A CROSS-LAYERED PATH STABILITY BASED ROUTING PROTOCOL FOR WiMAX NETWORKS
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ABSTRACT

In IEEE 802.16, a Subscriber Station (SS) is battery powered with limited capacity. A SS can be made to operate for longer durations by deploying power saving features or by using relay stations. Multi-hop communication conserves power, but finding an optimal path to the destination is monotonous. Path stability indicates how stable a path is and how long it can support communication. Identifying stable paths helps in reducing the control traffic, number of connection disruptions and also in conserving power. In this study, Greedy Perimeter Stateless Routing (GPSR) and Link-StABILITY and Energy aware Routing (LAER) designed for Mobile Ad hoc NET works (MANETs) are implemented for WiMAX networks and their performance is evaluated. Further, a novel Cross-layered Path Stability based Routing protocol (CPSR) is proposed to find a stable path based on Residual Energy (RE), Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) and Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR). The total energy consumed is minimized, thus maximizing the network lifetime.
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1. INTRODUCTION

WiMAX provides broadband wireless last-mile access in a Metropolitan Area Network (MAN) with performance comparable to traditional cables. It is one of the major competing mesh technologies and has attracted significant interests recently.

The fastest Wi-Fi connection can transmit up to 54 megabits per second under optimal conditions, while WiMAX can handle up to 70 megabits per second.

IEEE 802.16 operates either in cellular-like PMP (Point-to-Multipoint) mode or Mesh mode. Two layers defined in WiMAX are the PHY and the Medium Access Control (MAC) layers. It offers a transmission range of few kms and guarantees Quality of Service (QoS) by supporting various service classes and providing sufficient bandwidth. Different types of service classes supported by IEEE 802.16 are classified into one of the following: (1) Unsolicited Grant Service (UGS) (2) Real-time Polling Service (rtPS) (3) Non-Real-time Polling Service (nrtPS) and (4) Best Effort (BE) Service.

Operation at all levels of the communication protocol stack has an impact on energy consumption and hence it becomes mandatory to address energy efficiency jointly in the device, the PHY layer, the MAC layer and the network layer.

In this study, a stable energy based routing protocol is designed for mesh mode WiMAX networks. In a mesh network involving multiple hops, the next hop node is selected based on Residual Energy (RE), Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) and Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR).

2. RELATED WORK

Various routing mechanisms are proposed in the literature for mesh mode WiMAX networks.

Some routing algorithms select paths with minimum total transmission power. If the links are assumed to be error-free, there is no need for retransmission; energy-
efficient routing algorithms choose the minimum-hop paths (Chen and Gursoy, 2009).

Spectral reuse in resource allocation for IEEE 802.16 mesh networks along with Routing Tree Construction (RTC), bandwidth allocation, time-slot assignment and bandwidth guarantee of real-time flows is proposed in (Chen et al., 2009).

A joint routing and scheduling mechanism known as Maximum Spatial Reuse (MSR) designed with an objective of minimizing the length of a schedule and maximizing the spectrum spatial reuse without violating the Signal-to-Interference Noise Ratio (SINR) is proposed in (El-Najjar et al., 2010).

Amin et al. (2007) has presented an integrated routing and link scheduling scheme for persistent communication of fast moving vehicles. A joint routing and scheduling algorithm which selects the highest end-to-end data rate paths for maximizing network capacity is proposed in (Nahle and Malouch, 2008).

Kim et al. (2008), authors have proposed a proactive hop-by-hop QoS based routing protocol. Routing algorithms proposed by various authors are reviewed in (Qassem et al., 2009).

Multipath routing for efficient utilization of wireless radio resources by providing spectral efficiency and least mean path interference for multiple hops is studied in (Kehlaia, 2008). In addition, a scheduling algorithm is also designed to find the maximum number of concurrent transmissions that satisfies the Signal-to-Interference Noise Ratio (SINR) constraints.

A routing algorithm for coordinated distributed scheduling called Better Approach to Mobile Ad hoc Networking (BATMAN) is proposed in (Ntlatlapa, 2008). It does not maintain the full route to the destination, but the information about the next link that yields the best route.

In coordinated distributed scheduling, contention-free slots in the control sub frame are allocated to mesh radios for sending and receiving bandwidth change requests and responses respectively. A dynamic scheme based on classification that permits traffic to be routed directly between SSs is proposed in (Zhang et al., 2009).

Kurun et al. (2008), authors have constructed a routing tree by considering only the first parent node in the tree.

A routing algorithm incorporated in the MAC layer is proposed in (Li et al., 2009). To avoid being trapped in path loops, a loop cancelation mechanism is included and each node determines the next-hop nodes and attempts to forward packets in the earliest slots.

A Routing and Call Admission Control (CAC) mechanism based on Shortest Widest Effective Bandwidth (SWEB) is proposed in (Tsai and Wang, 2007) considering parameters like packet error rate, capacity over the link and the hop count from the source to the destination.

Zhang et al. (2010), another joint CAC and routing scheme for multiple services is proposed to prioritize classes by imposing different reward rates. To obtain optimal CAC policies, optimization techniques are applied to decision processes.

Al-Hemyari et al. (2008), authors have proposed a routing and collision free Centralized Scheduling (CS) algorithm involving cross layers-the Network and the MAC layer.

Jalali and Khorsandi (2011), a routing tree is constructed dynamically and a centralized scheduling algorithm is designed based on the feedback from the network. The Scheduling algorithm involves the MAC and the Network layer.

Cross-layer optimization and computing of transport capacity dedicated to Internet access is proposed in (Molle et al., 2008).

Jiao et al. (2010), a cross-layer concurrent transmission scheduling algorithm that uses a scheduling strategy to activate available potential multiple links and enhance concurrent transmission is proposed. An adaptive power control scheme is designed in the physical layer to support different transmission rates for different paths and to regulate link transmission rates at different layers based on the traffic loads.

Mogre et al. (2008), authors have proposed Centrally Optimized Routing Extensions (CORE) to address the issues of optimized routing, scheduling and bandwidth allocation by coding the network and increasing the number of admitted flows.

Peng and Sun (2011), a novel data scheduling scheme is proposed based on an optimal model of mini-slot allocation so as to reduce the number of mini-slot segments, keeping continuous mini-slots as long as possible.

Lo and Ou (2009), routing and centralized scheduling algorithms are proposed to construct a routing tree from the given mesh topology and to allocate channel mini-slots.

A cross-layer design architecture in which transmission power control, route construction and centralized scheduling are taken into account is presented in (Peng et al., 2007). Interference and hopcount are jointly considered to construct the routing...
path. The neighbor degree metric, a function of transmission power and number of neighbor nodes, is used to construct the routing tree.

In contrast to the routing algorithms that use shortest paths and transitive reachability to find routes, Greedy Perimeter Stateless Routing (GPSR) proposed by (Karp and Kung, 2000) uses the positions and connectivity of nodes to make packet forwarding decisions. When the topology changes frequently, GPSR uses the local topology information to find new routes.

The stability of a link is given by its probability to persist for a certain time span, which is not necessarily linked with its probability to reach a very high age. Link-stability and Energy aware Routing protocols (LAER) requires each node to advertise its location, rate of energy consumption and link stability index for each outgoing link of node 'i' (De Rango et al., 2012). This next hop selection scheme minimizes the joint energy stability metric.

Previous works do not predict the movement of the relays out of the coverage area in a multi-hop network. Further, the routing algorithms discussed in the literature do not take the Residual Energy (RE), Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) and Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) together into consideration.

3. PROPOSED SYSTEM

Cross-layered Path Stability based Routing protocol (CPSR) finds a stable path based on all the three factors—RSSI, RE and SNR.

Links are chosen based on the energy reserve in the next hop nodes. By preserving the energy in the network, the lifetime of the network can be extended.

GPSR and LAER algorithms designed for MANETs are implemented for WiMAX networks. GPSR is based on the shortest distance to the destination while LAER is based on the Link Stability (LS) and the Mean Drain Rate (MDR).

GPSR is modified so as to make it appropriate for WiMAX networks. Cross layer integration is done in WiMAX 802.16.cc file by setting the packet type as GPSR, thus enabling GPSR in WiMAX.

Cross layering involves the Network layer, MAC layer and PHY layer. Routing in the Network Layer is performed using GPSR. Multi-hop relay communication is enabled by MAC 802.16j. Both the PHY layer and the Network layer are integrated. The MAC and the Network layers monitor the PHY layer to determine a stable path based on the parameters obtained from the PHY layer.

LAER chooses the next hop nodes based on the stability of links. It does not find stable paths. Hence to overcome this shortcoming, CPSR is proposed to find a stable path in a multi-hop network.

In CPSR, path request messages are forwarded to find paths to the destination. Each node stores the LS factor of its predecessors, appends its LS, and forwards it to its neighbors. This takes place in forward and reverse directions and both Forward Link Stability (LSF) and Reverse Link Stability (LSR) factors are calculated.

Thresholds are set for RE, RSSI and SNR and the nodes with values above the threshold are selected as next hop nodes.

RE should be > 30%. As nodes with less energy are not selected as next hop nodes, energy is conserved to a certain extent. Early depletion of energy is avoided by choosing the paths dynamically.

The RSSI threshold denoted by ‘Pre_GZ_Thresh’ is used to detect Gray Zones. If the signal strength of a relay is high, then it is near. If it moves out of range, its RSSI goes below threshold. Path advertisement packets from such relays should be discarded, so as to avoid unstable links during path discovery.

In LAER, the Stability of a node is calculated as shown in Equation (1). It is the reciprocal measure of stability (De Rango et al., 2012):

\[ S_{i,j} = \frac{d_{i,j}^{\text{avg}}}{R_{i,j}(a_{i,j})^k} \]  

Where:

- \( d_{i,j} \) = Euclidean distance between nodes ‘i’ and ‘j’
- \( R_{i,j} \) = Stability index accounting for link duration in previous observation intervals
- \( a_{i,j} \) = Age of the link between ‘i’ and ‘j’
- \( k \) = Scaling factor

In LAER, a node with less Stability Factor \( S_{i,j} \) is selected. But in CPSR, a stable path is found by selecting the next hop node with high RE, RSSI and less Noise ratio (in SNR). In other words, initially a more stable link is selected and then a path with high stability is found.

In CPSR, Link Stability for a node is calculated as follows Equation (2):
\[ s_{ij} = \frac{(\text{RSSI}_{ij} + \text{RE}_{ij}) - (\text{RE}_{\text{THRESH}} + \text{RSSI}_{\text{THRESH}})}{(\text{RE}_{\text{THRESH}} + \text{RSSI}_{\text{THRESH}})} \quad (2) \]

Where:
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{RSSI}_{ij} & \quad \text{RSSI of link (i, j)} \\
\text{RE}_{ij} & \quad \text{RE of link (i, j)} \\
\text{RSSI}_{\text{THRESH}} & \quad \text{RSSI Threshold} \\
\text{RE}_{\text{THRESH}} & \quad \text{RE Threshold} 
\end{align*}
\]

In CPSR, a node with high \( s_{ij} \) is selected, contrary to LAER. \( s_{ij} \) can never be negative as a node with values above threshold are selected.

The next hop node with less Noise Ratio is selected. In case if its Noise Ratio is above threshold, then the node with next higher value of \( s_{ij} \) is selected, provided it satisfies the SNR threshold. If more than one node satisfies the constraints, then the tie is broken by random selection.

If nodes with RSSI, RE and Noise Ratio (NR) values corresponding to the mentioned thresholds do not exist, then there will be no next hop node to receive the packets. In that case, the SNR value is not taken into consideration and the node with better RSSI and RE is selected (above threshold).

Both Forward (\( LS_F \)) and Reverse (\( LS_R \)) stability factors are computed and the Average Path Stability (PS) is calculated as follows Equation (3-6):

\[ LS_F = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{ij}^F \text{ in Forward direction} \quad (3) \]

\[ LS_R = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{ij}^R \text{ in Reverse direction} \quad (4) \]

Stability Factor (SF) = \( LS_F + LS_R \) \quad (5)

Average Path Stability = \( \left( \frac{PS_{\text{AVG}}}{\text{Hop Count}} \right) \) \quad (6)

The stability of a path (\( PS_{\text{path}} \)) is based on Average Path Stability (\( PS_{\text{AVG}} \)) and the Standard Deviation of Stability factors. Standard Deviation (SD) is computed as shown in Equation (7). It is based on hop count, Average Path Stability (\( PS_{\text{AVG}} \)) and the Forward and Backward Stability factors (\( S_{ij}^F \) and \( S_{ij}^R \)):

\[ SD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (S_{ij}^F - PS_{\text{AVG}})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (S_{ij}^R - PS_{\text{AVG}})^2}{\text{Hop Count}}} \quad (7) \]

The stability of a path (\( PS_{\text{path}} \)) is given by Equation (8):

\[ PS_{\text{path}} = \frac{PS_{\text{AVG}}}{SD} \quad (8) \]

The path with maximum \( PS_{\text{path}} \) is selected for transmission from source ‘i’ to destination ‘j’. In other words, the stable path is the one with less number of hops.

### 4. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

The system is simulated using ns-2 and the performance is analyzed in terms of Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR), Throughput, Packet Loss Ratio (PLR), Routing Overhead and Energy consumed. The simulation parameters are listed in Table 1.

From the results, it is evident that CPSR outperforms GPSR and LAER. From Fig. 1, it is clear that CPSR yields a better Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR) when compared to GPSR and LAER. The Throughput of CPSR is high when compared to GPSR and LAER (Fig. 2).

Packet Loss Ratio (PLR) of CPSR is low, while that of GPSR is high (Fig. 3). CPSR involves the least delay (Fig. 4).

**Table 1. Simulation parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAC protocol</td>
<td>802.16j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmit power</td>
<td>35 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>50 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial energy</td>
<td>100 J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSSI threshold</td>
<td>-75 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility model</td>
<td>Random-waypoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propagation</td>
<td>Two ray ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet size</td>
<td>1024 bits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR threshold</td>
<td>10 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data rate</td>
<td>2 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routing protocol</td>
<td>GPSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier frequency</td>
<td>2.4 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of nodes</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission range</td>
<td>250-400 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of nodes</td>
<td>20 ms^{-1}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Packet delivery ratio

Fig. 2. Throughput
Fig. 3. Packet loss ratio

Fig. 4. Delay
Fig. 5. Energy consumed

Fig. 6. Hop count
From Fig. 5, it is explicit that GPSR consumes more energy when compared to CPSR and LAER. CPSR consumes the least amount of energy, thus maximizing the lifetime of the network.

The stability of a path is based on the number of hops. The hop count gives the number of relays involved. CPSR involves the least number of hops (Fig. 6). As the number of relays involved is reduced, the total energy consumed is minimized.

During path establishment, the routing information involved in neighbor discovery constitutes the routing overhead. In CPSR, as the nodes are selected based on RSSI, SNR and RE, the routing overhead is reduced when compared to GPSR (Fig. 7).

5. CONCLUSION

The behavior of GPSR, LAER and CPSR are analyzed for a multi-hop WiMAX network. CPSR based on Residual Energy (RE), Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) and Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) ensures path stability.

A stable path is established between the source and the destination by selecting the next hop node with high Energy reserve and Received Signal Strength. This scheme maximizes the network lifetime by preventing early depletion of energy in the network. It is scalable and involves less energy, routing overhead, number of hops and packet losses.
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