in Fig. 2 and 3, respectively. According to Fig. 2 and 3 concentration of 100 and 500 mg L\(^{-1}\) of phenanthrene in clayey soil reached to non detectable limit after 5 and 7 weeks, respectively. This condition was pretty different for silty soil, as in this case concentration of 100 and 500 mg L\(^{-1}\) of phenanthrene in silty soil reached to non detectable limit after 6 and 7 weeks, respectively. But concentration of 1000 mg L\(^{-1}\) both in clayey and silty soil samples has not met this limitation after 7 weeks.

Figures 4 and 5 shows the bacterial population growth changes during operation of slurry phase bioreactor over time in clayey and silty contaminated soils, respectively. Initial numeration of bacterial consortium in the first day of operation was determined at around 10\(^6\) CFU g\(^{-1}\) in either clayey or silty soils.

Fig. 4: Bacterial population changes in clayey contaminated soil over time

During the first week of bioreactor operation, bacterial population decreased to around 10\(^6\) CFU g\(^{-1}\) but in the end of second week it increased to around 10\(^7\) CFU g\(^{-1}\), in both soil samples. After this time and until the end of bioreactor operation, bacterial population growth reduced constantly to less than 10\(^5\) CFU g\(^{-1}\) in the clayey soil sample (Fig. 4) and less than 10\(^5\) CFU g\(^{-1}\) in the silty soil sample (Fig. 5).

Average biotreatment of phenanthrene with different concentration in slurry bioreactor during 7 week bioremediation is shown in Fig. 6. With respect to Fig. 6 smaller concentration of phenanthrene has a high removal efficiency compared with higher concentration.

Average removal efficiency of phenanthrene in clayey and silty soil samples in slurry phase bioreactor versus time of biotreatment is shown in Fig. 7.
DISCUSSION

Results from slurry bioreactor depicted that the overall biotreatment of lower concentrations of phenanthrene occurs faster than higher concentrations in both clayey and silty soils. As shown in Fig. 2, 3 and 6, max removal of phenanthrene in different concentrations in slurry bioreactor occurs in the first 3 weeks of the bioremediation in clayey and silty soil samples, due to availability of phenanthrene in the dissolved condition and rapid growth of the bacterial population. Cookson[19], demonstrated that the maximum removal efficiency (around 96%) of PAH compounds in the slurry bioreactor take place after two weeks. In this research the types of soils have not specified. Using of a slurry phase bioreactor for remediation of PAH compounds, Jerger and Pad[20] reached up to 93% removal efficiency.

Phenanthrene reduction over time of in slurry bioremediation in both clayey and silty soils during first 4 weeks of remediation complies of first order reaction model but after that until to end of week 7, removal of phenanthrene similar to zero order reaction model (Fig. 2 and 3).

In order to evaluation of concentration effect on removal efficiency of phenanthrene over time of remediation, General Linear Model with Repeated Measures analysis was applied. Table 2 shows result of this statistical analysis. According to this Table there is a significant relationship between different concentration in clayey and silty soils types with removal efficiency of phenanthrene in the slurry phase bioreactor (p-value<0.001).

In this research, average removal efficiency of phenanthrene in slurry bioreactor in clayey and silty soil samples during 45 days of biotreatment estimated up to 90% (Fig. 7). Slurry reactor studies have been used to evaluate the treatability of BTEX and PAH contaminated soil[21], crude oil and refined petroleum products[22] and oil-contaminated sandy soil[23]. For example, Irvine et al.[24] demonstrated the successful use of a soil slurry batch reactor for biotreatment of soils contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons. They observed Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) removal efficiencies greater than 96% in slurry reactors supplemented with nutrients[24].
Bacterial analyses using confirmative series tests and API 20E kit tests showed that the dominant bacterial populations in soil samples belonged to *Pseudomonas*, *Serratia* and *Bacillus* in clayey soil and *Micrococcus*, *Serratia* and *Bacillus* strains in silty soil, respectively. Based on the literatures review the most important bacteria in degrading the PAHs compounds in contaminated soils under aerobic conditions belong to *Pseudomonas* strains\(^1,3,25,26\). That is why, in our slurry bioreactor the removal efficiency of phenanthrene in clayey soil was a little more than silty soil samples over time.

Evaluation of the effect of different concentrations on removal efficiency of phenanthrene over time showed the control of pH, nutrient amendment, aeration condition and well mixing of samples in the slurry bioreactor are important in order to achieve proper removal efficiencies. Therefore, this technology may be applied to remediation of small foot print oil contaminated soils in the case of urgency.
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