Inefficacy of Topical Diclofenac in Arthritic Horses
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Abstract: The objective of the present study was test the anti-inflammatory efficacy of diclofenac diethylamine, applied epicutaneously at a dose rate of 0.44 mg kg\(^{-1}\), in a model of acute arthritis in horses. Four clinical end-points, as well as two biochemical markers, were used as surrogate markers of the required clinical response (analgesia, anti-inflammatory). Low diclofenac concentrations were measured in blood (\(C_{\text{max}} 0.04±0.03\) ug mL\(^{-1}\)) and synovial fluid (\(C_{\text{max}} 0.08±0.08\) ug mL\(^{-1}\)) from the first to the last sampling time. The statistical comparison of the clinical end-points and biochemical markers between placebo and diclofenac treated group indicated a lack of pharmacological effect of this compound after epicutaneous administration.
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INTRODUCTION

Arthritis is the commonest joint disease in sport horses. Its therapy is directed towards the provision of analgesia, limitation of inflammation and limitation of the damage to joint tissues.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are routinely used in horses for the treatment of pain and inflammation[1]. These drugs are usually administered orally or by injection. Although, systemic administration has many disadvantages such as, toxic effects (gastrointestinal and renal toxicity) mainly attributed to COX-1 inhibition.

The treatment of a joint condition through topical drug administration led to better drug targeting, mainly, the synovial fluid[2,3]. Topical administration of NSAIDs provides the advantage of achieving a therapeutic effect without the risk of potential severe systemic side effects that may be associated with systemic administration.

Diclofenac, a phenylacetic acid class NSAID, is an effective analgesic[4], with potent COX inhibitory activity that is administered extensively for the treatment of various arthritic conditions in man[5]. However, there are not many reports about the anti-inflammatory effects of diclofenac in horses. In addition, the anti-inflammatory effects of diclofenac applied topically have not been studied in horses suffering acute arthritis.

The effectiveness of a topical formulation depends on the ability of the formulation to dispense effective concentrations of drug at the biophase. The two major pathways of drug transport into and across skin are: (a) the transepidermal route across the stratum corneum and (b) the transfollicular route via the pilosebaceous units and shunts.

Drug penetration could be affected by many factors including, skin thickness, chemical structure of the active principle, anatomical region and qualitative characteristic of the formulation[6-8]. These factors could modify the penetration of drugs through the skin determining the achievement of effective concentrations at the site of action, this situation being reflected in the clinical efficacy.

In the present study, in order to evaluate the anti-inflammatory effects of diclofenac administered epicutaneously, an experimental model of acute arthritis was used[1,8,10,11].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental animals: Animals were six standard breed mares weighting 400 ± 20 kg. Inclusion criteria were over 2 year old, non-gestating mares, no previous joint inflammatory process and non-current or previous systemic immune disease. The animals were housed indoors in individual box stalls and fed a maintenance ration of hay twice daily. Water was freely available.

The total surface of each carpal joint was established considering it as a cylinder (\(\varnothing \times h\)). The calculated total surface of treatment was 450 cm\(^2\). (Circumference [\(\varnothing\)]:30 cm and height [h]: 15 cm). All animal procedures were approved by the Secretaría de Ciencia y Técnica, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina.

Experimental design and sampling procedures: Diclofenac diethylamine (Atomo Desinflamante®, IMVI, S.A Argentina) 1.16% (equivalent to 1g sodium diclofenac) was purchased to a local dealer. A two–period cross over Latin square design was used, such
that each horse received diclofenac diethylamine (DFD) and placebo (PL) treatment.

During the first period 3 animals (Group A) received a dose of 0.44 mg cm⁻² DFD. The group B received an equivalent amount of placebo (Atomo Desinflamante® without active principle). An interval of 3 weeks was allowed between each period.

**Induction of synovitis and sampling schedule:** Acute synovitis was induced in the carpal joint (left in period I and right on II). A 1 mL aliquot of saline containing 1 µg LPS (0.01 µg kg⁻¹) (Escherichia coli O55 B5, Sigma Chemical Co, St Louis USA) was injected into the joint at the same time as drug administration (Time 0).

**Dosing schedule**

**Group A:** 0.44 mg cm⁻² DFD (total dose 20 g of Atomo Desinflamante®), was rubbed into the skin every 8h during 4 days. To assure complete distribution of the formulation a 2 minutes mild massage was applied after each administration. To avoid carry over effect of the previous administration, a complete cleaning of the administration site with chlorhexidine and hot water was made previous to drug administration.

**Group B:** 20 g of PL (Atomo Desinflamante® without diclofenac) was rubbed into the skin by two minutes of mild massage every 8 h during 4 days.

**Blood and synovial sampling:** Blood samples (5 mL) were withdrawn from the jugular vein at 0, 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, 36, 48, 72 and 96h, into lithium heparin tubes. After centrifugation (2200 g, 10 min), plasma was separated and stored at -20ºC until DFD plasma concentration analysis was performed.

Synovial fluid (3 mL) from inflamed joints was collected by syringe and needle at 0, 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, 36, 48, 72, 96h into lithium heparin tubes. Samples were centrifuged (2200 g, 10 min) and stored at -20ºC until assay.

**Measurements and analytical methods:** Four pharmacodynamic end points were measured according a standardized procedure before and 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, 36, 48, 72 and 96h after test product administration. All measurements were taken by the same trained investigators.

The stride length (an indicator of lameness) was measured by walking the horse on a hard surface and measuring the distance between hoofprints of the affected limb. A total of ten consecutive strides were measured. After eliminating the two extreme values, the mean stride length was calculated.

The circumference of the inflamed joint (carpal swelling) was measured with a flexible rule at the top of the carpus using the same anatomical reference points. A single measurement was carried out at each sampling time.

Rest angle flexion and maximal carpal flexion (an indicator of pain) were measured with a home made goniometer. One measurement was carried out at each sampling time. First, the rest angle flexion was measured; the lame leg was progressively flexed until occurrence of a pain reaction. This manoeuvre permitted calculation of two parameters expressed in degrees: the rest angle and maximal carpal flexion.

Total protein concentration in synovial fluid was measured by Lowry’s method as described by Schacterle and Pollack[12].

Total glycosaminoglycan concentration was evaluated by Farndale’s method, modified by Alwan[13,14].

Concentrations of diclofenac in synovial fluid and plasma samples were measured by an HPLC method with UV detection described by Krishna & Surja Kumar (1991). Briefly, 1 mL of McIlvane’s buffer and 5 mL of ethyl ether were added to a 1 mL sample. The mix was centrifuged (5000 x g for 10 minutes). The organic layer (4 mL) was transferred into a clean tube and evaporated at 45 ºC under nitrogen stream. The residue was reconstituted with 200µL methanol containing 1µg mL⁻¹ IS (tolfenamic acid). A 20 µL aliquot of the sample was injected onto an HPLC system comprising a Digital pump series II (Konik Instruments, Argentina), an absorbance detector, (Konik 200) and a SP4600 integrator. The chromatograph consisted of a spherisorb RPC 18 7µm (250 x 4.6mm) column and a spherisorb RPC_H 5µm precolumn; the mobile phase was acetonitrile:HPLC water: acetic acid (59:49:2) and the flow rate 1mL min⁻¹. The detection wavelength was 280 nm and the detection limit of the method was 0.05 µg mL⁻¹. The coefficient of variation over the calibration range of 10 to 0.01 µg mL⁻¹ was less than 10 %.

**Statistical analysis:** Statistical analysis was conducting using the Software Prisma Graph Pad package (Graph Pad Software, Inc. San Diego, California and U.S.A.).

Descriptive statistical calculations included mean and standard deviation (SD). Pharmacodynamic effects were standardized before inferitative analysis, according to individual baseline values as percentage of change (100% corresponding to baseline value). Since the objective of the study was to analyze clinical effects of DFD over a relatively long period of time, a scheme for repeated samples (based on the area under the curve percentage change from baseline vs. time) was applied[15].

The areas under the curve percentage change from baseline vs. time were calculated with the following equation

\[ AUC = 0.5 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (y_i + y_{i+1}) (t_{i+1} - t_i) \]
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Diclofenac was detected in blood and synovial fluid from the first to the last sampling time. Peak plasma (0.04±0.03 µg mL\(^{-1}\)) and synovial (0.08 ±0.08 µg mL\(^{-1}\)) concentration were recorded between 3 and 9 h and at 1 h post-LPS, respectively.

Pharmacodynamic effects: Figure 1 plots the evolution in relation to time (h) of the mean response (expressed as percentage of variation) for DFD and PL for the four assessed clinical end-points.

Table 1 gives the maximum response observed for DFD and PL its time of occurrence and the calculated AUC for the four assessed clinical end-points.

Maximal response is expressed as a percentage of control values. Maximal response corresponds to the highest value obtained after averaging data of the six horses at each sampling time. AUC corresponds to the values of each treatment group for all clinical end-points during the whole study (0-96h).

Table 1: Effects of Diclofenac diethylamine (DFD) (0.44 mg cm\(^{-2}\)/8h) and Placebo (PL) (20g), applied epicutaneously, on different clinical end-points in six horses with experimental carpus arthritis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End-point</th>
<th>Observed maximal response (%)</th>
<th>Time of occurrence (h)</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stride length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>40.94 ± 8.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFD</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46.62 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpus circumference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.05</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>59.56 ± 1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFD</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.03</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>58.10 ± 2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest angle flexion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.05</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>51.57 ± 6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFD</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.09</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>51.32 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal carpal flexion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>2.44 ± 0.75</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>103.41 ± 22.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFD</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.80</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>95.04 ± 16.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As regards to the DCF group, it was observed a similar temporal profile to the control group. The maximum decrease was observed 6 h post LPS with a maximum mean value of 57%. The area under the curve percentage of change as function of time showed numerical differences between the two experimentally groups. However, these differences did not reach statistical significance. (p>0.05)

Circumference of the inflamed joint: In all two experimental groups, carpal circumference showed an increase within the first hour post-injection of LPS. In the PL treated group, the maximum percentage of change from the baseline (17 %) was recorded at 24 h post LPS. Calculated mean AUC was 59.56 ± 1.78.

The group of DFD treated animals showed a similar temporal profile to the PL group, although recorded maximum change from the baseline was smaller (14%). The mean AUC was 58.10± 2.21.

In spite of the numerical differences between the two experimentally groups, the complete analysis of the process (0-96 h) through the AUC, did not showed statistically significant differences (p>0.05).

Rest angle: Differences of this parameter between the two experimentally groups were minimal. In all experimental groups a decrease in the rest angle was observed during the third hour post-LPS, returning in all cases to the baseline values.

Maximal carpal flexion: In the PL treated group the increase of this parameter was evident from the first hour post LPS. The mean maximum change (144%) was observed 6 h post LPS. At the last sampling time none of the animals had recovered their baseline value. The mean AUC was 103.41± 22.76.

In the DFD treated group the mean maximum change (158 %) was observed 6 h post-LPS. The mean AUC was 95.04±16.46. The observed differences between the two treated groups were not statistically significant.
Synovial biochemical markers: Glycosaminoglycans: The intraarticular injection of LPS induced an increase of the total GAGs concentration in all PL treated animals with a peak (114± 38.31 μg mL⁻¹) between 12 and 24 h. The mean AUC was 2953.1± 2203 in the DFD treated group, the temporal profile of GAGs concentration in synovial fluid was similar to the PL group being the maximum concentration registered 60. 95±17.15 μg mL⁻¹ 12 h post LPS. In both groups 96 h post-LPS injection GAGs synovial concentration showed a clear tendency to return to the baseline.

No statistically significant differences where observed between treated groups.

Proteins: The intraarticular injection of LPS induced an increase of the total protein concentration in both treated groups with a peak of 10.9 ± 2.6 g dL⁻¹ at 24 h and 10.8 ± 3.0 g dL⁻¹ at 9 h post LPS for PL and DFD, respectively.

No statistically significant differences were observed between groups.

In the present study the injection of LPS induced a mild, reversible and reproducible inflammatory response in all horses.

The capacity of diclofenac to reduce the clinical signs associated to acute inflammation after oral and topical administration has been demonstrated in humans.[16-18] However, there are not reports on the effectiveness of this drug in spontaneous or induced acute inflammation in horses.

In the present study, four clinical end-points were used as surrogate markers of the required clinical response (analgesia, anti-inflammatory).

The statistical analysis of the clinical end-points indicates the lack of differences between the two treated groups. These data reveal that the studied topical formulation of diclofenac dietilamine administered at dose rate of 0.44 mg cm⁻² every 8 h during 4 days is ineffective to reduce the clinical signs associated with acute arthritis. The same holds true for the biological markers evaluated in synovial fluid.

Contrary to our results, Bertone et al.[20] have reported the clinical efficacy of diclofenac (at a considerably lower dose), when it was administrated topically through a liposomal formulation. However, it is important to consider that the animals included in this study were suffering chronic arthritis. In addition, in this study evaluation of clinical signs was performed by applying a visual scale, which could suggest some subjectivity in the results.[21] In fact, it has been reported that positive results obtained by visual scale can be reversed by application of more objective scales, such as WOMAC.[22]

The effects of NSAIDs are principally due to the inhibition of prostaglandins synthesis. However, to achieve a therapeutically useful response (antipyretic, anti-inflammatory, analgesic or antiedematous), a high level of inhibition is required (IC95).[23]

Caldwell et al.[24] has recently reported using a topically administered liposomal formulation of diclofenac over an inflamed tissue cage, that 76.2±29 ng mL⁻¹ was enough to reduce significantly the synthesis of PGE₂. This concentration is considerably higher than the synovial fluid concentration recorded in our study (mean 0.60±0.30 ng mL⁻¹).

In this context, considering the clinical efficacy of diclofenac reported, both in humans and horses, by other authors is important do not misinterpret the present results assuming that diclofenac was ineffective to treat arthritis. The results are clearly showing that the tested formulation was not capable to reach effective concentrations at the biophase.

The largest organ of the body, the skin, is an amazingly resilient and relatively impermeable barrier that provides protective, perceptive and communication functions to the body.

There are at least twenty factors that can affect the penetration of drugs thought the skin including a)-characteristics of the skin and b)- physiochemical properties of the drugs formulation such as, molecular mass, adequate solubility in oil and water and a high optimal partition coefficient.[25]

The stratum corneum is the limiting step to permeability of drug trough the skin.[26] In fact, there are a number of substances, known as chemical penetration enhancers (CPE), that could be used in order to enhance the penetrability of drugs by altering the stratum corneum structure.[27]

It has been demonstrated, that the penetration of diclofenac differs depending on the formulation.[25,28] In our laboratory penetration through horse skin of a number of diclofenac formulations for topical administration were evaluated in vitro with disappointing results, since most of them had very low penetration rate (unpublished results).

Another important point to be considered is the relationship between qualitative characteristics of the formulation and the activity of the formulation as a system. Although, the need of a CEP - in order to maximize the drug penetration is undeniable- it is, also, essential that the formulation releases the active ingredient.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the present results, it is possible to conclude that the inefficacy of the Átomo Desinflamante® is related to the low concentration of diclofenac reaching the site of action. Thus, in spite of the many benefits that topical administration offers, it is necessary to consider the characteristics of the commercial product in order to treat properly pathological conditions such as, acute arthritis.
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