Cross Reactivity between Dromedary Whey Proteins and IgG Anti Bovine α-Lactalbumin and Anti Bovine β-Lactoglobulin
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Abstract: Problem statement: Our aim was to enhance the data on antigenic properties of dromedary whey proteins. Approach: The identification of the whey proteins was carried out by SDS-page and Reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC). The cross-reactivity of dromedary whey proteins with IgG anti bovine β-lactoglobulin and anti bovine α-lactalbumin, obtained by immunisation of Balb/c mice, was carried out by ELISA. Results: The SDS-page showed the presence of band corresponding to α-lactalbumin and albumin serum; this was confirmed by the chromatogram obtained by RP-HPLC, where we detected a peak corresponding to α-lactalbumin. There was a cross reaction of dromedary whey proteins with IgG anti bovin α-lactalbumin but it was very weak with IgG anti boin β-lactoglobulin. Conclusion: We detected a cross reactivity between dromedary whey proteins and IgG anti bovin α-lactalbumin.
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INTRODUCTION

Generally speaking, homology between milk proteins of different animal species can be the cause of cross reactivity. That homology corresponds to an immunochemical properties and three-dimensional conformation similitude between allergens of species taxonomically near or distant[1]. Consequently, cross reactions occur when two different milk proteins can bind the same immunoglobulins (Ig). Thus, there is a risk of cross reactivity between cow's milk and goat's milk[2-4] and between mare’s milk and ewe’s milk[5-9]. The dromedary remains the species taxonomically farthest away from the cow and for which there is little data on the antigenic properties of its milk proteins. That is why, in the present study, we are interested in the interaction between the whey proteins of dromedary milk and the antibodies directed against bovine β-lactoglobulin and bovine α-lactalbumin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Milk samples of dromedary and cow: We used dromedary (Camelus dromedarius) milk collected from a farm located in El Abadlaa (South of Algeria) and cow milk collected from a farm located in Sidi Marouf (North of Algeria). The collected milk samples were pooled, kept and transported to the laboratory.

The milk samples were skimmed by centrifugation at 610×g and 10°C for 15 min. The acidification of dromedary milk was achieved with HCl 0.1 N, to obtain pH = 4.4 (isoelectric pH of caseins) and for cow's milk until obtaining pH = 4.6 (isoelectric pH of caseins). After centrifugation at 610×g and 10°C for 15 min, the precipitate obtained represented caseins and the supernatant the whey protein.

SDS-page: Sodium dodecyl sulfate gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) of milk samples (whey proteins and caseins of dromedary milk and whey proteins of cow’s milk) was performed under denaturing conditions as previously described[10].

Proteins were separated in polyacrylamide gel (12.5%) and stained with Coomassie Blue R250. All materials and instruments were purchased from Bio-Rad.

Reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC): Samples of whey dromedary and purified bovine proteins: β-lactoglobulin (Sigma), α-lactalbumin (Sigma), bovine serum albumin (Sigma) were separated by RP-HPLC.
Preparation of antibodies, anti bovine β-lactoglobulin and anti bovine α-lactalbumin immunisation of mice: We used 30 female miceBalb/c between 6 and 8 weeks old and weighing between 20 and 25 g (22.50±0.27 g). The mice were sensitized by parenteral way and were distributed in two groups: one sensitized with the β-Lg (Sigma, L-0130, USA) (n = 12), the other one sensitized with α-La (Sigma, L-5385, USA) (n = 12) and a control group (not sensitized) (n = 12). The sensitization of the mice was done by intraperitoneally injection of 100 µL of PBS pH 7.4 solution containing 10 µg of cow’s milk proteins (β-Lg or α-La) mixed with 2 mg of aluminum hydroxide [Al(OH)₃]. The injection was carried on day 0 (1st day of sensitizing). Other injections were done between the 14th-21st and on the 28th day. The mice were sacrificed on day 35.

IgG titers measured by ELISA: In order to appreciate the degree of the sensitization of the animals to β-Lg and α-La, we used immunoenzymatic technique (ELISA). This technique enables us to quantify the rate of the immunoglobulin of type IgG anti β-Lg and anti α-La of the cow’s milk.

IgG anti β-Lg and antiα-La were assayed in serum sample by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent (ELISA). The procedure was as follow: The microtiter plates (Maxisorp; Nunk, Roskilde, Danemark) were coated with 100 µL/well antigen (β-Lg or α-La) (10 µg mL⁻¹) in phosphate-buffered saline, 10 mM pH 7.4 and incubated for 48 h at 4°C. The plate was then washed with PBS-T, containing PBS 10 Mm, pH 7.4 and 0.05% Tween-20 (Sigma, P7949, USA). Residual free binding sites were blocked with 200 µL/well of 2% gelatin of fish for 1h at 37°C. The plate was washed, filled with 100 µL/well of diluted mouse serum (from 1/10⁻²-1/10⁻⁷ diluted in 1% gelatin of fish) (Sigma, G 7765, USA) on automated strip washer (ELx 50, Bio-Tek instruments GmbH, Germany) and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. After washing twice with PBS-Tween 20, the plate was incubated for 1 h 30 at 37°C with 100 µL/well of goats anti-mouse IgG Biotin (Sigma, B9904, France). After washing, 100 µL/well of Extravidin peroxydase (Sigma-Aldrich E2886, France) was added. Incubation was carried out for 30 min, at 37°C. Then O-Phenylenediamine Dihydrochloride (OPD) (Sigma, P1526-25G, USA) in a 50 mM sodium citrate buffer, pH 5.1, was used as a substrate. After incubation the plate at room temperature for 30 min, 50 µL of sulphuric acid (2N) was added to stop the enzymatic reaction. Between each incubation, the plates were washed with PBS containing 0.05% of tween 20. Absorbance values were read at 492 nM on an automated plate reader (EL × 800, Bio-Tek instruments GmbH, Germany).

Cross-reactivity of dromedary whey proteins with IgG anti bovine β-Lg and anti bovine α-La: We checked for possible recognition of dromedary whey proteins by specific IgG directed against bovine β-Lg and bovine α-La, by using immunoenzymatic technique as also described but with some modifications. The plates were incubated by antigens but this time with whey proteins of cow’s and dromedary milk. The whey proteins of cow’s milk are used as reference to compare them with the samples of dromedary milk.

Statistical analysis: Results were expressed as means ± Standard Error (ES). All experiments were repeated six times. Obtained data were statistically analysed using student’s test as programmed by STATISTICA version (5), 2006.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analyze of proteins of dromedary milk by electrophoresis (Fig. 1) reveals the existence of bands similar to bovine proteins (caseins, serum albumin bovine and α-lactalbumin). However there is no one band corresponding to β-lactoglobulin. These results are in agreement with those of[15,16].

However we detected the existence of an "unknown" peptide band migrating to the top of bovineβ-lactoglobulin. This peptide was also detected by Farah[11] despite it is still unknown.

The chromatogram of whey dromedary featured one peak (indicated in Fig. 2 as I) corresponding to α-lactalbumin (peak I), compared to the chromatogram of bovine proteins (α-lactalbumin and bovine serum albumin). There is no peak corresponding to β-lactoglobulin. The peak I is also detected by Kappeler[13] showed a similitude of major proteins for camel milk with bovine milk except β-lactoglobulin which misses from dromedary milk.
Fig. 1: Electrophoretic patterns of SDS-PAGE of dromedary and cow’s milk. Kit = Molecular Weight (MW) standards containing: Bovine serum albumin (MW 68,000 Da), casein (MW 24,000 Da), β-lactoglobulin (α-Lg, MW 18,000 Da) and α-lactalbumin (α-La, MW 14,000 Da). CM = Cow’s milk. DM = Dromedary milk. Wp = Whey protein. Cas = Casein

After 35 days of sensitizing, which corresponds to the day of sacrifice, we detected in the serum of immunized mice a very high quantity of IgG anti-bovine β-Lg and anti bovine α-La (1/10^−6) which is strongly significant (p < 0.001) compared to the control group (Fig. 3). These results showed the strong power of the adjuvant used to cause an immunological response[15].

The cross-reactivity of dromedary whey proteins which was carried out to bring together these proteins with IgG anti bovine β-Lg and bovine α-La showed that there was a very slight cross reaction between the whey proteins of the dromedary and IgG anti bovine β-Lg (Fig. 4 and 5). The values obtained are significantly lower than those obtained with the whey proteins of the cow’s milk. This non-recognition suggests the absence of β-Lg to the dromedary milk[11-14]. These results are in accordance with those of[17].

Indeed this researcher showed that the proteins of dromedary milk seem to be the only proteins which had not been recognized by serum antibodies of IgE type of children allergic to proteins in cow’s milk. This can be explained by the fact that the cow and the dromedary are two species taxonomically distant. With regard to the cross-reactivity between whey proteins of dromedary and IgG anti bovine α-La, it has been noticed that there is no significant difference between the whey proteins of cow’s and dromedary’s milk.

Fig. 2: Reversed-phase C18 RP-HPLC chromatogram of (a): Dromedary whey proteins, peak I correspond to α-lactalbumin purified, (b): Bovine proteins, peak I, II and III correspond respectively to α-lactalbumin, bovine serum albumin and β-lactoglobulin

Fig. 3: Levels of IgG anti β-Lg and anti α-La for immunized mice with bovine β-Lg (n = 12) and bovine α-La (n = 12) compared to control (n = 12) measured by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and expressed as log_{10} of 1/titer. Results are expressed as mean ± standard error of means (SE). ***: p < 0.0001
Fig. 4: Cross reactivity of dromedary and cow’s whey proteins with IgG anti bovine β-Lg measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and expressed as log_{10} of 1/titer. Results are expressed as mean ± Standard Error of means (SE) from independent measurements (n = 6). ***: p<0.0001

Fig. 5: Cross reactivity of dromedary and cow’s whey proteins with IgG anti bovine α-La measured by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) and expressed as log_{10} of 1/titer. Results are expressed as mean ± Standard Error of means (SE) from independent measurements (n = 6)

It showed that whey proteins of dromedary milk cross react with IgG anti bovine α-La and it could be explained by the fact that these two proteins are similar in the composition of their structures and can contain some similar sequences. In addition to that and according to Restani et al. [17,18] the cross reactions were observed between proteins of milk and meats, such as caseins and albumin, of different species like the cow, goat, ewe and mare and it was explained by the probable resemblance in the sequence of their proteins.

CONCLUSION

Heterogeneity of dromedary and cow seem not to be sufficient to avoid cross reactivity between both species’ milk. The dromedary whey proteins have a sufficient antigenic potential to cross react with IgG anti bovine α-La and can not bind IgG anti bovine β-Lg.
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